28 12-3

SPIRIT

OF

L A W S.

TRANSLATED FROM THE FRENCH OF

M. DE SECONDAT,

BARON DE MONTESQUIEU.

THE FIFTH EDITION.

IN TWO VOLUMES.

PROLEM MINE MATRE CREATAM.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR JAMES EVANS, PATERNOSTER ROW.

NORTH AMERICA:

SOLD BY MESSRS. THOMAS AND ANDREWS; EBENE-ZER LARKIN, DAVID WEST, Mr. J. THOMAS, AND MESSRS. CARTER AND WILKINSON.

1794.

VI,11282 39594,3,4 RD COLTA 8 1905 BRARY Boston Turn Verein The state of the s all the state of t A STATE OF THE STA THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T and the second s the state of the s

Pa bo wa in cre cit

of qu

A

lif

for

th bio co th lef

de

of

re

P

AN ACCOUNT

OF THE

LIFE AND WRITINGS OF THE AUTHOR |.

M. MONTESQUIEU was born in the year 1689, in the Chateau de la Brede, within three leagues of Bourdeaux, of an ancient and noble family. He applied himself, almost from his infancy, to the study of civil law. first product of his early genius was a work, in which he undertook to prove. that the idolatry of most part of the Pagans did not deserve eternal punishment. But this book his prudence thought fit to suppress. In 1714 he was made counsellor of the parliament of Bourdeaux; and in 1716 president à mortier. In this year he was also created a member of the new-founded academy of the fame city. In 1725 he opened the parliament with a speech, the depth and eloquence of which were convincing proofs of his great abilities as an orator. The year following he quitted his charge; which, in fo excellent a magistrate, would have been inexcufable, if, in ceasing to execute the law, he had not put it in his power to render the law itfelf more perfect.

In 1728 he offered himself a candidate for a seat in the Academie François; to which his Lettres Persannes (published in 1721) seemed to give him a sufficient title: yet some, rather too bold, strokes in that work, together with the circumspection of that society, rendered the matter dubious. Cardinal Fleury, alarmed with what he had heard concerning these letters, wrote, to let the academy know, that the King would not have them admit the author, unless he thought proper to disavow the book. Montesquieu declared that he had never owned himself to be the author of it: but that he should never disavow it. The cardinal read the Lettres Persannes, sound them more agreeable

than dangerous, and Montesquieu was admitted.

2 When

Extracted from Elege de M. de Montesquieu, par M. de Maupertuis, late President of the Royal Academy at Berlin.

When he left France, he accompanied his intimate friend, Lord Waldgrave, in his embaffy to Vienna; and, after feeing also Hungary, Italy, Switzerland, and Holland, he ended his tour in Great Britain; where meditating upon the spring of that government, in which, says M. Maupertuis, so many seemingly incongruous advantages are united, he sound all the materials that were wanting to complete the great works which lay wrapt in his imagination.

No fooner was he returned to France, than he retired to La Bedre: where for the space of two whole years, seeing nothing but books and trees, he wrote his confiderations on the causes of the grandeur and decline of the Roman empire, which was published in 1733. To this work he defigned to have added a book on the English government; but this most excellent treatife has fince found a more proper place in his Esprit des Loix, with which he obliged the world in the year 1748. The preceding works of M. Montesquien may be regarded as so many steps leading up to this great temple which he erected to the felicity of mankind, From the first page to the last of this book, the nature of M. Montesquieu's soul is diffinctly visible; his great love of mankind, his defire for their happiness, and his sentiments of liberty. His picture of Afiatic despotism, of that horrid government where one fees but one lord, and all the rest in slavery, is one of the best preservatives from such an evil. The same wisdom appears in his advice how to guard against the evils that may arise from too extensive an equality.

1

1

t

d

fi

1

fi

C

tl

6

66

66

6

6

The Dialogue between Sylla and Eucrates, Lysimaque and the Temple de Gnyde, were also written by M. Montesquieu; and, though of a different kind, do not less indicate their author, than his more profound compositions. They prove to us that wisdom is no enemy to mirth.

The same candour which distinguished M. Montesquieu in his writings, was also his characteristic in his conversation with the world. He was the same man, viewed in all lights. He appears even, if possible, more extraordinary, when we consider him as a member of society, than as an author. Prosound, sublime, in his simplicity, he charmed, instructed, and never offended. I myself, says M. Maupertuis, having had the happiness to frequent those societies

focieties of which he was a member, have been frequent witness of the impatience with which he was always expected, and the universal joy that appeared on his arrival. The modesty and openness of his mien bore great resemblance to his conversation. He was well proportioned; and though he had almost entirely lost the fight of one eye,

yet that defect was scarce observable.

f

r

£

e

e

n

t

d

5.

u

-

11

7,

n

1-

fe

es

He was extremely negligent of his drefs, despising every thing that went beyond being decent. His cloaths were always of the plainest kind, without any ornament of gold or filver. The same simplicity reigned at his table, and in every other part of his economy. His paternal estate he left, as he found it, neither increased nor diminished. On the 10th of Feb. 1755, he died, as he had lived, without either oftentation or pufilanimity, acquitting himfelf of every duty with the greatest decency. During his indisposition his house was incessantly crouded with people of the first distinction in France, and such as were most deferving of his friendship. The Dutchess d'Aiguillon, who will permit me to mention her name upon this occasion, (M. Montesquieu's memory would lose too much were I not to name her), scarce ever left him a moment; she received his last fighs. It was in her house that I first faw him, and there began the friendship that hath afforded me To this lady I am also indebted for fo much delight. these circumstances for his death. The sweetness of his disposition, she tells me, continued to his very last moment. Not a fingle complaint escaped his lips, nor even the least fign of impatience. These were his words to those that stood around him: 'I always paid great respect to reli-'gion: The morality of the gospel is a most excellent 'thing, and the most valuable present that could possibly 'have been received by man from his Creator.' The Jefuits who were near him, pressing him to deliver up his corrections of the Lettres Persannes, 'he gave to me,' adds the Dutchess, 'and to Madame du Pré, his manuscript ' with these words.'-" I will facrifice every thing to rea-" fon and religion: confult with my friends, and decide "whether this ought to appear." 'He had a pleasure in the presence of his friends, and, as often as an interval of ease would permit, he would join the conversation. His fituation, he told me, was cruel, but not without many

causes of consolation: so sensible was he of the public concern, and the affection of his friends. Myself and Madame du Pré were his attendants almost day and night. The Duke de Nivernois, M. de Bucley, the family of Fitzjames, the Chevalier de Jeaucourt, &c. In short, the house was always full, and even the street was scarce passable. But all our care and anxiety was as inessectual as the skill of his physicians. He died the thirteenth

'day of his illness, of an inflammatory fever which had feized every part of him."

M. Montesquieu was married in 1715, to Jeanne de Lartigue, daughter to Pierre de Lartigue, Lieutenant Colonel of the regiment de Maulevrier. By this lady he had a fon and two daughters. His fon M de Secondat, distinguished for his physical and mathematical knowledge, was named to fill his father's place in the academy of Berlin, of which the father had been admitted a member in 1746.

PRE-

PREFACE.

IF, amidst the infinite number of subjects contained in this book, there is any thing, which, contrary to my expectation, may possibly offend, I can at least assure the public, that it was not inserted with an ill intention: For I am not naturally of a captious temper. Plato thanked Heaven that he was born, in the same age with Socrates: And for my part, I give thanks to God that I was born a subject of that government under which I live; and that it is his pleasure I should obey those whom he has made me love.

I beg one favour of my readers, which I fear will not be granted me; this is, that they will not judge by a few hours reading, of the labour of twenty years; that they will approve or condemn the book entire, and not a few particular phrases. If they would search into the design of the author, they can do it no other way so completely, as by searching into the design of the work.

I have first of all considered mankind; and the result of my thoughts has been, that, amidst such an infinite diversity of laws and manners, they were not solely conducted

by the caprice of fancy.

d

I have laid down the first principles, and have found that the particular cases apply naturally to them; that the histories of all nations are only consequences of them; and that every particular law is connected with another law, or depends on some other of a more general extent.

When I have been obliged to look back into antiquity, I have endeavoured to assume the spirit of the ancients, lest I should consider those things as alike, which are really different; and lest I should miss the difference of those which appear to be alike.

I have not drawn my principles from my prejudices,

but from the nature of things.

Here a great many truths will not appear, till we have feen the chain which connects them with others. The more

24

we enter into particulars, the more we shall perceive the certainty of the principles on which they are founded. I have not even given all these particulars, for who could mention them all without a most insupportable fatigue?

The reader will not here meet with any of those bold flights, which seem to characterise the works of the prefent age. When things are examined with ever so small a degree of extent, the sallies of imagination must vanish; these generally arise from the mind's collecting all its powers to view only one side of the subject, while it leaves the other unobserved.

I write not to censure any thing established in any country whatsoever. Every nation will here find the reasons on which its maxims are founded; and this will be the natural inference, that to propose alterations, belongs only to those who are so happy as to be born with a genius capable of penetrating into the entire constitution of a state.

It is not a matter of indifference, that the minds of the people be enlightened. The prejudices of the magistrate have arisen from national prejudice. In a time of ignorance they have committed even the greatest evils without the least scruple; but in an enlightened age they even tremble, while conferring the greatest blessings. They perceive the ancient abuses; they see how they must be reformed; but they are sensible also of the abuses of the reformation. They let the evil continue, if they fear a worse; they are content with a lesser good, if they doubt of a greater. They examine into the parts, to judge of them in connection; and they examine all the causes to discover their different effects.

Could I but succeed so as to afford new reasons to every man to love his duty, his prince, his country, his laws; new reasons to render him more sensible in every nation and government of the blessings he enjoys, I should think myself the most happy of mortals.

Could I but succeed so as to persuade those who command, to increase their knowledge in what they ought to prescribe; and those who obey, to find a new pleasure resulting from their obedience; I should think myself the

most happy of mortals.

The most happy of mortals should I think myself, could I contribute to make mankind recover from their preju-

dices. By prejudices I here mean, not that which renders men ignorant of fome particular things, but whatever renders them ignorant of themselves.

It is in endeavouring to instruct mankind, that we are best able to practise that general virtue, which comprehends the love of all. Man, that slexible being, conforming in society to the thoughts and impressions of others, is equally capable of knowing his own nature, whenever it is said open to his view; and of losing the very sense of

it, when this idea is banished from his mind.

Often have I begun, and as often have I laid afide this undertaking. I have a thousand times given the leaves I have written to the * winds: I every day selt my paternal hands fall †. I have followed my object without any fixed plan: I have known neither rules nor exceptions; I have found the truth, only to lose it again. But when I had once discovered my first principles, every thing I sought for appeared; and in the course of twenty years, I have seen my work begun, growing up, advancing to maturity, and finished.

If this work meets with fuccess, I shall owe it chiefly to the grandeur and majesty of the subject. However, I do not think that I have been totally descient in point of genius. When I have seen what so many great men both in France and Germany have wrote before me, I have been lost in admiration; but I have not lost my courage: I have said with Corregio, And I also am a ‡ painter.

^{*} Ludibria ventis.

⁺ Bis patriæ cecidere manus.

[‡] Ed io anche son pittore.

Warter Date of the State of the 4 and the same of the same

CONTENTS.

BOOK I. Of Laws in General.

Chap.	Page.
1. A F laws as they relate to different beings,	I
2. Of the laws of nature,	4
3. Of positive laws,	5
BOOK II. Of Laws directly derived from the Nature of	Government.
Chap.	Page.
1. Of the nature of the three different governments 2. Of the republican government, and the laws re	lative 8
to democracy,	ibid.
3. Of the laws relative to the nature of aristocracy	, 13
4. Of the relation of laws to the nature of monard	hical
government,	16
5. Of the laws relative to the nature of a despoti	c go-
vernment,	18
BOOK III. Of the Principles of the three Kinds of Got	pernment.
Chap.	Pageo
1. Difference between the nature and principle of	
vernment,	19
2. Of the principle of different governments,	20
3. Of the principle of democracy,	ibid.
4. Of the principle of aristocracy,	23
5. That virtue is not the principle of a monarchica	
vernment, -	24
6. In what manner virtue is supplied in a monarchica	
vernment,	25
7. Of the principle of monarchy,	26
8. That honour is not the principle of despotic	go- ibid.
9. Of the principle of despotic government,	27
vernments,	28
11. Reflections on the foregoing,	
tenestions on the foregoing,	BOOK IV.

That the Law of Education ought to be relative to the Principles of Government.

Chap.			Page.
1. Of the laws of education,	-		30
2. Of education in monarchies,		- 4	31
3. Of education in a despotic govern	ment,		34
4. Difference between the effects of	ancient a	nd mode	rn
education, -			. 35
5. Of education in a republican gove	rnment,		ibid.
6. Of some institutions among the G	reeks,		36
7. In what case these singular institut	tions may	be of fe	er-
vice,			38.
8. Explication of a paradox of the an	ncients, in	n respect	to
manners, -		-	39
BOOK v. That the Laws given by the	Tarilaton	aught to	he rela-
tive to the Principle of G			ve reia-
true to the Truncipie of G	overnmen		
Chap.			Page.
1. Idea of this book,			42
2. What is meant by virtue in a pol	itical stat	e	ibid.
3. What is meant by a love of the r	epublic i	n a dem	0-
cracy,			43
4. In what manner the love of equa	lity and	frugality	15
inspired, -			44
5. In what manner the laws establish	equality	in a dem	0-
cracy,			45
6. In what manner the laws ought to	maintai	n frugali	
in a democracy,			48
.7. Other methods of favouring the	principle	e of dem	0-
cracy,	1 1		49
8. In what manner the laws ought to			
principle of government in an a			. 52
9. In what manner the laws are rela	tive to ti	ieir princ	
ple in monarchies,			. 57
10. Of the expedition peculiar to the	executiv	e power	mail and a second
monarchies,	1		58
11. Of the excellence of a monarchical 12. The same subject continued,	ai govern	ment,	59
13. An idea of despotic power,			ibid.
74. In what manner the laws are rele	tive to	he sein	
14. In what manner the laws are relaples of despotic government,	itive to t	ne princ	
15. The fame subject continued,			ibid.
16. Of the communication of power,		1.43	67
17. Of prefents,			69
The preferre,			18. Of
			10. 01

CONTENTS.	xin
Obah	Page.
Chap. 18. Of rewards conferred by the fovereign, 19. New confequences of the principles of three govern	71
ments,	72
with respect to the Simplicity of Civil and Criminal Law Form of Judgments, and the instituting of Punishments.	nments ws, the
Chap Marilla in magna langten to conflicted alla	Page.
1. Of the fimplicity of civil laws in different gover	
ments,	76
2. Of the simplicity of criminal laws in different gover	
3. In what governments and in what cases the judg ought to determine according to the express lett of the law,	
4. Of the manner of forming judgments,	.81
5. In what governments the fovereign may be judge,	. 82
6. That in monarchies the ministers ought not to	be
judges,	85
7. Of a fingle magistrate,	86
8. Of accusations in different governments,	ibid.
9. Of the severity of punishments in different gover	
ments, 10. Of the ancient French laws,	87
11. That when a people are virtuous, few punishmen	
are necessary,	ibid.
12. Of the power of punishments,	90
13. Impotency of the laws of Japan,	92
14. Of the spirit of the Roman senate,	94
15. Of the Roman laws in respect to punishments,	ibid.
16. Of the just proportion betwixt punishments a	nd
crimes,	97
17. Of the question or torture,	98
18. Of pecuniary and corporal punishments,	. 99
19. Of the law of retaliation, 20. Of the punishment of fathers for the crimes of the	The sales and the sales are
children,	100
21. Of the clemency of the prince.	ibid.
BOOK VII. Consequences of the different Principles of the the vernments, with respect to Sumptuary Laws, Luxury and dition of Women.	
Chap.	Page-
I. Of luxury,	102
2. Of fumptuary laws in a democracy,	104
	2 08

1

I

I

I

Chap:

CONTENTS.	. 24
Chap.	Page.
17. Distinctive properties of a monarchy,	133
18. Particular case of the Spanish monarchy,	234
19. Distinctive properties of a despotic government,	ibid.
20. Consequence of the preceding chapters,	135
21. Of the empire of China,	ibid.
BOOK IX. Of Laws in the Relation they bear to a Defenfin	e Force.
Chap.	Page.
1. In what manner republics provide for their fafety,	138
2. That a confederate government ought to be composed of states of the same nature, especially of the repu	ed
lican kind,	140
3. Other requisites in a confederate republic, -	ibid.
4. In what manner despotic governments provide for the fecurity,	
5. In what part a monarchical government provides	for
its fecurity,	142
6. Of the defensive force of the states in general,	ibid.
7. A reflection,	144
8. A particular case in which the desensive force of state is inferiour to the offensive,	ibid.
9. Of the relative force of states,	145
10. Of the weakness of neighbouring states,	ibid.
BOOK X. Of Laws in the Relation they bear to Offenfiv	O 200
	The State of
Chap.	Page.
1. Of offensive force,	146
2. Of war,	ibid.
3. Of the right of conquest,	147
4. Some advantages of a conquered people,	149
5. Gelon king of Syracuse,	151
6. Of conquests made by a republic,	ibid.
7. The same subject continued,	152
8. The same subject continued,	153
9. Of conquests made by a monarchy,	ibid.
10. Of one monarchy that subdues another,	154
11. Of the manners of a conquered people,	155
12. Of a law of Cyrus,	ibid.
13. Alexander,	156
14. Charles XII.	158
15. New methods of preferving a conquest,	159
16. Of conquests made by a despotic prince,	160
17. The same subject continued,	ibid.

BOOK XI.

3 1

7 1. 8

e. 9 d. 12 d

27 id. 29

31 32 id. ap.

CONTENTS.

BOOK XI. Of the Laws that form Political Liberty, with regard to the Constitution.

이번 보고 속 전화 이렇게 되었다. 아니라 아들은 남자 사이의 시간에 가장 되었다면 하는데 되었다면 가지 않는데 그 사람이 있다.	
Chap. Chap.	Page
1. A general idea,	161
2. Different fignifications given to the word liberty,	162
3. In what liberty confifts,	163
1. The fame subject continued,	ibid.
5. Of the end or view of different governments,	165
6. Of the constitution of England,	ibid.
7. Of the monarchies we are acquainted with,	176
8. Why the ancients had not a clear idea of monarch	
9. Aristotle's manner of thinking,	178
10. What other politicians thought,	ibid.
11. Of the kings of the heroic times of Greece,	179
12. Of the government of the kings of Rome, and in wh	
manner the three powers were there distributed,	
13. General reflections on the state of Rome after the e	
pulfion of its kings,	182
14. In what manner the distribution of the three power	
began to change after the expulsion of the kings,	183
15. In what manner Rome, while in the flourishing sta	
of the republic, fuddenly lost its liberty,	185
16. Of the legislative powers in the Roman republic,	187
17. Of the executive power in the same republic,	188
18. Of the judiciary power in the Roman government,	190
19. Of the government of the Roman provinces,	196
20. End of this book,	198
BOOK XII. Of the Laws that form Political Liberty as relative Subject.	ative to
Chap.	Page.
1. Idea of this book,	199
2. Of the liberty of the subject.	200
3. The same subject continued,	201
4. That liberty is favoured by the nature and proportio	n
of punishments,	ibid.
5. Of certain accusations that require particular modera	1-
tion and prudence,	204
6. Of the crime against nature,	205
7. Of the crime of high treason,	207
8. Of the bad application of the name of facrilege an	d
high treason, -	ibid.
9. The same subject continued,	209
10. The same subject continued,	210
	Chap.

8

9.

	CONTENTS.	xvii
-1		Page
Cha	Of thoughts,	210
	Of indifcreet speeches,	211
	Of writings,	212
	The state of the s	213
14.	Of the infranchisement of slaves in order to accur	
15.	their master,	214
	Of calumny in respect to the crime of high-treason,	215
		ibid.
17.	Of the revealing of conspiracies, How dangerous it is in republics to be too severe i	
18.	punishing the crime of high-treason,	216
19.	In what manner the use of liberty is suspended in	
	republic,	217
20.	Of laws favourable to the liberty of the subject in republic,	218
21.	Of the cruelty of laws in respect to debtors in a re	
	public,	219
22.	Of things that strike at liberty in monarchies,	220
	Of spies in monarchies,	221
	Of anonymous letters,	222
	Of the manner of governing in monarchies,	223
	That in a monarchy the prince ought to be of eal	
	accels,	ibid.
27.	Of the manners of a monarch,	224
28.	Of the regard which monarchs owe to their fubjests	
29.	Of the civil laws proper for mixing a little liberty i	a
	a despotic government,	225
30.	The same subject continued,	226
E00	Greatness of the public Revenues bave to Liberty.	and the
Cha		Page.
	Of the revenues of the state,	227
	That it is bad reasoning to say that the greatness of	
	the taxes is good in its own nature,	228
3.	Of taxes in countries where part of the people ar	
2	villains, or bondmen,	229
4.	Of a republic in the like cafe,	ibid.
	Of a monarchy in the like case,	ibid.
	Of a despotic government in the like case, -	230
7.	Of taxes in countries where villainage is not esta	
	blished, -	ibid.
8.		
	Of a bad kind of impost,	232
10.	That the greatness of taxes depends on the nature of	f 233
	the government,	
	b	Chan.

1. 457 1. 90 P.

iiivx	CON	TENTS	3.		
Chap.					Page.
11. Of fiscal	l punishments,	to mainted	The digital	as dient	234
12. Relation	n between the	reatness of	taxes and	liberty,	235
12. In what	governments	taxes are ca	pable of in	crease,	236
14. That th	e nature of the	taxes is rela	tive to the	govern	4
ment,		v 0 5553*	wast all to	* iditus	237
15. Abuse			rally to share		238
of the	conquests of the	Mahometa	ns.		239
77 Of the	augmentation of	f troops.	107	masiles	ibid.
	xemption from			Loons	240
To Which	is most suitable	to the pri	nce and to	the nec	
ry. which	he letting out to	form or the	ne administ	ration o	e
		o laim, of ci	ic adminis		241
	venues,				242
20. Of the	farmers of the	revenues,		55000	242
BOOK XIV.	Of Laws as	Relative to th	e Nature of	the Chin	nate.
Chap.					Page.
1. Genera	l idea.		_		243
	difference of me	en in differe	nt climates		ibid.
	diction in the				
tions,		maracters of	- Tome Tour		
	of the immutab	ility of reli	mion man	ners on	147
	and laws in th			ucis, cu	248
The second secon	ofe are bad leg	2 -		Nices (
	limate, and go				
The second second second		ou reginator	s who opp	ole tho	ibid.
6 Of the	cultivation of l	ande in war	m climates	e ni enere	
		ands in warr	ii ciimates	1	249
7. Of mor		f China			250
	cellent custom of				ibid.
	of encouraging		-5.1		251
	laws relative to	The state of the s			ibid.
	laws relative to		pers of the	climate	
	laws against su		T	-	255
	arising from th		England,		ibid.
	effects of the cl			0.0400	257
	different confid				
peopl	e, according to	the differen	ice of clim	ates,	258
BOOK XV.	In what Manne	r the Laws	of Civil S.	lavery a	re rela-
bidi	tive to the	Nature of the	Climate.		
Chap.			s Asia nars	abnusa	Dage
1. Of civi	1 flavery				Page.
	of the right of	flavery amo	nor the Pa	man ei	259
		navery amo	ng the Ro	man erv	
lians,		wight of fla		•	260
3. Amound	er origin of the	right of ha	very,	•	262
					Chap.

- 6

.

1

	CONTENTS.	xix
Cha		Page
4.	Another origin of the right of flavery,	262
5.	Of the flavery of the Negroes,	263
6.	The true origin of the right of flavery,	264
7.	Another origin of the right of flavery,	265
	Inutility of flavery among us, -	· ibid.
Q.	Several kinds of flavery, -	266
10.	Regulations necessary in respect to slavery,	267
	Abuses of slavery,	ibid.
	Danger from the multitude of flaves,	268
	Of armed flaves, -	269
	The same subject continued,	270
	Precautions to be used in moderate governments,	271
	Regulations between masters and slaves,	273
	Of infranchisements,	274
	Of freedmen and eunuchs,	276
		ment
B00	K XVI. How the Laws of Domestic Slavery bave a l	Relation
4	to the Nature of the Climate.	-68 La.
Char		Page.
Chap	Of domestic servitude,	277
	That in the countries of the fouth there is a natur	
2.	inequality between the two fexes,	278
-	That a plurality of wives depends greatly on the mea	
2.		
	of supporting them, That the law of polygamy is an affair that depen	279
4.		280
	on calculation,	281
	The reason of a law of Malabar,	ibid.
	Of polygamy confidered in itself,	282
	Of an equality of treatment in case of many wives,	
	Of the separation of women from men,	283
9.	Of the connection between domestic and political g	ibid.
	The principle on which the morals of the East a	
10.	founded,	
	점점 그는 현실을 하지 않는 경찰에 가지 않는 것이 모든 것이 되었다. 그 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은	284
	Of domestic slavery independently of polygamy,	286
	Of natural modesty,	ibid.
	Of jealoufy,	287
	Of the eastern manner of domestic government,	288
	Of divorce and repudiation,	ibid.
10.	Of repudiation and divorce amongst the Romans,	290
	tivio and the rest firms	
	gin of the right of there's enough in Bornen eiri-	Was I was a second
90	ACTIVE ACTIVITIES ACTIVITI ACT	300000

3

2

ango: nga gadili

BOOK XVII. How the Laws of Political Servitude have a Relation to the Nature of the Climate.

Chap.	Page.
I. Of political fervitude,	292
2. The difference between nations in point of courage	, 293
3. Of the climate of Afia,	ibid.
4. The consequences resulting from this.	296
5. That when the people in the north of Afia, and the	ofe
of the north of Europe have conquered, the effect	As
of the conquest were not the same,	297
6. A new physical cause of the slavery of Asia, and	
the liberty of Europe, -	298
7. Of Africa and America, -	299
BOOK XVIII. Of Laws in the Relation they bear to the N.	ature of
the Soil.	
Char.	Page.
1. How the nature of the foil has an influence on t	
laws,	300
2. The same subject continued,	301
3. What countries are best cultivated,	ibid.
4. New effects of the fertility and barrenness of cou	
tries,	302
5. Of the inhabitants of islands,	303
6. Of countries raised by the industry of men,	ibid.
7. Of the works of men,	304
8. The general relation of laws,	305
9. Of the foil of America,	ibid.
10. Of the number of men with regard to the manner	
which they procure subsistence,	ibid.
71. Of favage nations, and nations of barbarians,	306
12. Of the law of nations amongst people who do not entivate the earth.	
13. Of the civil laws of these nations who do not cult	. 307
vate the earth.	
14. Of the political flate of the people who do not cult	ibid.
vate the lands,	
15. Of people who know the use of money,	308
16. Of civil laws amongst people who know not the use	ibid.
money.	
17. Of political laws amongst nations who have not the	309
use of money,	
18. Of the power of superstition,	ibid.
201 Or the power of Imperiation,	310 Chan
	Chap.

	얼마나 생활을 맞고 있는 이 이 경험에 되었다. 그는 이 아무리 아이들은 아이들은 사람이 되었다면 하는데 하는데 하다고 있다.	
	CONTENTS	xxi
Chap		Page
19.	Of the liberty of the Arabs, and the fervitude	of the
	Tartars,	311
20.	Of the law of nations as practifed by the Tartas	15, 312
21.	The civil laws of the Tartars,	- ibid.
22.		313
23.	Of the ornaments of royalty,	318
24.		- 319
25.	Childeric,	- ibid.
26.	Of the time when the kings of the Franks becan	me of
	age,	320
27.	The same subject continued,	321
	Of the fanguinary temper of the kings of the Fr	
	Of the national affemblies of the Franks, -	323
29.	Of the authority of the clergy under the first ra	ce, 324
	[[[[[] [[] [[] [[] [[] [[] [[] [] [] []	The state of the s
BOOK	x xix. Of Laws in Relation to the Principles which	
	General Spirit, the Morals, and Customs of a Nati	ion.
Cha		Page.
	Of the subject of this book,	325
2.	That it is necessary people's minds should be pr	
	ed for the reception of the best laws, -	ibid.
	Of tyranny,	326
	Of the general Spirit of mankind,	327
5.	How far we should be attentive, lest the general	fpirit
	of a nation should be changed,	ibid.
6.	That every thing ought not to be corrected,	328
7.	Of the' Athenians and Lacedæmonians,	329
8.	Effects of a sociable temper,	ibid.
9.	Of the vanity and the pride of nations,	330
10.	Of the characters of the Spaniards and Chinese,	331
11.	A reflection,	332
12.	Of customs and manners in a despotic state,	- ibid.
	Of the customs of the Chinese,	333
	What are the natural means of changing the ma	nners
	and customs of a nation,	ibid.
15.	The influence of domestic government on the I	
-	cal,	
16.	How fome legislators have confounded the prin-	ciples 335
	which govern mankind,	ibid.
17.	Of the peculiar quality of the Chinese government	
18.	A consequence drawn from the preceding chapt	
10.	How this union of religion, laws, manners, and	er, 337
	toms, amongst the Chinese, was produced,	A T . THE LAND CO.
20.	An explication of a paradox relating to the Chi	- 338
-0.	and capitation of a paradox retaining to the Chi	
		Chap.

xxii	CONTENT	· S.				
Cha	р.					Page.
21.	How the laws ought to have a r	elati	on	to n	nanne	rs
INC	and customs,					340
22	The fame subject continued,					341
23.	How the laws are founded on the	mai	nne	rs of	a pec)-
ET.S	ple,					ibid.
24.	The same subject continued,					ibid.
25.	The fame subject continued,					342
	The same subject continued,					343
	How the laws contribute to form	the	m	anne	rs, cu	f- 0.13
No. of the	toms, and character of a nation.					211

1 2 1 - All

· 100

THE

h

A STATE OF THE STA

British Anna Land Control of the Con

GARAL Foreign Showing the control of the control of

SPIRIT

OF

L A W S.

B O O K I.

OF LAWS IN GENERAL.

CHAP. I.

Of Laws as they relate to different Being

Laws, in their most general fignification, are the necessary relations resulting from the nature of things. In this sense all beings have their laws, the Deity has * his laws, the material world its laws, the intelligences superiour to man have their laws, the beasts their laws, man his laws.

Those who affert that a blind fatality produced the various effects we behold in this world, are guilty of a very great absurdity; for can any thing be more absurd, than to pretend that a blind fatality could be productive of intelligent beings?

There is then a primitive reason; and laws are the relations which subsist between it and different beings, and the relations of these beings among themselves.

God is related to the universe as creator and preserver; the laws by which he created all things, are those by which he preserves them. He acts according to these rules, because he knows them; he knows them, because he made

The law, fays Plutarch, is queen of the gods and men. See his treatife intitled, The necessity of a prince being a man of learning.

them; and he made them, because they are relative to his

wifdom and power.

As we see that the world, though formed by the motion of matter, and void of understanding, subsists through so long a succession of ages, its motions must certainly be directed by invariable laws: and could we imagine another world, it must also have constant rules, or must inevitably perish.

Thus the creation, which feems an arbitrary act, supofeth laws as invariable as the fatality of the Atheists. It would be absurd to say, that the Creator might govern the world without those rules, since without them it could not

fubfift.

These rules are a fixed and invariable relation. Between two bodies moved, it is according to the relations of the quantity of matter and velocity, that all the motions are received, augmented, diminished, lost; each diversity is

UNIFORMITY, each change is CONSTANCY.

Particular intelligent beings may have laws of their own making, but they have fome likewife which they never made. Before there were intelligent beings, they were possible; they had therefore possible relations, and confequently possible laws. Before laws were made, there were relations of possible justice. To fay that there is nothing just or unjust but what is commanded or forbidden by positive laws, is the same as saying that, before the describing of a circle, all the radii were not equal.

We must therefore acknowledge relations of justice, antecedent to the positive law by which they are established: As for instance, that if human societies existed, it would be right to conform to their laws; if there were intelligent beings that had received a benefit of another being, they ought to be grateful; if one intelligent being had created another intelligent being, the latter ought to continue in its original state of dependence; if one intelligent being injures another, it deserves a retaliation of the injury, and so on.

But the intelligent world is far from being so well governed as the physical. For though the former has also its laws, which of their own nature are invariable, yet it does not conform to them so exactly as the physical world. This is because, on the one hand, particular intelligent be-

ings

1

ings are of a finite nature, and consequently liable to errour; and on the other, their nature requires them to be free agents. Hence they do not steadily conform to their primitive laws; and even those of their own instituting they

frequently infringe.

Whether brutes be governed by the general laws of motion, or by a particular movement, is what we cannot determine. Be that as it may, they have not a more intimate relation to God than the rest of the material world; and sensation is of no other use to them, than in the relation they have either to other particular beings, or to themselves.

By the allurement of pleasure, they preserve the being of the individual, and by the same allurement they preserve their species. They have natural laws, because they are united by sensations; positive laws they have none, because they are not connected by knowledge. And yet they do not conform invariably to their natural laws; these are better observed by vegetables, that have neither intellectual nor sensitive faculties.

Brutes are deprived of the high advantages we enjoy; but they have some which we have not. They have not our hopes, but they are without our fears; they are subject like us to death, but without knowing it; even most of them are more attentive than we to filf-preservation,

and do not make fo bad a use of their passions.

Man, as a physical being, is like other bodies, governed by invariable laws. As an intelligent being, he incessantly transgresses the laws established by God, and changes those which he himself has established. He is left to his own direction, though he is a limited being, subject, like all finite intelligences, to ignorance and errour; even the impersect knowledge he has, he loses as a sensible creature, and is hurried away by a thousand impetuous passions.

Such a being might every instant forget his Creator; God has therefore reminded him of his duty by the laws of religion. Such a being is liable every moment to forget himself; philosophy has provided against this by the laws of morality. Formed to live in society, he might forget his fellow creatures; legislators have therefore, by po-

litical and civil laws, confined him to his duty.

CHAP. II.

Of the Laws of Nature.

Prior to all these laws are those of nature, so called, became they derive their force entirely from our frame and being. In order to have a perfect knowledge of these laws, we must consider man before the establishment of society; the laws received in such a state would be those of nature.

The law which by imprinting on our minds the idea of a Creator inclines us to him, is the first in importance, though not in order, of natural laws. Man in a state of nature would have the faculty of knowing, before he had any acquired knowledge.

It is evident that his first ideas would not be of a speculative nature; he would think of the preservation of his being, before he would investigate its original. Such a man would feel nothing in himself at first but impotency and weakness; his sears and apprehensions would be excessive; as appears from instances (were there any necessity of proving it) of savages found in forests *, trembling at the motion of a leaf, and slying from every shadow.

In this state every man would fancy himself inseriour; fcarcely would he think of his being equal. There would therefore be no danger of their attacking one another; peace would be the first law of nature.

The natural impulse or desire which Hobbes attribute to mankind of subduing one another, is far from being well founded. The idea of empire and dominion is so complex, and depends on so many other notions, that it could never be the first that would occur to human understandings.

Hobbes inquires, For what reason do men go armed, and have locks and keys to fasten their doors, if they be not naturally in a state of war? But is it not obvious, that he attributes to men before the establishment of society, what can happen but in consequence of this establishment, which furnishes them with motives for hostile attacks and self-defence?

Next

n

V

fo

ca

re

W

Witness the favage found in the forests of Hanever, who was carried ever to England in the reign of George I.

Next to a fense of his weakness, man would foon find that of his wants. Hence another law of nature would

prompt him to feek for nourishment.

Fear, I have observed, would incline men to shun one another; but the marks of this fear being reciprocal, would soon induce them to associate. Besides, this association would quickly follow from the very pleasure one animal feels at the approach of another of the same species. Again, the attraction arising from the different sexes would enhance this pleasure, and the natural inclination they have for each other, would form a third law.

Beside the sense or instinct which man has in common with brutes, he has the advantage of attaining to acquired knowledge; and thereby has a second tie which brutes have not. Mankind have therefore a new motive of uniting, and a fourth law of nature arises from the desire of live

ing in fociety.

CHAP. III.

Of Positive Laws.

As foon as mankind enter into a state of society, they lose the sense of their weakness; the equality ceases, and then commences the state of war.

Each particular fociety begins to feel its strength, whence arises a state of war betwixt different nations. The individuals likewise of each society become sensible of their strength; hence the principal advantages of this society they endeavour to convert to their own emolument, which

constitutes between them a state of war.

These two different kinds of hostile states give rise to human laws. Considered as inhabitants of so great a planet, which necessarily implies a variety of nations, they have laws relative to their mutual intercourse, which is what we call the LAW OF NATIONS. Considered as members of a society that must be properly supported, they have laws relative to the governours and the governed; and this we call POLITICAL LAW. They have also another sort of laws relating to the mutual communication of citizens: by which is understood the CIVIL LAW.

A 3

The law of nations is naturally founded on this principle, that different nations ought in time of peace to do one another all the good they can, and in time of war as little harm as possible, without prejudicing their real interests.

The object of war is victory; victory aims at conquest; conquest at preservation. From this and the preceding principle, all those rules are derived which constitute the

LAW OF NATIONS.

All countries have a law of nations, not excepting the Iroquois themselves, though they devour their prisoners; for they send and receive ambassadors, and understand the rights of war and peace. The mischief is, that their law of nations is not founded on true principles.

Besides the law of nations relating to all societies, there is a POLITIC LAW for each particularly considered. No society can subsist without a form of government. The conjunction of the particular forces of individuals, as Gravina well observes, constitutes what we call a POLITICAL STATE.

The general force may be in the hands of a fingle perfon, or of many. Some think that nature having established paternal authority, the government of a fingle person was most conformable to nature. But the example of paternal authority proves nothing. For if the power of a father is relative to a fingle government, that of brothers after the death of a father, or that of cousin-germans after the decease of brothers, are relative to a government of many. The political power necessarily comprehends the union of several families.

Better is it to fay that the government most conformable to nature, is that whose particular disposition best agrees with the humour and disposition of the people in whose favour it is established.

The particular force of individuals cannot be united without a conjunction of all their wills. The conjunction of those wills, as Gravina again very justly observes, is what we call the CIVIL STATE.

Law in general is human reason, inasmuch as it governs all the inhabitants of the earth, the political and civil laws of each nation ought to be only the particular cases in which this human reason is applied.

They should be adapted in such a manner to the people for whom they are made, as to render it very unlikely for

those of one nation to be proper for another.

They

They should be relative to the nature and principle of the actual, or intended government; whether they form it, as in the case of political laws, or whether they support it,

as may be faid of civil institutions.

They should be relative to the climate of each country, to the quality of the soil, to its situation and extent, to the manner of living of the natives, whether husbandmen, huntsmen, or shepherds; they should have a relation to the degree of liberty which the constitution will bear; to the religion of the inhabitants, to their inclinations, riches, number, commerce, manners, and customs. In fine, they have relations to each other, as also to their origin, to the intent of the legislator, and to the order of things on which they are established; in all which different lights they ought to be considered.

This is what I have undertaken to perform in the following work. These relations I shall examine, fince all these together form what I call the Spirit of Laws.

I have not separated the political from the civil laws; for as I do not pretend to treat of laws, but of their spirit, and as this spirit consists in the various relations which the laws may have to different things, it is not so much my business to follow the natural order of laws, as that of these

relations and things.

ĉ

I shall first examine the relation which laws have to the nature and principle of each government; and as this principle has a strong influence on laws, I shall make it my business to understand it thoroughly: and if I can but once establish it, the laws will soon appear to slow from thence as from their source. I shall proceed afterwards to other more particular relations.

A 4

BOOK

BOOK II.

OF LAWS DIRECTLY DIRIVED FROM THE NATURE OF GOVERNMENT.

CHAP. I.

Of the Nature of the Three different Governments.

THERE are three species of government; the republican, monarchical, and despotic. In order to discover their nature, it is sufficient to recollect the common notion, which supposes three definitions, or rather three sacts: that a republican government is that in which the body, or only a part of the people, is possessed of the supreme power: a monarchical, that in which a single person governs by fixed and established laws: a despotic government that in which a single person, without law and without rule, directs every thing by his own will and caprice.

This is what I call the nature of each government; we must examine now which are those laws that follow this nature directly, and consequently are the first fundamental

CHAP. II.

Of the Republican Government, and the Laws relative to Democracy.

When the body of the people in a republic are possessible ed of the supreme power, this is called a democracy. When the supreme power is lodged in the hands of a part of the people, it is then an aristocracy.

In a democracy the people are in some respects the

fovereign, and in others the subject.

They can no way exercise sovereignty but by their suffrages, which are their own will; now, the sovereign's will is the sovereign himself. The laws therefore which establish

establish the right of suffrage, are fundamental to this government. In fact, it is as important to regulate in a republic, in what manner, by whom, to whom, and concencerning what suffrages are to be given, as it is in a monarchy to know who is the prince, and after what manner he ought to govern.

Libanius * fays, that at Athens a stranger who intermeddled in the assemblies of the people, was punished with death. This is because such a man usurped the rights of sovereignty.

It is an essential point, to fix the number of citizens who are to form the public assemblies; otherwise it might be uncertain whether they had the votes of the whole, or of only a part of the people. At Sparta the number was fixed to ten thousand. But at Rome, a city designed by providence to rise from the weakest beginnings to the highest pitch of grandeur; at Rome, a city doomed to experience all the vicissitudes of fortune; at Rome, who had sometimes all her inhabitants without her walls, and sometimes all Italy and a considerable part of the world within them: at Rome, I say, this number was never fixed †, and this was one of the principal causes of her ruin.

The people in whom the supreme power resides, ought to do of themselves whatever conveniently they can; and what they themselves cannot rightly perform, they must do

by their ministers.

The ministers are not properly theirs, unless they have the nomination of them: it is therefore a fundamental maxim in this government, That the people should chuse their

ministers; that is, their magistrates.

They have occasion as well as monarchs, and even more than them, to be directed by a council or senate. But to have a proper considence in these, they should have the chusing of the members; and this whether the election be made by themselves, as at Athens; or by some magistrate deputed for that purpose, as on certain occasions was customary at Rome.

The people are extremely well qualified for chusing those whom they are to entrust with a part of their au-

thority

^{*} Declam. 17. & 28.

[†] See the Confiderations on the causes of the grandeur and decline of the Romans.

that

thority. They have only to be determined by things which they cannot be strangers to, and by facts that are obvious to sense. They can tell when a person has been in several engagements, and has had particular success; they are therefore very capable of electing a general. They can tell when a judge is assiduous in his office, when he gives general satisfaction, and has never been charged with bribery: this is sufficient for chusing a prætor. They are struck with the magnificence or riches of a sellow citizen; this is as much as is requisite for electing an ædile. These are all sacts of which they can have better information in a public forum, than a monarch in his palace. But are they able to manage an intricate affair, to find out and make a proper use of places, occasions, moments? No, this is beyond their capacity.

Should we doubt of the people's natural ability in refpect to the difcernment of merit, we need only cast an eye on the continual series of surprising elections made by the Athenians and Romans; which no one surely will attribute

to hazard.

We know, that though the people of Rome assumed to themselves the right of raising plebeians to public offices, yet they could not resolve to chuse them; and though at Athens the magistrates were allowed, by the law of Aristides, to be elected from all the different classes of inhabitants, yet there never was a case, says Xenophon *, that the common people petitioned for employments that could endanger their security or glory.

As most citizens have a capacity of chusing, though they are not sufficiently qualified to be chosen: so the people, though capable of calling others to an account for their administration, are incapable of the administration them-

felves.

The public business must be carried on, with a certain motion neither too quick nor too slow. But the motion of the people is always either too remiss or too violent. Sometimes with a hundred thousand arms they overturn all before them; and sometimes with a hundred thousand feet they creep like insects.

In a popular trate, the inhabitants are divided into certain classes. It is in the manner of making this division,

* P. 691 & 692, edit. Wechel. ann. 1596.

that great legislators have fignalized themselves; and it is on this the duration and prosperity of democracy have al-

ways depended.

Servius Tullius followed the spirit of aristocracy in the distribution of his classes. We find in Livy * and in Dionyfius Halicarnasius † in what manner he lodged the right of suffrage in the hands of the principal citizens. He had divided the people of Rome into a hundred and ninety-three centuries, which formed six classes; and ranking the rich, who were in smaller numbers, in the first centuries; and those in middling circumstances, who were more numerous, in the following centuries; he stung the indigent multitude into the last; and as each century had but one vote ‡, it was property rather than numbers that decided the elections.

Solon divided the people of Athens into four classes. In this he was directed by the spirit of democracy, his intention not being to fix those who were to chuse, but those who were capable of being chosen; wherefore leaving to each citizen the right of election, he made § the judges eligible from each of those four classes; but the magistrates he ordered to be chosen only out of the three first, which

confifted of citizens of easy fortunes.

As the division of those who have a right of suffrage, is a fundamental law in a republic; so the manner also of giving this suffrage is another fundamental law.

The fuffrage by lot is natural to democracy; as that by

choice is to aristocracy.

The fuffrage by lot is a method of electing that offends no one; it lets each citizen entertain reasonable hopes of serving his country.

But as this method is in itself defective, it has been the glorious endeavour of the most eminent legislators to regu-

late and amend it.

Solon made a law at Athens that military employments should be conferred by choice, but that senators and judges

should be elected by lot.

The same legislator ordained, that civil magistrates, attended with great expense, should be given by choice; and the others by lot.

But

§ Dionysius Halicar. elog. of Isocrates, p. 97, tom. ii.

[†] Lib. 4, art. 15, & feq. edit. Wechel. Pollux lib. 8. cap. 10. art. 130. ‡ See in the confiderations on the causes of the grandeur and decline of the Romans, chap. ix. how this spirit of Servius Tullius was preserved in

But in order to amend the fuffrage by lot, he made a rule, that none but those who presented themselves should be elected; that the person elected should be examined by judges *, and that every one should have a right to accuse him if he were unworthy of the office +: this participated at the same time of the suffrage by lot, and of that by choice. When the time of their magistracy was expired, they were obliged to submit to another judgment upon the manner they had behaved. Persons utterly unqualified, must have been extremely backward in giving in their names to be drawn by lot.

The law which determines the manner of giving the fuffrages, is likewise fundamental in a democracy. It is a question of some importance, whether the suffrages ought to be public or secret? Cicero observes ‡, that the laws || which rendered them secret towards the close of the republic, were the cause of its decline. But as this is differently practifed in different republics, I shall offer here my

Linear Indiana Control of the Chair Subjection of

The people's fuffrages ought doubtless to be public §; and this should be considered as a fundamental law of democracy. The lower fort of people ought to be directed by those of higher rank, and restrained within bounds by the gravity of certain personages. Hence, by rendering the suffrages secret in the Roman republic, all was lost; it was no longer possible to direct a populace that sought its own destruction. But when the body of the nobles are to vote in an aristocracy ¶; or in a democracy, the senate 4; as the business is then only to prevent intrigues, the suffrages, cannot be too secret.

Intriguing in a fenate is dangerous; dangerous it is also in a body of nobles; but not so in the people, whose nature it is

* See the oration of Demosthenes de fulfa legat, and the oration against Timarchus.

† They used even to draw two tickets for each place, one which gave the place, and the other which named the person who was to succeed in case the first was rejected.

t Lib. i. & iii. de leg.

They were called leges tabulares; two tables were prefented to each citizen, the first marked with an A, for Antique, or I forbid it; and the other with an U and R, for Uti Rogas, or Be it as you desire.

At Athens the people used to lift up their hands.

As at Venice.

The thirty tyrants at Athens ordered the suffrages of the Areopagites to be public, in order to manage them as they pleased. Lyfus orat. contra Agerat. cap. viii. to act through passion. In countries where they have no share in the government, we often see them as much inflamed on the account of an actor, as ever they could be for any concern of the state. The missfortune of a republic is, when there are no more intrigues; and this happens when the people are corrupted by dint of money; in which case they grow indifferent to public concerns, and passionately desirous of lucre. Careless of the government, and of every thing belonging to it, they quietly wait for their salary.

It is likewise a fundamental law in democracies, that the people should have the sole power to enact laws. And yet there are a thousand occasions on which it is necessary the senate should have a power of decreeing; nay it is frequently proper, to make some trial of a law before it is established. The constitutions of Rome and Athens were excellent. The decrees of the senate * had the force of laws for the space of a year, and did not become perpetual till they were ratisfied by the consent of the people.

CHAP. III.

Of the Laws relative to the Nature of Ariffocracy.

In an aristocracy, the supreme power is lodged in the hands of a certain number of persons. These are invested both with the legislative and executive authority; and the rest of the people are in respect to them the same as the subjects of a monarchy in regard to the monarch.

They do not vote here by lot, for this would be attended only with inconveniencies. In fact, in a government where the most distinctions are already established, though they were to vote by lot, still they would not cease to be odious; it is the nobleman they envy, and not the magistrate.

When the nobility are numerous, there must be a senate to regulate the affairs which the body of nobles are incapable of deciding, and to prepare those they decide. In this case it may be said, that the aristocracy is in some measure in the senate, the democracy in the body of the nobles, and the people are nothing at all.

^{*} See Dionyfius Halicar. lib. iv. & ix.

the

It would be a very happy thing in an aristocracy, if by some indirect method the people could be emancipated from their state of annihilation. Thus at Genoa the bank of St. George being administered by the people, gives them a certain influence in the government, from whence their whole prosperity arises.

The fenators ought by no means to have a right of naming their own members; for this would be the only way to perpetuate abuses. At Rome, which in its early years was a kind of aristocracy, the senate did not fill up the vacant places in their own body, the new senators

were nominated by the cenfors *.

An exorbitant authority fuddenly conferred upon a citizen in a republic, produces a monarchy; or fomething more than a monarchy. In the latter the laws have provided for, or in fome measure adapted themselves to the constitution; and the principle of government checks the monarch; but in a republic, where a private citizen has obtained an exorbitant power †, the abuse of this power is much greater, because the laws foresaw it not, and con-

fequently made no provision against it.

There is an exception to this rule when the constitution is fuch as to have immediate need of a magistrate invested with an exorbitant power. Such was Rome with her dictators, fuch is Venice with her state-inquisitors; these are formidable magistrates, who restore, as it were by violence, the state to its liberty. But how comes it that these magistrates are so very different in these two republics? Is it because Rome supported the remains of her aristocracy against the people; whereas Venice employs her state-inquisitors to maintain her ariftocracy against the nobles. The confequence was, that at Rome the dictatorship could be only of a short duration, because the people act through passion and violence, and not with defign. It was necessary that a magistracy of this kind should be exercised with lustre and pomp, because the business was to intimidate and not to punish the people. It was also necessary that the dictator should be created only for some particular affair, and for this only should have an unlimited authority, because he was always created upon fome fudden emergency.

* They were named at first by the confuls.

[†] This is what ruined the republic of Rome. See Confiderations on the causes of the grandeur and decline of the Romans.

the contrary, at Venice they have occasion for a permanent magistracy; for here it is that designs may be commenced, continued, suspended, resumed; that the ambition of a single person becomes that of a samily, and the ambition of one samily that of many. They have occasion for a secret magistracy, because the crimes they punish, are hatched in secrecy and silence. This magistracy must have a general inquisition, by reason their business is not to put a stop to known evils, but to prevent the unknown. In sine, the latter magistracy is appointed in order to punish suspected crimes; and the former used rather menaces than punishment even for crimes that were openly avowed by their authors.

In all magistracies, the greatness of the power must be compensated by the brevity of the duration. This most legislators have fixed to a year; a longer space would be dangerous, and a shorter would be contrary to the nature of the thing. For who is it that in the management even of his own domestic affairs would be thus confined? At Ragusa * the chief magistrate is changed every month, the other officers every week, and the governour of the castle every day. But this can take place only in a small republic environed † by formidable powers, who might easily corrupt such petty and insignificant magistrates.

The best aristocracy is that in which those who have no share in the legislature, are so few and inconsiderable, that the governing party have no interest in oppressing them.

Thus when Antipater ‡ made a law at Athens, that whofoever was not worth two thousand drachms, should have
no power to vote, he formed by this means the best aristocracy possible; because this was so small a sum as excluded
very sew, and not one of any rank or consideration in the
city. Aristocratical families ought therefore, as much as
possible, to level themselves in appearance with the people.
The more an aristocracy borders on democracy, the nearer
it approaches to perfection; and the more it is imperfect,
in proportion as it draws towards monarchy.

But the most imperfect of all, is that in which the part of the people that obeys, is in a state of civil servitude to those who command, as the aristocracy of Poland, where

the peafants are flaves to the nobility.

CHAP.

^{*} Tournefort's voyoges.

[†] At Lucca the magistrates are chosen only for two months.

[†] Diodorus lib.xviii, p. 601. Rhodoman's edition.

CHAP. IV.

Of the Relation of Laws to the Nature of Monarchical Government.

The intermediate, subordinate, and dependent powers, constitute the nature of monarchical government, I mean of that in which a single person governs by sundamental laws. I said the intermediate, subordinate, and dependent powers. In sact, in monarchies the prince is the source of all power political and civil. These sundamental laws necessarily suppose the intermediate channels through which the power flows: for if there be only the momentary and capricious will of a single person to govern the state, nothing can be fixed, and of course there can be no fundamental law.

The most natural, intermediate, and subordinate power is that of the nobility. This in some measure seems to be essential to a monarchy, whose fundamental maxim is, no monarch, no nobility; no nobility, no monarch; but there

may be a despotic prince.

There are men who have endeavoured in some countries in Europe to abolish all the jurisdiction of the nobility; not perceiving that they were driving at the very thing that was done by the parliament of England. Abolish the privileges of the Lords, of the clergy, and of the cities in a monarchy; and you will soon have a popular state, or else a despotic government.

The courts of a confiderable kingdom in Europe have, for many ages, been striking at the patrimonial jurisdiction of the Lords and clergy. We do not pretend to censure these sage magistrates; but we leave it to the public to

judge, how far this may alter the constitution.

Far am I from being prejudiced in favour of the privileges of the clergy; however, I should be glad their jurifdiction were once fixed. The question is not, Whether their jurifdiction was justly established; but, Whether it be really established; whether it constitutes a part of the laws of the country, and is in every respect relative to those laws; whether between two powers acknowledged independent, the conditions ought not to be reciprocal; and whether it is not equally the duty of a good subject to defend the prerogative of the prince, as to maintain the limits which from time immemorial he has prescribed to his

authority.

Though the ecclesiastic power is so dangerous in a republic, yet it is extremely proper in a monarchy, especially of the absolute kind. What would become of Spain and Portugal since the subversion of their laws, were it not for this only barrier against the incursions of arbitrary power? A barrier that is always useful when there is no other; for as a despotic government is productive of the most frightful calamities to human nature, the very evil that restrains it, is beneficial to the subject.

As the ocean, which feems to threaten to overflow the whole earth, is stopped by weeds and by little pebbles that lie scattered along the shore; so monarchs whose power seems unbounded, are restrained by the smallest obstacles, and suffer their natural pride to be subdued by supplication

and prayer.

The English, to favour their liberty, have abolished all the intermediate powers of which their monarchy was composed. They have a great deal of reason to be jealous of this liberty; were they ever to be so unhappy as to lose it, they would be one of the most service nations upon earth.

Mr. Law, through ignorance both of a republican and monarchical conflitution, was one of the greatest promoters of absolute power that ever was known in Europe. Besides the violent and extraordinary changes owing to his direction, he wanted to suppress all the intermediate ranks, and to abolish the political communities. He was dissolving the monarchy by his chimerical reimbursements, and seemed as if he wanted to buy again even the very constitution.

It is not enough to have intermediate powers in a monarchy; there must be also a depository of the laws. This depository can be only the political bodies who promulge the new laws, and revive the obsolete. The natural ignorance of the nobility, their indolence, and contempt of civil government, require there should be a body invested with a power of reviving the laws, which would be otherwise Vol. I.

^{*} Ferdinand king of Arragon made himfelf grand-mafter of the orders and that alone changed the conftitution.

buried in oblivion. The prince's council are not a proper depository. They are naturally the depository of the momentary will of the prince, and not of the fundamental laws. Besides, the prince's council is continually changing; it is neither permanent nor numerous: neither has it a sufficient share of the considence of the people; consequently it is incapable to set them right in difficult conjunctures, or to reduce them to proper obedience.

Despotic governments, where there are no fundamental laws, have no such kind of depositary. Hence it is that religion has generally so much influence in those countries because it forms a kind of permanent depository; and if this cannot be said of religion, it may of the customs that are respected instead of laws.

CHAP. V.

Of the Laws relative to the Nature of a Despotic Government.

From the nature of despotic power it follows, that the single person invested with this power, commits the execution of it also to a single person. A man whom his senses continually inform, that he himself is every thing, and his subjects nothing, is naturally lazy, voluptuous, and ignorant.

In consequence of this he neglects the management of of public affairs. But were he to commit the administration to many, there would be continually disputes among them; each would form intrigues to be his first slave, and he would be obliged to take the reins into his own hands.

It is therefore more natural for him to refign it to a vizir *, and to invest him with the same power as himself. The creation of a vizir is a fundamental law of this government.

It is related of a pope, that he had raised an infinite number of difficulties against his election, from a thorough conviction of his incapacity. At length he was prevailed on to accept of the pontificate; and refigned the administration entirely to his nephew. He was soon struck with surprise, and said, I should never bave thought that these were so easy. The same may be said of the princes of the East,

The eastern kings are never without vizirs, fays Sir John Chardin.

who, being bred in that prison where their eunuchs enervate both their hearts and understandings, and where they are frequently kept ignorant even of their high rank, when drawn forth in order to be placed upon the throne, they are at first amazed: but as soon as they have chosen a vizir, they abandon themselves in their seraglio to the most brutal passions, pursuing, in the midst of a prostituted court, the most capricious extravagancies; they could then never have dreamed to find matters so easy.

The greater the extent of an empire, the greater is the feraglio; and confequently so much the more is the prince intoxicated with pleasure. Hence the more nations such a prince has to govern, the less he attends to the government; the greater his affairs, the less he makes them the subject

BOOK III.

of his deliberations.

OF THE PRINCIPLES OF THE THREE KINDS OF GOVERN-MENT.

CHAP.I.

Difference between the Nature and Principle of Government.

AFTER having examined the laws relative to the nature of each government, we must investigate those that relate to its principle.

There is this difference * between the nature and principle of government; its nature is that by which it is conflituted, and its principle that by which it is made to act. One is its particular structure, and the other the human passions which set it in motion.

Now, laws ought to be no less relative to the principle than to the nature of each government. We must therefore inquire into this principle, which shall be the subject of this third book.

B 2 CHAP.

This is a very important distinction, from whence I shall draw a great many consequences; for it is the key of an infinite number of laws.

CHAP. II.

Of the Principle of different Governments.

I HAVE already observed that it is the nature of a republican government, that either the collective body of the people, or particular families, should be possessed of the sovereign power: of a monarchy, that the prince should have this sovereign power, but in the execution of it should be directed by established laws; of a despotic government, that a single person should rule according to his own will and caprice. No more do I want to enable me to discover their three principles: these are from thence most naturally derived. I shall begin with a republican government, and in particular with that of democracy.

CHAP. III.

Of the Principle of Democracy.

THERE is no great share of probity necessary to support a monarchical or despotic government. The force of laws in one, and the prince's arm in the other, are sufficient to direct and maintain the whole. But in a popular state, one

fpring more is necessary, namely VIRTUE.

What I have here advanced, is confirmed by the unanimous testimony of historians, and is extremely agreeable to the nature of things. For it is clear, that in a monarchy, where he who commands the execution of the laws generally thinks himself above them, there is less need of virtue than in a popular government, where the person intrusted with the execution of the laws, is sensible of being subject himself to their direction.

Clear it is also, that a monarch, who through bad advice or indolence ceases to ensorce the execution of the laws, may easily repair the evil: he has only to follow other ad-

vice,

vice, or to shake off this indolence. But when, in a popular government, there is a suspension of the laws, as this can proceed only from the corruption of the republic, the

state is certainly undone.

A very curious spectacle it was in the last century to behold the impotent efforts the English made for the establishment of democracy. As those who had a share in the direction of public assairs were void of all virtue, as their ambition was inslamed by the success of the most daring of their members *, as the spirit of a faction was suppressed only by that of a succeeding faction, the government was continually changing; the people, amazed at so many revolutions, sought every where for a democracy without being able to find it. At length, after a series of tumultuary motions and violent shocks, they were obliged to have recourse to the very government which they had so odiously proscribed.

When Sylla wanted to restore Rome to her liberty, this unhappy city was incapable of receiving it. She had only some feeble remains of virtue; and as this was every day diminishing, instead of being roused out of her lethargy, by Cæsar, Tiberius, Caius, Claudius, Nero, Domitian, she rivetted every day her chains; the blows she struck, were

levelled against the tyrants, but not at the tyranny.

The politic Greeks, who lived under a popular government, knew no other support but virtue. The modern inhabitants of that country are entirely taken up with man-

ufactures, commerce, finances, riches, and luxury.

When virtue is banished, ambition invades the hearts of those who are disposed to receive it, and avarice possesses the whole community. The desires now change their objects; what they were fond of before, becomes indifferent; they were free, while under the restraint of laws, they will now be free to act against law; and as every citizen is like a slave escaped from his master's house, what was a maxim of equity, they call Rigour; what was a rule of action, they call Constraint; and to precaution they give the name of Fear. Frugality, and not the thirst of gain, now passes for Avarice. Formerly the wealth of individuals constituted the public treasure; but now the public treasure is become the patrimony of private persons. The members

of the commonwealth riot on the public spoils, and its ftrength is only the power of fome citizens, and the licen-

tioniness of the whole community.

Athens was poffested of the fame number of forces, when . fhe triumphed with fo much glory, and when with fo much infamy the was enflaved. She had twenty thousand citizens *, when she defended the Greeks against the Persians, when the contended for empire with Sparta, and invaded Sicily. She had twenty thousand when Demetrius Phalerius numbered them +, as flaves are told by the head in a market. When Philip attempted to reign in Greece, and appeared at the gates of Athens &, she had even then loft nothing but time. We may fee in Demosthenes how difficult it was to awake her; she dreaded Philip not as the enemy of her liberty, but of her pleasures |. This famous city, which had withstood so many defeats, and after having been to often destroyed, had as often rifen out of her ashes, was overthrown at Chæronea, and at one blow deprived of all hopes of resource. What does it avail her that Philip fends back her prisoners, if he does not return her men? It was ever after as eafy to triumph over the Athenian forces, as it would have been difficult to triumph over her virtue.

How was it possible for Carthage to maintain her ground? When Hannibal, upon his being made prætor, endeavoured to hinder the magistrates from plundering the republic, did not they complain of him to the Romans? Wretches, who wanted to be citizens without a city, and to be beholden for their riches to their very destroyers! Rome soon infisted upon having three hundred of their principal citizens as hostages; she obliged them next to furrender their arms and theps; and then the declared war against them 1. By the efforts made by this defenceless city, when reduced to despair, one may judge of what she might have done in her full strength, and assisted by virtue.

* Plutarch in Pericles. Plato in Critia.

CHAP.

⁺ She had at that time twenty thousand citizens, ten thousand strangers, and four hundred thousand flaves. See Athenaus, book 6.

[&]amp; She had then twenty thousand citizens. See Demosthenes in Aristog. They ha paffed a law which had rendered it a capital crime for any one to propose applying the money defigned for the theatres, to the military fer

t This war lasted three years.

ES TO THE PERSON AS

19

h

is,

d -

2

d

ft.

-

e

3

r

r

n

e

h

d

d

0

n

S

0

r

,

CHAP. IV.

Of the Principle of Aristocracy

As virtue is necessary in a popular government, so it is necessary also under an aristocracy. True it is that in the latter it is not so absolutely requisite.

The people, who in respect to the nobility are the same as the subjects with regard to the monarch, are restrained by their laws. They have therefore less occasion for virtue than the people in a democracy. But how are the robility to be restrained? Those who are to execute the laws against their colleagues, will immediately perceive they are acting against themselves. Virtue is therefore necessary in this body by the very nature of the constitution.

An aristocratical government has within itself a certain strength which a democracy has not. The nobles form a body, who by their prerogative and through particular interest, restrain the people; it is sufficient here that there are laws in being to see them executed.

But however easy it is for the body of the nobles to contain the people within bounds, in the same degree is it difficult to contain themselves *. Such is the nature of this constitution, that it seems to subject the very same persons to the power of the laws, and to exempt them.

Now, such a body as this can restrain itself only two ways; either by a very eminent virtue, which puts the nobility in some measure on a level with the people, and may be the means of forming a great republic; or by an inferiour virtue, which consists in a certain moderation that puts them at least upon a level with one another, and on this their preservation depends.

Moderation is therefore the very foul of this government; a moderation I mean founded on virtue, not that which proceeds from indolence and pufillanimity.

B 4 CHAP.

^{*} Public crimes may be punished, because it is a common concern; but private crimes will go unpunished, because it is a common interest not to punish them.

CHAP. V.

That Virtue is not the Principle of a Monarchical Government.

In monarchies, policy makes people do great things with as little virtue as she can. Thus in the finest machines, art has contrived as few movements, springs, and wheels, as possible.

The state subsists independently of the love of our country, of the thirst of true glory, of self-denial, of the facrifice of our dearest interests, and of all those heroic virtues which we admire in the ancients, and which to us are known only by story.

The laws supply here the place of those virtues; they are by no means wanted, and the state dispenses with them: an action performed here in secret is in some measure of no consequence.

Though all crimes be in their own nature public, yet there is a diffinction between crimes that are really public, and those that are private, which are so called, because they are more injurious to individuals than to the whole society.

Now, in republics, private crimes are more public, that is, they attack the conflitution more than they do individuals; and in monarchies public crimes are more private, that is, they are more prejudicial to private people than to the conflitution.

I beg that no one will take this amifs; my observations are founded on the unanimous testimony of historians. I am not ignorant that virtuous princes are no such very rare fight; but I venture to assirm, that in a monarchy it is extremely disticult for the people to be virtuous *.

Let us compare what the historians of all ages have faid concerning the courts of monarchs; let us recollect the conversations and sentiments of people of all countries, in respect to the wretched character of courtiers; and we shall find,

^{*} I fpeak here of political virtue, which is a moral virtue as it is directed to the public good; very little of private moral virtue; and not at all of that virtue which relates to revealed truths. This will appear better, book 5. chap. 2.

E.

h

5,

15

.

3

e

0

t

.

t

I

1

8

1

find, that thefe are not mere airy speculations, but things

confirmed by a fad and melancholy experience.

Ambition joined to idleness, and baseness to pride; a desire of obtaining riches without labour, and an aversion to truth; flattery, treason, perfidy, violation of engagements, contempt of civil duties, fear of the prince's virtue, hope from his weakness, but above all, a perpetual ridicule cast upon virtue, are, I think, the characteristics by which most courtiers in all ages and countries have been constantly distinguished. Now, it is exceeding difficult for the leading men of the nation to be knaves, and for the inferiour fort of people to be honest; for the former to be cheats, and for the latter to rest satisfied to be only dupes.

But if there should chance to be some unlucky honest man + among the people, Cardinal Richlieu in his political testament ‡ seems to hint that a prince should take care not to employ him *. So true it is that virtue is not the

the fpring of this government!

CHAP. VI.

In what Manner Virtue is supplied in a Monarchical Government.

But it is high time for me to have done with this subject, left I should be suspected of writing a satire against monarchical government. Far be it from me; if monarchy wants one spring, it is provided with another. Honour, that is, the prejudice of every person and every rank, supplieth the place of virtue, and is every where her representative; here it is capable of inspiring the most glorious actions, and joined with the force of Laws may lead us to the end of government, as well as virtue itself.

Hence, in well-regulated monarchies, they are almost all good subjects, and very few good men; for to be a good

man, a good intention is necessary §.

CHAP

† This is to be underflood in the fense of the preceding note.

[†] This book was written under the infpection, and from the memoirs of Cardinal Richlieu, by Mest. de Bourseis and de—, who were strongly his adherents.

^{*} We must not, says he, employ people of mean extraction; they are too austere and difficult.

[§] See the note above.

CHAP. VII.

Of the Principle of a Monarchy.

A MONARCHICAL government supposeth, as we have already observed, pre-eminences, and ranks, and likewise a noble descent. Now, as it is the nature of honour to aspire to preferments and distinguishing titles, it is therefore properly placed in this government.

Ambition is pernicious in a republic. But in a monarchy it has some good effects; it gives life to the government, and is attended with this advantage, that it is no way dangerous, because it may be continually checked.

It is with this kind of government as with the fystem of the universe, in which there is a power that constantly repels all bodies from the centre, and a power of gravitation that attracts them to it. Honour sets all the parts of the body-politic in motion; by its very action it connects them; and thus each individual advances the public good, while he only thinks of promoting his own particular interest.

True it is, that, philosophically speaking, it is a salse honour which moves all the parts of the government; but even this salse honour is as useful to the public, as true honour could possibly prove to private people.

It is not a very great point, to oblige men to perform the most difficult actions, such as require a great degree of fortitude and spirit, without any other recompence, than the same and reputation arising from the actions themselves.

CHAP. VIII.

That Honour is not the Principle of Despotic Government.

Honour is far from being the principle of despotic government: men being here all upon a level, no one can prefer himself

II.

dy

ble

re-

rly

n-

n-

no

em

ly

of Sts

n-

lfe

ut

m

of

an

S.

1-

lf

himself to another; men, being here all slaves, can give themselves no preference at all.

Besides, as honour has its laws and rules, as it knows not how to submit, as it depends in a great measure on a man's own caprice, and not on that of another person; it can be found only in countries in which the constitution is fixed, and where they are governed by settled laws.

How can a despotic prince bear with any such thing as honour? Honour glories in contempt of life, and here the prince's whole strength consists in the power of taking it away. How can honour ever bear with a despotic prince? It has its fixed rules, and constant caprices; but a despotic prince is directed by no rule, and his own caprices destroy all others.

Honour therefore, a thing unknown in despotic governments, where very often they have not so much as a fit word to express it *, is the prevailing principle in monarchies; here it gives life to the whole body politic, to the laws, and even to the virtues themselves.

CHAP. IX.

Of the Principle of Despotic Government.

As virtue is necessary in a republic, and in a monarchy honour; fo fear is necessary in despotic government: with regard to virtue, there is no occasion for it, and honour would be extremely dangerous.

Here the immense power of the prince is devolved entirely upon those to whom he is pleased to intrust it. Persons capable of setting a value upon themselves would be likely to create revolutions. Fear must therefore depress their spirits, and extinguish even the least sense of ambition.

A moderate government may, whenever it pleases, and without any danger, relax its springs; it supports itself by its laws, and by its own force. But when a despotic prince ceases one single moment to lift up his arm, when he cannot instantly demolish those whom he has intrusted with

the first posts and employments +, all is over; for as fear, the spring of this government, no longer subsists, the people are left without a protector.

It is probably in this sense the Cadis maintained that the

It is probably in this feme the Cadis maintained that the Grand Signior was not obliged to keep his word or oath,

when he limited thereby his authority *.

It is necessary that the people should be judged by laws, and the great men by the caprice of the prince; that the lives of the lowest subjects should be safe, and the bashaw's head always in danger. We cannot mention these monstrous governments without horrour. The Sophi of Persia dethround in our days by Mahomet the son of Miriveis, saw the constitution subverted before this revolution, because he had been too sparing of blood §.

History informs us, that the horrid cruelties of Domitian struck such a terrour into the governours, that the people recovered themselves a little under his reign ‡. Thus a torrent lays one side of a whole country waste, and on the other leaves fields untouched, where the eye is refresh-

ed with the fight of some distant meadows.

CHAP. X.

Difference of Obedience in Moderate and Despotic Governments.

In despotic states the nature of the government requires the most passive obedience; and when once the prince's will is made known, it ought infallibly to produce its effect.

Here they have no limitations or restrictions, no mediums, terms, equivalents, parleys, or remonstrances; nothing equal, or better to propose: man is a creature that submits to the absolute will-of a creature like himself.

In a country like this, they are no more allowed to represent their fears in respect to a future event, than to excuse their bad success by the capriciousness of fortue. Man's

portion

† As it often happens in a military ariflocracy.

* Ricault on the Ottoman empire.

§ See the history of this revolution by Father Du Cerceau.

‡ His was a military government, which is one of the species of despotic governments.

II.

ar,

C-

he

h,

75,

he

's

us

e-

W/

ne

le

a

n 1-

29

S

t.

5,

S

1

portion here, like that of beafts, is instinct, compliance, and punishment.

Little does it then avail to plead the fentiments of nature, respect for a father, tenderness for a wife and children, the laws of honour, or an ill state of health; the orders are giv-

en, and that is fusficient.

In Persia, when the king has condemned a person, it is no longer lawful to mention his name, or to intercede in his favour. Though he were drunk and beside himself, yet the decree must be executed *; otherwise he would contradict himself, and the law admits of no contradiction. This has been the way of thinking in this country in all ages; as the order which Ahasuerus gave to exterminate the Jews, could not be revoked, they contrived to allow them the liberty of defending themselves.

There is one thing, however, that may be opposed to the prince's will; namely, religion. They will abandon a parent, nay they may kill him, if the prince so commands; but he cannot oblige them to drink wine. The laws of religion are of a superior nature, because they bind the prince as well as the subject. But, with respect to the law of nature, it is otherwise; the prince is no longer sup-

posed to be a man.

In monarchical and moderate states, the power is limited by its very spring; I mean, by honour, which like a monarch reigns over prince and people. They will not here allege to their prince the laws of religion; a courtier would think this would render him ridiculous. But the laws of honour will be alleged on all occasions. Hence arise the restrictions necessary to obedience; honour is naturally subject to whims, by which the subject's obedience will be always directed.

Though the manner of obeying be different in these two kinds of government, yet the power is the same. On which side soever the monarch turns, he inclines the scale, and is obeyed. The whole difference is, that in a monarchy the prince has the assistance of instruction, and his ministers have a far greater capacity, and are far better versed in

affairs than the ministers of a despotic government.

CHAP.

CHAP. XI.

Reflections on the Foregoing.

Such are the principles of the three forts of government: which does not imply that in a particular republic they actually are, but that they ought to be virtuous: nor does it prove, that in a particular monarchy they are actuated by honour, or in a particular despotic government by fear; but that they ought to be directed by these principles, otherwise the government is imperfect.

BOOK IV.

THAT THE LAWS OF EDUCATION OUGHT TO BE RELATIVE.

TO THE PRINCIPLES OF GOVERNMENT.

CHAP. I.

Of the Laws of Education.

The laws of education are the first impressions we receive; and as they prepare us for civil life, each particular family ought to be governed pursuant to the plan of the great

family which comprehends them all.

If the people in general have a principle, their constituent parts, that is, the several families, will have one also. The laws of education will be therefore different in each species of government; in monarchies they will have honour for their object; in republics, virtue; in despotic governments, fear.

CHAP.

CHAP. II.

Of Education in Monarchies.

In monarchies the principal branch of education is not taught in colleges or academies. It in some measure commences, when we enter the world; for this is the school of what we call honour, that universal preceptor which ought every where to be our guide.

Here it is that we constantly see and hear three things; that we should have a certain nobleness in our virtues, a kind of frankness in our morals, and a particular politeness in our

The virtues we are here taught, are less what we owe to others, than to ourselves; they are not so much what affimilates us to, as what distinguishes us from, our sellow-citizens.

Here the actions of men are not judged as good, but as shining; not as just, but as great; not as reasonable, but as extraordinary.

When honour here meets with any thing noble in our actions, it is either a judge that approves them, or a fophift by whom they are excused.

It allows of gallantry when united with the idea of fenfible affection, or with that of conquest; this is the reason why we never meet with so strict a purity of morals in monarchies as in republican governments.

It allows of cunning and craft, when joined with the idea of greatness of soul or importance of affairs; as for instance, in politics with whose finesses it is far from being offended.

It does not forbid adulation, but when separate from the idea of a large fortune, and connected only with the sense of our mean condition.

With regard to morals, I have observed that the education of monarchies ought to admit of a certain frankness and open carriage. Truth therefore in conversation is a necessary point. But is it for the sake of truth? By no means. Truth is requisite only because a person habituated to veracity has an air of boldness and freedom. In fact, a

man of this stamp seems to lay a stress only on the things themselves, and not on the manner in which others receive them.

Hence it is, that as much as this kind of frankness is commended, so much that of the common people is despised, which has nothing but truth and simplicity for its object.

In fine, the education of monarchies requires a certain politeness of behaviour. Men born for society, are born to please one another; and a person that would break through the rules of decorum, by shocking those he conversed with, would so far lose the public esteem as to become incapable

of doing any good.

But politeness, generally speaking, does not derive its original from so pure a source. It rises from a desire of distinguishing ourselves. It is pride that renders us polite: we feel a pleasing vanity in being remarked for a behaviour that shows in some measure we are not meanly born, and that we have not been bred up with those who in all ages have been considered as the scum of the people.

Politeness, in monarchies, is naturalized at court. One man excessively great renders every body else little. Hence that regard which is paid to our fellow-subjects; hence that politeness, which is as pleasing to those by whom, as to those towards whom it is practifed: because it gives people to understand, that a person actually belongs, or at least

deferves to belong, to the court.

A court-air confifts in quitting a real for a borrowed greatness. The latter pleases the courtier more than his own. It inspires him with a certain disdainful modesty, which shows itself externally, but whose pride diminishes insensibly in proportion to its distance from the source of

this greatness.

At court we find a delicacy of taste in every thing, a delicacy arising from the constant use of the superfluities of an assume fortune, from the variety, and especially the satiety of pleasures, from the multiplicity, and even confusion of fancies, which, if they are but agreeable, are always well received.

These are the things which properly fall within the province of education, in order to form what we call a man of honour, a man possessed of all the qualities and virtues requisite in this kind of government,

Here

Here it is that honour interferes with every thing, mixing even with people's manner of thinking and feeling, and directing their very principles.

To this whimfical honour it is owing that the virtues are only just what it pleases, and as it pleases; it adds rules of its own invention to every thing prescribed to us; it extends our limits or duties according to its own fancy, whether they proceed from religion, politics, or morality.

There is nothing fo strongly inculcated in monarchies, by the laws, by religion, and honour, as submission to the prince's will; but this very honour tells us that the prince ought never to command a dishonourable action, because this would render us incapable to serve him.

Grillon refused to affassinate the Duke of Guise, but he offered Henry III. to fight him. After the massacre of St Bartholomew, Charles IX. having sent orders to all the governours in the several provinces for the Hugonots to be murdered, Viscount Dorte, who commanded at Bayonne, wrote thus to the king, * Sire, among the inhabitants of this town, and your Majesty's troops, I could only find honest citizens and brave soldiers, but not one executioner: we jointly therefore beseech your Majesty to command our arms and lives in things that are practicable. This great and generous soul looked upon a base action as a thing impossible.

There is nothing that honour more strongly recommends to the nobility, than to serve their prince in a military capacity. In fact, this is their favourite profession, because its dangers, its success, and even its misfortunes, are the road to grandeur. And yet this very law of its own making, honour chuses to explain; and if it happens to be affronted, requires or permits us to retire.

It insists also that we should be at liberty either to feek or to reject employments; a liberty which it prefers even to an ample fortune.

Honour therefore has its supreme laws, to which education is obliged to conform. The chief of these are, that we are allowed to set a value upon our fortune, but it is absolutely forbidden to set any value upon our lives.

The fecond is, that when we are raised to a post or rank, we should never do or permit any thing which may seem to Vol. I.

[?] See D'Aubigne's history.

imply that we look upon ourselves as inferiour to the rank we hold.

The third is, that those things which honour forbids are more rigourously forbidden, when the laws do not concur in the prohibition; and those it commands are more strongly insisted upon, when they happen not to be commanded by law.

CHAP. III.

Of Education in a Despotic Government.

As education in monarchies tends only to raife and ennoble the mind, so in despotic government its only aim is to debase it. Here it must necessarily be servile; even in power such in education will be an advantage, because every tyrant is at the same time a slave.

Excessive obedience supposes ignorance in the person that obeys: the same it supposes in him that commands; for he has no occasion to deliberate, to doubt, to reason;

he has only to will.

In despotic states each house is a separate government. As education therefore consists chiefly in social converse, it must be here very much limited; all it does is to strike the heart with sear, and to imprint in the understanding a very simple notion of a few principles of religion. Learning here proves dangerous, emulation satal; and as to virtue, Aristotle cannot think there is any one virtue belonging to slaves *; if so, education in despotic countries is confined within a very narrow compass.

Here therefore education is in some measure needless: to give something one must take away every thing; and begin with making a bad subject in order to make a good slave.

For why should education take pains in forming a good citizen, only to make him share in the public misery? If he loves his country, he will strive to relax the springs of government: if he miscarries, he will be undone; if he succeeds, he must expose himself, the prince, and his country to ruin.

Polit. lib. L.

KIND WILL HOPE STORM

CHAP. IV.

Difference between the Effects of Ancient and Modern Education.

Most of the ancients lived under governments that had virtue for their principle; and when this was in full vigour, they performed things unfeen in our times, and fuch as are capable of aftonishing our little fouls.

Another advantage their education had over ours; it never was effaced by contrary impressions. Epaminondas, the last year of his life, said, heard, saw, and performed the very same things as at the age in which he received the first

principles of his education.

In our days we receive three different or contrary educations, namely, of our parents, of our masters, and of the world. What we learn in the latter, esfaces all the ideas of the former. This in some measure arises from the contrast we experience between our religious and worldly engagements; a thing unknown to the ancients.

CHAP. V.

CHARLES COMMENTED TO A COMMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

Of Education in a Republican Government

It is in a republican government that the whole power of education is required. The fear of despotic governments rises naturally of itself amidst threats and punishments; the honour of monarchies is favoured by the passions, and favours them in its turn: but virtue is a felf-renunciation which is always arduous and painful.

This virtue may be defined, the love of our laws and of our country. As this love requires a constant preference of public to private interest, it is the source of all particular virtues; for they are nothing more than this very prefer-

ence itself.

This love is peculiarly proper to democracies. In these clone the government is intrusted to private citizens. Now, government

government is like every thing else; to preserve it, we must love it,

Has it ever been heard that kings were not fond of monarchy, or that despotic princes hated arbitrary power?

Every thing therefore depends on establishing this love in a republic, and to inspire it, ought to be the principal business of education: but the surest way of instilling it into children, is for parents to set them an example.

People have it generally in their power to communicate their ideas to their children: but they are still better able to

transfuse their passions.

MATTER AND THE TANK THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O

If it happens otherwise, it is because the impressions made at home are effaced by those they have received abroad.

It is not the young people that degenerate: they are not fpoiled till those of maturer age are already funk into corruption.

CHAP. VI.

Of fome Institutions among the Greeks.

THE ancient Greeks, convinced of the necessity that people who live under a popular government should be trained up to virtue, made very singular institutions in order to inspire it. Upon seeing in the life of Lycurgus the laws that legislator gave to the Lacedæmonians, I imagine I am reading the history of the Sevarambes. The laws of Crete were the model of those of Sparta; and those of Plato a reformation of them.

Let us reflect here a little on the extensive genius with which those legislators must have been endowed, to perceive that by striking at received customs, and by confounding all manner of virtues, they should display their wisdom to the universe. Lycurgus, by blending thest with the spirit of justice, the hardest servitude with excess of liberty, the most rigid sentiments with the greatest moderation, gave stability to his city. He seemed to deprive her of all her resources, such as arts, commerce, money, walls: ambition prevailed among the citizens without hopes of improving their fortune:

fortune; they had natural fentiments without the tie of a fon, husband, or father; and chastity was stript even of modesty and shame. This was the road that led Sparta to grandeur and glory; and so infallible were her institutions, that it signified nothing to gain a victory over her, without subverting her polity.

By these laws Crete and Laconia were governed. Sparta was the last that fell a prey to the Macedonians, and Crete to the Romans +. The Samnites had the same institutions, which furnished those very Romans with the subject of sour

and twenty triumphs ‡.

A character so extraordinary in the institutions of Greece, has shown itself lately in the dregs and corruption of our modern times §. A very honest legislator has formed a people, to whom probity seems as natural as bravery to the Spartans. Mr Penn is a real Lycurgus; and though the former made peace his principal aim, as the latter did war, yet they resemble one another in the singular way of living to which they reduced their people, in the ascendent they had over free men, in the prejudices they overcame, and in the passions they subdued.

Another example we have from Paraguay. This has been the subject of an invidious charge against a society that considers the pleasure of commanding as the only happiness in life: but it will be always a glorious undertaking, to render government subservient to human happiness ||.

It is glorious indeed for this fociety, to have been the first in pointing out to those countries the idea of religion joined with that of humanity. By repairing the devastations of the Spaniards, she has begun to heal one of the most dangerous wounds that the human species ever received.

An exquisite sensibility to whatever she distinguishes by the name of honour, her zeal for a religion which much

[•] Philopæmen obliged the Lacedæmonians to change their manner of educating their children, being convinced that if he did not take this measure, they would always have a great soul and a noble heart. Plutarch, life of Philopæmen. See Livy, b. 38.

[†] She defended her laws and liberty for the space of three years. See the 98th, 99th, and 100th book of Livy, in Florus' epitome. She made a braver resistance than the greatest kings.

t Florus, lib. 1.

[§] In fæce Romuli, Cicero.

The Indians of Paraguay do not depend on any particular lord; they pay only a fifth of the taxes, and are allowed the use of fire arms to defend themselves.

more humbles those who hear than those that preach it, have fet her upon vast undertakings, which she has accomplished with success. She has drawn wild people from their woods, secured them a maintenance, and clothed their nakedness; and had she only by this means improved the industry of mankind, it would have been sufficient to eternize her fame.

Those who shall attempt hereafter to introduce such institutions as these, must establish the community of goods, as prescribed in Plato's republic; that high respect he required for the gods; that separation from strangers for the preservation of people's morals; and an extensive commerce carried on by the community, and not by private citizens; they must give our arts without our luxury, and our wants without our defires.

They must proscribe money, the effect of which is to swell people's fortunes beyond the bounds prescribed by nature, to learn to preserve for no purpose what has been idly hoarded up; to multiply without end our desires, and to supply the sterility of nature, of whom we have recived very scanty means of inslaming our passions, and of corrupting each other.

"The Epidamnians *, perceiving their morals depraved by converfing with barbarians, chose a magistrate for making all contracts and sales in the name and behalf of the city." Commerce then does not corrupt the constitution, and the constitution does not deprive the society of the advantages of commerce.

CHAP. VII.

In what Case these fingular Institutions may be of Service.

Institutions of this kind may be proper in republics, because they have virtue for their principle; but to excite men to honour in monarchies, or to imprint sear in despotic governments, less pains is necessary.

Besides.

^{*} Plutarch in his questions concerning the Greek affairs.

Besides, they cannot take place but in a small state*, in which there is a possibility of a general education, and of training up the body of the people like a single family.

The laws of Minos, of Lycurgus, and of Plato, suppose a particular attention and care which the citizens ought to have over one another's conduct. But an attention of this kind cannot be expected in the confusion and multitude of

affairs in which a large nation is entangled.

In institutions of this kind, money, as we have above obferved, must be banished. But in great societies, the multiplicity, variety, embarrassment, and importance of affairs, as well as the facility of purchasing, and the slowness of exchange, require a common measure. In order to extend or support our power, we must be possessed of the means to which, by the unanimous consent of mankind, this power is annexed.

CHAP. VIII.

Explication of a Paradox of the Ancients, in Respect to Manners.

That judicious writer Polybius informs us, that music was necessary to soften the manners of the Arcadians, who lived in a cold gloomy country; that the inhabitants of Cynete, who slighted music, were the cruellest of all the Greeks, and that no other town was so immersed in luxury and debauch. Plato is not asraid to affirm, that there is no possibility of making a change in music, without changing likewise the frame of government. Aristotle, who seems to have wrote his politics only to contradict Plato, agrees with him notwithstanding, in regard to the power and influence of music over the manners of the people. This was also the opinion of Theophrastus, of Plutarch †, and of all the ancients; an opinion grounded on mature reflection; being one of the principles of their politics ‡.

· Such as were formerly the cities of Greece.

† Life of Pelopidas.
† Plato, in his fourth book of laws, fays, that the præsecures of music and gymnastic exercises are the most important employments in the city; and in his Republic, book 3. " Damon will tell you," says he, " what sounds are " capable of inspiring a meanness of soul, insolence, and the contrary virtues."

Thus it was they enacted laws, and thus they required that

cities should be governed.

This I fancy may be explained in the following manner. It is observable, that in the cities of Greece, especially those whose principal object was war, all lucrative arts and professions were considered as unworthy of a freeman. Most arts, fays Xenophon t, corrupt and enervate the bodies of those that exercise them; they oblige them to sit under a Shade or near the fire. They can find no leifure, either for their friends, or for the republic. It was only by the corruption of fome democracies that artifans became freemen. This we learn from Aristotle ||, who maintains, that a wellregulated republic will never give them the right and freedom of the city .

Agriculture was likewife a fervile profession, and generally practifed by the inhabitants of conquered countries. Such as the Helotes among the Lacedæmonians, the Periecians among the Cretans, and the Penestes among the Theffalians, and other conquered I people in other repub-

In fine, every kind of low commerce * was infamous among the Greeks; as it obliged a citizen to ferve and wait on a flave, on a lodger, on a ftranger. This was a notion that clashed with the spirit of Greek liberty: hence Plato + in his laws orders a citizen to be punished if he at-

tempted to concern himfelf with trade.

Thus in Greek republics the magistrates were extremely embarrassed. They would not have the citizens apply themselves to trade, to agriculture, or to the arts; and yet they would not have them idle. They found therefore employment for them in gymnaftic and military exercises; and none else were allowed by their institution. Hence

Book v. of memorable fayings. Polit. book iii. ch. 4. § Diophantes, fays Aristotle, Polit. ch. 7. made a law formerly at Athens, that artifans should be flaves to the republic.

Plato likewife and Aristotle require slaves to till the land. Laws, b. v. Pol b. vii. c. 10. It is true that agriculture was not every where exercised by flaves; on the contrary, Aristotle observes, the best republics were those in which the citizens themselves tilled the land: but this was brought about by the corruption of the ancient governments, which were become democratical; for in earlier times the cities of Greece were subject to an aristocratic government.

[·] Cauponatio. † Book ii. on Arist Polit. lib. 10. 4 Ars corporum exercendorum gymnassica, variis certaminibus terendorum pedotribica. Aristot. Polit. lib. viii. c. 3.

the Greeks must be considered as a society of wrestlers and boxers. Now, these exercises having a natural tendency to render people hardy and sierce, there was a necessity for tempering them with others that might soften their manners §. For this purpose, music, which insuences the mind by means of the corporeal organs, was extremely proper. It is a kind of a medium between the bodily exercises that render men sierce and hardy, and speculative sciences that render them unsociable and sour; it cannot be said that music inspired virtue, for this would be inconceivable: but it prevented the effects of a savage institution, and enabled the soul to have such a share in the education, as it could never have had without the assistance of harmony.

Let us suppose among ourselves a society of men so passionately fond of hunting, as to make it their sole employment; these people would doubtless contract thereby a kind of rusticity and sierceness. But if they happened to receive a taste for music, we should quickly perceive a sensible difference in their customs and manners. In short, the exercises used by the Greeks excited only one kind of passions, viz, sierceness, anger, and cruelty. But music excites them all; and is able to inspire the soul with a sense of pity, lenity, tenderness, and love. Our moral writers, who declaim so vehemently against the stage, sufficiently

demonstrate the power of music over the soul.

If the fociety above mentioned were to have no other music than that of drums and the sound of the trumpet; would it not be more difficult to accomplish this end, than by the more melting tones of softer harmony? The ancients were therefore in the right, when under particular circumstances they preferred one mode to another in re-

gard to manners.

But some will ask, why should music be pitched upon preferable to any other entertainment? It is because, of all sensible pleasures, there is none that less corrupts the soul. We blush to read in Plutarch *, that the Thebans, in order to soften the manners of their youth, authorised by law a passion that ought to be proscribed by all nations.

BOOK

[§] Aristotle observes, that the children of the Lacedæmonians, who began these exercises at a very tender age, contracted from thence too great a serocity and rudeness of behaviour.

* Life of Pelopidas.

BOOK V.

THAT THE LAWS GIVEN BY THE LEGISLATOR OUGHT TO BE RELATIVE TO THE PRINCIPLE OF GOVERNMENT.

CHAP. I.

Idea of this Book.

That the laws of education ought to be relative to the principle of each government, has been shewn in the preceding book. Now, the same may be said of those which the legislator gives to the whole society. This relation of laws to this principle, strengthens the several springs of government, and this principle receives from thence, in its turn, a new degree of strength. And thus it is in physics; action is always sollowed by reaction.

Our delign is to examine this relation in each government, beginning with the republican state, whose prin-

ciple is virtue.

CHAP. II.

What is meant by Virtue in a Political State.

VIRTUE in a republic is a most simple thing; it is a love for the republic; it is a sensation, and not a consequence of acquired knowledge; a sensation that may be selt by the meanest as well as by the highest person in the state. When the common people adopt good maxims, they adhere to them steadier than those we call gentlemen. It is very rare that corruption commences with the former; nay they frequently derive from their impersect light a stronger attachment to the established laws and customs.

The

The love of our country is conducive to a purity of morals, and the latter is again conducive to the love of our country. The lefs we are able to fatisfy our particular passions, the more we abandon ourselves to those of a general nature. How comes it that monks are so fond of their order? It is owing to the very cause that renders the order insupportable to themselves. Their rule debars them of all those things by which the ordinary passions are sed; there remains therefore only this passion for the very rule that torments them. The more austere it is, that is, the more it curbs their inclinations, the more force it gives to the only passion it leaves them.

CHAP. III.

What is meant by a Love of the Republic in a Democracy.

A LOVE of the republic in a democracy, is a love of the democracy; a love of the democracy is that of equality.

A love of the democracy is likewise that of frugality. As every individual ought to have here the same happiness and the same advantages, they ought consequently to taste the same pleasures, and to form the same hopes; which cannot be expected but from a general frugality. The love of equality in a democracy limits ambition to the sole defire, the sole happiness of doing greater services to our country than the rest of our fellow-citizens. They cannot all render her equal services, but they ought all to serve her with equal alacrity. At our coming into the world, we contract an immense debt to our country, which we can never discharge.

Hence distinctions arise here from the principle of equality, even when it seems to be removed by signal services,

or fuperiour abilities.

The love of frugality limits the defire of wealth to the attention requifite for procuring necessaries to our family, and superfluities to our country. Riches give a power which a citizen cannot use for himself, for then he would be no longer equal. They likewise procure pleasures which he ought not to enjoy, because these would also subvert the equality.

Thus

Thus well-regulated democracies, by establishing domestic frugality, made way at the same time for public expences, as was the case at Rome and Athens when munificence and profusion arose from the very fund of frugality. And as religion requires us to have pure and unspotted hands when we make our offerings to the gods, the laws require a frugality of life to enable us to be liberal to our country.

The good fense and happiness of individuals depend greatly on the mediocrity of their talents and fortunes. Therefore a republic, where the laws have placed many in a middling station, as it is composed of wise men, it will be wisely governed; as it is composed of happy men, it will

be extremely happy.

CHAP. IV.

In what Manner the Love of Equality and Frugality is inspired.

THE love of equality and of a frugal economy is greatly excited by equality and frugality themselves, in societies where both these virtues are established by law.

In monarchies and despotic governments, no body aims at equality; this does not so much as enter their thoughts; they all aspire to superiority. People of the very lowest condition desire to emerge from their obscurity, only to

lord it over their fellow-subjects.

It is the same with respect to frugality. To love it we must practise and enjoy it. It is not those who are enervated with pleasure, that are fond of a frugal life: were this natural and common, Alcibiades would never have been the admiration of the universe. Neither is it those who envy and admire the luxury of the great; people that have present to their view none but rich men or rich men miserable like themselves, detest their miserable condition, without loving or knowing the real term or point of misery.

A true maxim it is therefore, that in order to love equality and frugality in a republic, these virtues must have

been previously established by law.

CHAP.

CHAP. V.

In what Manner the Laws establish Equality in a Democracy.

Some ancient legislators, as Lycurgus and Romulus, made an equal division of lands. A settlement of this kind can never take place but upon the foundation of a new republic; or when the old one is so corrupt, and the minds of people so disposed, that the poor think themselves obliged to demand, and the rich obliged to consent to, a remedy of this nature.

If the legislator, in making a division of this kind, does not enact laws at the same time to support it, he forms only a temporary constitution; inequality will break in where the laws have not precluded it, and the republic will be utterly undone.

Hence, for the preservation of this equality, it is absolutely necessary there should be some regulation in respect to womens doweries, donations, successions, testamentary settlements, and all other forms of contracting. For were it once allowed to disopse of our property to whom and how we pleased, the will of each individual would disturb the order of the fundamental law.

Solon, by permitting the Athenians upon failure of iffue * to leave their estates to whom they pleased, acted contrary to the ancient laws, by which the estates were ordered to continue in the family of the testator +; and even contrary to his own laws, for by abolishing debts he had aimed at equality.

The law which prohibited people's having two inheritances ‡ was extremely well adapted for a democracy. It derived its origin from the equal distribution of lands and portions made to each citizen. The law would not permit a fingle man to possess more than a fingle portion.

From the same source arose those laws by which the next relation was ordered to marry the heiress. This law

was

[·] Plutarch, life of Solon. † Ibid.

[†] Philolaus of Corinth made a law at Athens, that the number of the portions of land and that of inheritances should be always the same. Arish polits lib. ii. cap. 12.

was given to the Jews after the like distribution. Plato *, who grounds his laws on this division, made the same regulation which had been received as a law by the Athenians.

At Athens there was a law whose spirit, in my opinion, has not been hitherto rightly understood. It was lawful to marry a sister only by the father's side, but it was not permitted to marry a sister by the same mother. This custom was originally owing to republics, whose spirit it was not to let two portions of land, and consequently two inheritances, devolve on the same person. A man that married his sister only by his sather's side, could inherit but one estate, namely, that of his sather; but, by marrying his sister by the same venter, it might happen, that his sister's father, having no male issue, might leave her his estate, and consequently the brother that married her, might be possessed.

Little will it avail to object what Philo fays ‡, that though the Athenians were allowed to marry a fifter by the father's fide, and not by the mother's, yet the contrary practice prevailed among the Lacedæmonians, who were permitted to marry a fifter by the mother's fide, and not by the father's. For I find in Strabo ||, that at Sparta, whenever a woman married her brother, she had half his portion for her dowry. It is plain, that this second law was made in order to prevent the bad consequences of the first. That the estate belonging to the sister's family might not devolve on the brother's, they gave half the brother's estate to the sister for her dowry.

Seneca §, speaking of Silanus, who had married his fifter, says, that the permission was limited at Athens, but general at Alexandria. In a monarchical government there was very little concern about any such thing as a division of estates.

Excellent was that law, which, in order to maintain this division of lands in a democracy, ordained, that a father

^{*} Republic, book 8.

[†] Cornclius Nepos in prefat. This cultom began in the earliest times. Thus Abraham says of Sarah, She is my fister, my father's daughter, but not my mother's. The same reasons occasioned the establishing of the same law among different nations.

¹ De specialibus legibus que pertin nt ad pracepta decalogi.

I I ib v

[&]amp; Albenis dimidium licet, Alexandria totum. Seneca de morte Claudii.

ther who had feveral children, should pitch upon one of them to inherit his portion*, and give the others to be adopted by those that had no children, to the end that the number of citizens might always be kept upon an equality with that of the divisions.

Phaleas of Chalcedon + contrived a very extraordinary method of rendering all fortunes equal, in a republic where there was the greatest inequality. This was, that the rich should give fortunes with their daughters to the poor, but should receive none themselves; and that the poor should receive money for their daughters, instead of giving them fortunes. But I do not remember that a regulation of this kind ever took place in any republic. It lays the citizen under such hard and odious conditions, as would make them detest the very equality which they designed to establish. It is proper sometimes that the laws should not seem to tend so directly to the end they propose.

Though real equality be the very foul of a democracy, yet it is so difficult to establish, that an extreme exactness in this respect would not be always convenient. It is sufficient to establish a census t, which would reduce or fix the differences to a certain point: It is afterwards the business of particular laws to level as it were the inequalities, by the duties laid upon the rich, and by the ease they afford to the poor. It is moderate riches alone that can give or suffer this sort of compensations; for as to men of overgrown estates, every thing which does not contribute to advance their power and honour, is considered

by them as an injury.

All inequality in a democracy ought to be derived from the nature of the democracy, and even from the principle of equality. For example, it may be apprehended, that people who are obliged to live by their labour, would be too much impoverished by a public office, or neglect the duties attending it; that artisans would grow infolent; and that too great a number of freed-men would over-

power

† Arist. Polit. lib. ii. cap. 27.

^{*} Plato has a law of this kind, lib. iii. leg.

[‡] Solon made four classes; the first, of those who had an income of 500 minas either in corn or liquid fruits; the second, of those who had 300 and were able to keep a horse; the third, of those who had only 200; the fourth, of all those who lived by their manual labour, Plut. life of Solon

power the ancient citizens. In this case the equality of the citizens * in a democracy may be suppressed, whenever it will conduce to the utility of the state. But then it is only an apparent equality they remove: For a man ruined by a public office, would be in a worse condition than the rest of his fellow citizens; and the same man being obliged to neglect his duty, would reduce the other citizens to a worse condition than himself, and so on.

CHAP. VI.

In what Manner the Laws ought to maintain Frugality in a Democracy.

It is not fufficient in a well-regulated democracy that the divisions of land be equal; they ought also to be small, as was customary among the Romans. God forbid, said Curius to his soldiers +, that a citizen should look upon that as a small piece of land, which is sufficient to support a man.

As the equality of fortune supports frugality, frugality supports the equality of fortunes. These things, though in themselves different, are of such a nature as to be unable to subsist separately; each is the cause and the effect; if one withdraws itself from a democracy, it is surely followed by the other.

It is true, that when a democracy is founded on commerce, private people may acquire vast riches without a corruption of morals. This is because the spirit of commerce is naturally attended with that of frugality, œconomy, moderation, labour, prudence, tranquillity, order, and rule. As long as this spirit subsists, the riches it produces have no bad effect. The mischief is when excessive wealth destroys this spirit of commerce; then it is that the inconveniencies of inequality begin to be felt.

In order to support the spirit of commerce, it should be carried on by the principal citizens; this spirit alone ought

^{*} Solon excludes from public employments all those of the fourth class. † They insisted upon a larger division of the conquered lands. Plutareb's moral works, lives of the ancient kings and commanders.

ought to prevail without being crossed by another; all the laws should encourage it; and these very laws, by dividing the estates of individuals in proportion to the encrease of commerce, should set every poor citizen so far at his ease as to be able to work like the rest, and every rich citizen in such a mediocrity as to be obliged to labour either to preserve or to acquire his wealth.

It is an excellent law in a trading republic, to make an equal division of the father's estate among the children. The consequence of this is, that how great soever a fortune the father has made, his children being not so rich as he, are induced to avoid luxury, and to follow the parent's industrious example. I speak here only of trading republics; for as to those that have no commerce, the le-

gislator must pursue quite different measures *.

In Greece there were two forts of republics: The one military, like Sparta; the other commercial, as Athens. In one, the citizens were obliged to be idle; in the other, endeavours were used to inspire them with the love of industry and labour. Solon made idleness a crime, and insisted that each citizen should give an account of his manner of getting a livelihood. In fact, in a well-regulated democracy, where people's expences ought to extend only to what is necessary, every one ought to have as much as his necessary, every one ought to have as much as his necessary.

CHAP. VII.

Other Methods of a Principle of Democracy.

An equal division of lands cannot be established in all democracies. There are some circumstances in which a regulation of this nature would be impracticable, dangerous, and even subversive of the constitution. We are not always obliged to proceed to extremes. If it appears Vol. I.

^{*} In these the portions or fortunes of women ought to be very much limited.

that this division of lands, which was defigned to preferve the people's morals, does not suit with a democracy, re-

course must be had to other methods.

If a fixed body be established to serve as a rule and pattern of manners, a senate, to which age, virtue, gravity, and public services gain admittance; the senators, by being exposed to public view like the statues of the gods, must naturally inspire sentiments that will transfuse themselves into the bosom of every family.

Above all, this fenate must steadily adhere to the ancient institutions, and mind that the people and the ma-

gistrates never swerve from them.

The preservation of the ancient customs is a very confiderable point in respect to manners. Since a corrupt people seldom perform any memorable actions, seldom establish societies, build cities, or enact laws; on the contrary, since most institutions are derived from people of simple or severe morals to recal men to the ancient-max-

ims is generally recalling them to virtue.

Besides, if there happens to be any revolution, by which the state has assumed a new form, this seldom can be effected without infinite pains and labour, and hardly ever with idleness and a depravation of manners. Even those who have been the instruments of the revolution, are desirous it should be relished, which is difficult to compass without good laws. Therefore ancient institutions are generally reformations, and modern ones abuses. In the course of a long administration the descent to vice is insensible; but there is no reascending to virtue without making the most generous efforts.

It has been questioned, whether the members of the senate we are here speaking of, ought to be for life, or chosen only for a time. Doubtless they ought to be for life, as was the custom at Rome *, at Sparta +, and even at Athens. For we must not confound what was called the Senate

at

The magistrates there were annual, and the senators for life.

† Lycurgus, says Xenophon de repub. Lacedæm, ordained that the senators should be taken from amongst the old men, to the end that they should not be neglected in the decline of life. Thus, by making them judges of the courage of young people, he rendered the old age of the former more honourable than the strength and vigour of the latter.

Paternal

at Athens, which was a body that changed every three months, with the Areopagus, whose members, as perpetual models, were established for life.

Let this be therefore a general maxim: That in a fenate defigned to be a rule, and the depository, as it were, of manners, the members ought to be chosen for life: In a senate defigned for the administration of affairs, the members may be changed.

The spirit, says Aristotle, waxes old as well as the body. This reflection holds good only in regard to a fingle magistrate, but cannot be applied to a senatorian assembly.

At Athens, befides the Areopagus, there were guardians of the people's morals, and guardians of the laws *. At Sparta all the old men were cenfors. At Rome the cenforship was committed to two particular magistrates. As the fenate watched over the people, the cenfors were to have an eye over the people and the fenate. Their office was to reform the corruptions of the republic, to stigmatize indolence, to cenfure irregularities, and to correct faults; and as for notorious crimes, these were left to the punishment of the laws.

That Roman law, which required the accusation of adultery to be public, was admirably well calculated for preferving the purity of morals; it intimidated married women, as well as those who were to watch over their conduct.

Nothing contributes more to the prefervation of morals, than an extreme fubordination of the young to the old. Thus they are both restrained, the former by the respect they have for those of advanced age, and the latter by the

respect they have for themselves.

Nothing gives a greater force to the laws than a perfect subordination between the citizens and the magistrate. The great difference which Lycurgus established between Sparta and the other cities, fays Xenophon +, confifts chiefly in the obedience the citizens should show to the laws; they run, when the magistrate calls them. But at Athens, a rich man would be highly displeased to be thought dependent on the magiftrate.

^{*} Even the Arcopagus itself was subject to their censure. + Republic of the Lacedemonians.

Paternal authority is likewise of great use towards the preservation of morals. We have already observed, that in a republic there is not so coercive a force as in other governments. The laws must therefore endeavour to supply this deficiency by some means or other; and this is done by paternal authority.

Fathers at Rome had the power of life and death over their children *. At Sparta every father had a right to

correct another man's child.

Paternal authority ended at Rome together with the republic. In monarchies where such a purity of morals is not required, they are controlled by no other authority than that of the magistrates.

The Roman laws which accustomed young people to dependence, established a long minority. Perhaps we are mistaken in conforming to this custom; there is no neces-

fity for so much constraint in monarchies.

This very subordination in a republic might make it necessary for the father to continue in the possession of his children's fortune during life, as was the custom at Rome. But this is not agreeable to the spirit of monarchy.

CHAP. VIII.

In what Manner the Laws ought to be relative to the Principle of Government in an Aristocracy.

If the people are virtuous in an aristocracy, they enjoy very near the same happiness as in a popular government, and the state grows powerful. But as a great share of virtue is a very rare thing where mens fortunes are so unequal, the laws must tend as much as possible to insuse a spirit of moderation, and endeavour to re-establish that equality which was necessarily removed by the constitution.

The

[•] We may see in the Roman history, how useful this power was to the republic. I shall give an instance even in the time of its greatest corruption. Aulus Fulvius was set out on his journey in order to join Catiline; his father called him back, and put him to death. Sallust de bello Catil.

The spirit of moderation is what we call virtue in an aristocracy; it supplies the place of spirit of equality in a

popular state.

As the pomp and fplendour, with which kings are furrounded, form a part of their power; fo modesty and simplicity of manners constitute the strength of an aristocratic nobility. When they affect no distinction, when they mix with the people, dress like them, and with them share all their pleasures, the people are apt to forget their subjection and weakness.

Every government has its nature and principle. An aristocracy must not therefore assume the nature and principle of monarchy; which would be the case, were the nobles to be invested with personal and particular privileges distinct from those of their body; privileges ought to be for the senate, and simple respect for the se-

nators.

In ariffocratical governments, there are two principal fources of diforder: Excessive inequality between the governours and the governed; and the same inequality between the different members of the body that governs.—From these two inequalities, hatreds and jealousies arise, which the laws ought always to prevent or repress.

The first inequality is chiefly, when the privileges of the nobility are honourable only as they are ignominious to the people. Such was the law at Rome by which the patricians were forbidden to marry plebeians +; a law that had no other effect than to render the patricians on the one side more haughty, and on the other more odi-

This inequality occurs likewife when the condition of the citizens differs with regard to taxes: which may happen four different ways; when the nobles assume the privilege of paying none; when they commit frauds to ex-

o 3 emp

^{*} In our days the Venetians, who in many respects may be said to have a very wise government, decided a dispute between a noble Venetian, and a gentleman in Terra Firma, with respect to precedency in a church, by declaring that out of Venice a noble Venetian had no pre-eminence over any other citizen.

[†] It was inferted by the decemvirs in the two last tables. See Dionys. Halicar. 1. 10.

[‡] It is easy to see the advantages the tribunes drew from thence in their speeches.

empt themselves *; when they engross the taxes to themfelves, under pretence of rewards or appointments for their respective employments; in fine, when they render the common people tributary, and divide among their own body the profits arising from the several subsidies. This last case is very rare; an aristocracy so instituted would be

the most intolerable of all governments.

While Rome inclined towards aristocracy, she avoided all these inconveniences. The magistrates never received any emoluments from their office. The chief men of the republic were taxed like the rest, may heavier, and sometimes the taxes fell upon them alone. In sine, far from sharing among themselves the revenues of the state, all they could draw from the public treasure, and all the wealth that fortune slung in their way, they bestowed freely on the people, that they might not envy them their homours †.

It is a fundamental maxim, that however pernicious the effects of largesses be to the people in a democracy, in the same degree are they falutary in an aristocratical government. The former make them forget they are citizens,

the latter bring them to a fense of it.

If the revenues of the state are not distributed among the people, they must be convinced at least of their being well administered: to feast their eyes with public treafure, is with them the same thing almost as enjoying it. The golden chain displayed at Venice, the riches exhibited at Rome in public triumphs, the treasures preserved in the temple of Saturn, were in reality the riches of the

people.

It is a very effential point in an aristocracy, that the nobles themselves should not levy the taxes. The first order of the state in Rome never concerned themselves with it; the levying of taxes was committed to the second, and even this in process of time was attended with great inconveniences. In an aristocracy of this kind, where the nobles levied the taxes, the private people would be all at the discretion of those who were in public employments; and there would be no such thing as a superiour tribunal

• As in some aristocracies in Italy; nothing is more prejudicial to the government.

† See in Strabo, l. xiv. in what manner the Rhodians behaved in this respect.

tribunal to check their power. The members appointed to remove the abuses would rather enjoy them. The nobles would be like the princes of despotic governments,

who confiscate whatever estates they please.

Soon would the profits hence arifing be confidered as a patrimony, which avarice would enlarge at pleasure. The farms would be lowered, and the public revenues reduced to nothing. This is the reason that some governments, without having ever received any remarkable shock, have dwindled away to such a degree, as not only their neighbours, but even their own subjects have been surprised at it.

The laws should likewise forbid the nobles all kind of commerce: Merchants of such unbounded credit would monopolize all to themselves. Commerce is a profession of people who are upon an equality; hence among despotic states the most miserable are those in which the prince applies himself to trade.

The laws of Venice * debar the nobles from commerce, by which they might even innocently acquire exorbitant

wealth.

The laws ought to employ the most effectual means for making the nobles do justice to the people. If they have not established a tribune, they ought to be a tribune themfelves.

Every fort of afylum in opposition to the execution of the laws destroys aristocracy, and is soon succeeded by ty-

ranny.

They ought always to mortify the pride of domineering. There should be either a temporary or perpetual magistrate to keep the nobles in awe, as the Ephori at Sparta, and the state-inquisitors at Venice, magistrates that are subject to no formalities. This fort of government stands in need of the strongest springs: Thus a mouth of stonet is open to every informer at Venice, a mouth to which one would be apt to give the appellation of that of tyranny.

These tyrannical magistrates in an aristocracy bear some D 4 analogy

^{*} Amelot de la Houssaye, of the government of Venice, part. 3. The Claudian law forbade the fenators to have any ship at sea, that held above forty bushels. Liv. 1. xxi.

† The informers throw their scrolls into it.

analogy to the cenforship of democracies, which of its own nature is equally independent. In fact, the cenfors ought to be subject to no inquiry in relation to their conduct during their office; they should meet with a thorough confidence, and never be discouraged. In this respect the practice of the Romans deserved admiration; magistrates of all denominations were accountable for their administration*, except the cenfors+.

There are two very pernicious things in an aristocracy; either excess of poverty, or excess of wealth, in the nobility. To prevent their poverty, it is necessary above all things to oblige them to pay their debts in time. To moderate the excess of wealth, prudent and sensible regulations should be made; but no confiscations, no agrarian laws, no expunging of debts, these are things that are productive of infinite mischief.

The laws ought to abolish the right of primogeniture among the nobles ‡, to the end that, by a continual divifion of the inheritances, their fortunes may be always upon a level.

There should be no substitutions, no powers of redemption, no rights of majority, or adoption. The contrivances for perpetuating the grandeur of families in monarchical governments, ought never to be employed in aristocracies ||.

After the laws have made families equal, the next thing they have to do, is to preserve a proper harmony and union amongst them. The quarrels of the nobility ought to be quickly decided; otherwise the contest of individuals become those of families. Arbiters may terminate, or even prevent the rise of disputes.

In fine, the laws must not favour the distinctions raised by vanity among families, under pretence that they are more noble or ancient: pretences of this nature ought to be ranked among the weaknesses of private persons.

We

^{*} See Livy, l. 49. A cenfor could not be troubled even by a cenfor, each made his remark without taking the opinion of his colleague; and when it otherwise happened, the cenforship was in a manner abolished.

[†] At Athens, the Logistæ, who made all the magistrates accountable for their conduct, gave no account themselves.

It is fo practifed at Venice. Amelot de la Houssaye, p. 30, & 31.

|| The main defign of some aristocracies seems to be less the support of the state than of what they call their nobility.

We have only to cast our eyes on Sparta; there we may see how the Ephori contrived to check the foibles of the kings, as well as those of the nobility and of the common people.

CHAP. IX.

In what Manner the Laws are relative to their Principle in Monarchice.

As honour is the principle of a monarchical government, the laws ought to be relative to this principle.

They should endeavour to support the nobility in respect to whom honour may be, in some measure, deemed both

child and parent.

They should render the nobility hereditary, not as a boundary between the power of the prince and the weak-ness of the people, but as the bond and conjunction of both.

In this government, fubflitutions which preferve the eftates of families undivided, are extremely ufeful, though in others not fo proper.

Here the power of redemption is of fervice, as it restores to noble families the lands that had been alienated by the

prodigality of a parent.

The lands of the nobility ought to have privileges as well as their persons. The monarch's dignity is inseparable from that of his kingdom; and the dignity of the nobleman from that of his sief.

All these privileges must be peculiar to the nobility, and incommunicable to the people, unless we intend to act contrary to the principle of government, and to diminish the power of the nobles together with that of the

people.

Sustitutions are a restraint to commerce; the power of redemption produces an infinite number of processes; every estate in land that is fold throughout the kingdom, is in some measure without an owner for the space of a year. Privileges annexed to siefs give a power that is very burthensome to those governments which tolerate them. These are the particular inconveniencies of nobility; inconveniencies however that vanish when compared with

the

the general utility which results from it: but when these privileges are communicated to the people, every principle of government is broke through to no manner of purpose.

In monarchies a person may leave the bulk of his estate to one of his children; a permission improper in any other government.

The laws ought to favour all kind of commerce * confistent with the constitution of this government, to the end that the subjects may, without ruining themselves, be able to fatisfy the continual cravings of the prince and his court.

They should establish some fixed regulation, in the manner of collecting the taxes, that this may not be more burthensome than the taxes themselves.

The weight of duties produces labour, labour weariness, and weariness the spirit of indolence.

CHAP. X.

Of the Expedition peculiar to the Executive Power in Monarchies.

Great is the advantage which a monarchical government has over a republic: as the flate is conducted by a fingle person, the executive power is thereby enabled to act with greater expedition. But as this expedition may degenerate into rapidity, the laws should use some contrivance to slacken it. They ought not only to savour the nature of each constitution, but likewise to remedy the abuses that might result from this very nature.

Cardinal Richlieu † advises monarchs to permit no societies or communities that raise difficulties upon every thing. If this man's heart had not been bewitched with the love of despotic power, still these arbitrary notions would have filled his head.

The bodies entrusted with the depositum of the laws, are never more obedient than when they proceed slowly,

+ Testam Polit.

It is tolerate only in the common people. See the third law, Cod, de comm. et mercatoribus, which is full of good fenfe.

and use that reflection in the prince's affairs which can fearcely be expected from the ignorance of the laws which prevail in a court, or from the precipitation of its councils *.

What would have become of the finest monarchy in the world, if the magistrates, by their delays, by their complaints, by their prayers, had not stopped the rapidity even of their prince's virtues, when these monarchs, consulting only the generous impulse of great minds, wanted to give a boundless reward to services performed with a boundless courage and sidelity?

CHAP. XI.

Of the Excellence of a Monarchical Government.

Monarchy has a great advantage over a despotic government. As it naturally requires there should be several orders belonging to the constitution under the prince, the state is more fixed, the constitution more steady, and

the person of him that governs more secure.

Cicero † is of opinion, that the establishing of the tribunes was the preservation of the republic. "In fact," says he, "the violence of a headless people is more terrible: A chief or head is sensible that the affair depends upon himself; and therefore he thinks; but the people in their impetuosity are ignorant of the danger into which they hurry themselves." This resection may be applied to a despotic government, which is a people without tribunes; and to a monarchy, where people have some fort of tribunes.

In fact, it is observable, that, in the commotions of a despotic government, the people, hurried away by their passions, push things always as far as they can go. The disorders they commit are all extreme; whereas in monarchies things are seldom carried to excess. The chiefs are afraid on their own account, they are afraid of being abandoned; and the intermediate dependent

Barbaris cunctatio fervilis, statim exequi regium videtur. Tacit. Annal.l. 5. † Lib. 3. de leg.

pendent powers ‡ do not chuse that the people should have too much the upper hand. It rarely happens that the states of the kingdom are entirely corrupted. The prince adheres to these, and the seditious who have neither will nor hopes to subvert the government, have neither power nor will to dethrone the prince.

In these circumstances men of prudence and authority interfere, moderate measures are first proposed, then complied with, and things at length are redressed; the laws

refume their vigour, and command fubmission.

Thus all our histories are full of civil wars without revolutions, while the histories of despotic governments a-

bound with revolutions without civil wars.

The writers of the history of the civil wars of some countries, even they who somented them, sufficiently demonstrate how little reason princes have to suspect the authority with which they invest particular bodies of men for their service; since even in their very going astray, they sighed only after the laws and their duty; and restrained, more than they were capable of instaming, the impetuosity of the revolted †.

Cardinal Richlieu, reflecting perhaps that he had too much reduced the states of the kingdom, has recourse to the virtues of the prince and of his ministers for the support * of the government: but he requires so many things, that indeed there is none but an angel capable of such attention, of such resolution, and knowledge; and scarce can we flatter ourselves ever to see such a prince and ministers,

no not while monarchy fubfifts.

As people who live under a good government, are happier than those who without rule or leaders wander about the forests; so monarchs who live under the fundamental laws of their country, are far happier than despotic princes, who have nothing to regulate either their own or their subjects' hearts.

CHAP.

[‡] See the first note of book ii. ch. 4.

[†] Memoirs of Cardinal de Retz and other histories.

^{*} Testam Polit.

CHAP. XII.

The same Subject continued.

Let us not look for magnanimity in despotic governments; the prince cannot impart a grandeur which he has not himfelf: with him there is no such thing as glory.

It is in monarchies we see the subjects around the prince, receiving the influence of his beams; there it is that each person filling, as it were, a larger space, is capable of exercising those virtues which adorn the soul, not with independence, but with grandeur.

CHAP. XIII.

An idea of Despotic Power.

When the favages of Louisiana are desirous of fruit, they cut the tree to the root, and gather the fruit *. This is an emblem of despotic government.

CHAP. XIV.

In what Manner the Laws are relative to the Principles of Despotic Go-

THE principle of despotic government is fear; but a timid, ignorant, and faint-spirited people have no occasion for a great number of laws.

Every thing ought to depend here on two or three ideas; therefore there is no necessity that any new notion should be added. When we want to break a horse, we take care not to let him change his master, his lesson, or his pace.

Thus

^{*} Edifying letters, 11. coll. p. 315.

Thus an impression is made on his brain by two or three

motions, and no more.

If a prince is that up in a feraglio, he cannot leave this voluptuous abode without alarming those who keep him confined. They cannot bear that his person and power should pass into other hands. He seldom therefore wages war in person, and hardly ventures to intrust the command to his generals.

A prince of this stamp, unaccustomed to resistance in his palace, is enraged to see his will opposed by armed force; hence he is generally governed by wrath or vengeance.

Besides, he can have no notion of true glory. War therefore is carried on under such a government in its full natural fury, and a less extent is given to the law of nations than in other states.

Such a prince has so many imperfections, that they are afraid to expose his natural stupidity to public view. He is concealed in his palace, and the people are ignorant of his situation. It is lucky for him, that the inhabitants of those countries need only the name of a prince to govern them.

When Charles XII. was at Bender, he met with some opposition from the senate of Sweden; upon which he wrote word home, that he would fend one of his boots to command them. This boot would have governed like a

despotic prince.

If the prince is a prisoner, he is supposed to be dead, and another mounts the throne. The treaties madeby the prisoner are void, his successor will not ratify them: in effect, as he is the law, the state, and the prince; when he is no longer a prince, he is nothing: were he not therefore deemed to be dead, the state would be subverted.

One thing which chiefly determined the Turks to conclude a feparate peace with Peter I. was the Muscovites telling the vizir, that in Sweden another prince had been

fet upon the throne *.

The prefervation of the state is only the prefervation of the prince, or rather of the palace where he is confined. Whatever does not directly menace this palace or the capital, makes no impression on ignorant, proud, and prejudiced

^{*} Continuation of Puffendorff's introduction to the history of Europe, in the article of Sweden, chap. 10.

diced minds; and as for the concatenation of events, they are unable to trace, to foresee, or even to conceive it. Politics, with its several springs and laws, must here be very much limited; the political government is as simple as the civil +.

The whole is reduced to reconciling the political and civil administration with the domestic government, the offices

of flate with those of the seraglio.

Such a state is happiest, when it can look upon itself as the only one in the world, when it is environed with defarts, and separated from those people whom they call Barbarians. Since it cannot depend on the militia, it is proper it should destroy a part of itself.

As fear is the principle of despotic government, its end is tranquillity; but this tranquillity cannot be called a peace; no, it is only the silence of those towns which the enemy

is ready to invade.

Since the strength does not lie in the state, but in the army that founded it; in order to defend the state, the army must be preserved; but the army is formidable to the prince. How then can we reconcile the security of the

state with that of the prince's person?

Observe how industriously the Russian government endeavours to temper its arbitrary power, which is more burthensome to it than to the people themselves. They have broke their great bodies of troops, mitigated criminal punishments, erected tribunals, entered into a knowledge of the laws, and instructed the people. But there are particular causes, that will oblige them to return to the very misery which they now endeavour to avoid.

In those states religion has more influence than any where else; it is a fear added to fear. In Mahometan countries it is partly from their religion that the people derive the

furprifing veneration they have for their prince.

It is religion that amends in some measure the Turkish constitution. The subjects, who have no attachment of honour to the glory and grandeur of the state, are attached to it by the force and principle of religion.

⁺ According to Sir John Chardin there is no council of flate in Persia.

Of all despotic governments, there is none that labours more under its own weight, than that wherein the prince declares himself proprietor of all the lands, and heir to all his subjects. Hence the neglect of agriculture arises; and if the prince intermeddles likewise in trade, all manner of industry is ruined.

Under this fort of government nothing is repaired or improved *. Houses are built only for the necessity of habitation; there is no such thing as digging of ditches, or planting of trees; every thing is drawn from, but nothing restored to the earth; the land lies untilled, and the whole country becomes a defart.

Is it to be imagined that the laws which abolish the property of land and the succession of estates, will diminish the avarice and cupidity of the great? By no means. They will rather stimulate this cupidity and avarice. The great men will be prompted to use a thousand oppressive methods, because they think they have no other property, but the gold and silver which they are able to seize upon by violence, or conceal.

To prevent therefore the utter ruin of the state, the avidity of the prince ought to be moderated by some established custom. Thus in Turkey the prince is satisfied with the right of three per cent. on the value of inheritances †. But as the Grand Seignior gives the greatest part of the lands to his soldiery, and disposes of them as he pleases; as he seizes on all the estates of the officers of the empire at their decease; as he has the property of the estates of those who die without issue, and the daughters have only the usufruct; it thence sollows, that the greatest parts of the estates of the country are possessed in a precarious manner.

By the laws of Bantam ‡, the king seizes on the whole inheritance, even wife, children, and habitation. In order to elude the cruellest part of this law, they are obliged to marry their children at eight, nine, or tenyears of age, and sometimes

younger,

^{*} See Ricault's State of the Ottoman empire, p. 196.

[†] See concerning the inheritances of the Turks, ancient and modern Sparta. See also Ricault on the Ottoman empire.

[‡] Collection of voyages that contributed to the establishment of the East India Company, tome 1. The law of Pegu is less cruel; if there happens to be children, the king succeeds only to two thirds. Ibid. tome 3. p. 1.

younger, to the end that they may not be a wretched part of the father's fuccession.

In countries where there are no fundamental laws, the fuccession to the empire cannot be fixed. The crown is then elective, and the right of electing is in the prince, who names a fuccessor either of his own or of some other family. In vain would it be to establish here the succession of the eldest son; the prince might always chuse another. The successor is declared by the prince himself, or by his ministers, or by a civil war. Hence a despotic state is, upon another account, more liable than a monarchical government to dissolution.

As every prince of the royal family is held equally capable of being chosen, hence it follows, that the prince who ascends the throne, strangles immediately his brothers, as in Turky; or puts out their eyes, as in Persia; or be-reaves them of their understanding, as in the Mogul's country; or if these precautions are not used, as in Morocco, the vacancy of the throne is always attended with a

horrid civil war.

By the constitutions of Russia * the Czar may chuse whom he has a mind for his successor, whether of his own or a strange family. Such a settlement produces a thousand revolutions, and renders the throne as tottering as the

fuccession is arbitrary.

The right of fuccession being one of those things which are of most importance to the people to know; the best is that which most sensibly strikes them, such as a certain order of birth. A settlement of this kind puts a stop to intrigues, and stifles ambition; the mind of a weak prince is no longer enslaved, nor is he made to speak his will as he is just expiring.

When the fuccession is established by a fundamental law, only one prince is the successor, and his brothers have neither a real nor apparent right to dispute the crown with him. They can neither pretend to, nor take any advantage of the will of a father. There is then no more occasion to confine or kill the king's brother than any other

subject.

But in despotic governments, where the prince's brothers are equally his slaves and his rivals, prudence requires that their persons be secured; especially in Maho-Vol. I.

^{*} See the different Constitutions, especially that of 17 22.

metan countries where religion confiders victory or fuccess as a divine decision in their favour; so that they have no fuch thing as a monarch de jure, but only de facto.

There is a far greater incentive to ambition, in countries where the princes of the blood are fenfible that if they do not ascend the throne, they must be either imprisoned or put to death, than amongst us, where they are placed in such a station, as may satisfy, if not their ambition, at least their moderate desires.

The princes of despotic governments have always perverted the use of marriage. They generally take a great many wives, especially in that part of the world where absolute power is in some measure naturalized, namely, Asia. Hence they come to have such a multitude of children, that they can hardly have any great affection for them, nor the children for one another.

The reigning family refembles the state; it is too weak itself, and its head too powerful; it seems very numerous and extensive, and yet is suddenly extinct. Artanernes * put all his children to death for conspiring against him. It is not at all probable that sifty children should conspire against their father, and much less that this conspiracy should be owing to his having refused to resign his concubine to his eldest son. It is more natural to believe that the whole was an intrigue of those oriental seraglios, where artisce, treachery, and deceit reign in silence, involved in thick obscurity; where an old prince, grown every day more weak, is the first prisoner of the palace.

After what has been faid, one would imagine that human nature should perpetually rise in opposition to a despotic government. But notwithstanding the love of liberty, so natural to mankind, notwithstanding their innate detestation of force and violence, most nations are subject to this very government. This is easily accounted for. In order to form a moderate government, it is necessary to combine the several powers, to regulate, temper, and set them in motion, to give, as it were, ballast to one, in order to enable it to resist another. This is a masterpiece of legislation, rarely produced by hazard, and seldom attained by prudence. On the contrary, a despotic government offers itself, as it were, at first sight; it is uniform through-

out :

Hence

out; and as passions only are requisite to establish it, this is what every capacity may reach.

CHAP. XV.

The fame Subject continued.

In warm climates, where despotic power generally prevails, there is an earlier sensibility, as well as an earlier extinction of the passions *; the understanding is sooner ripened; they are in less danger of squandering away their fortunes; there is less facility in distinguishing themselves in the world; less communication between young people, who are confined at home; they marry much earlier, and confequently they may be sooner of age than in our European climates. In Turky they are of age at fifteen †.

Here there is no fuch thing as a cession of goods; in a government where there is no fixed property, people depend

rather on the person than on his estate.

The cession of goods is naturally admitted in moderate governments ‡, but especially in republics, because of the greater considence which arises from the probity of the citizens, and because of the lenity and moderation that 2 form of government, which every one seems to have framed for himself, ought to inspire.

Had the legislators of the Roman republic established the cession of goods §, they never would have been exposed to so many seditious and civil discords, nor would they have experienced the danger of the evils, or the inconveni-

ency of the remedies.

Poverty and the precariousness of property in a despotic state render usury natural, each person raising the value of his money in proportion to the danger he sees in lending it. Misery therefore pours in from all parts into those unhappy countries; they are berest of every thing, even of the resource of borrowing.

* See the book of laws as relative to the nature of the climate.

[†] Languilletiere, ancient and modern Sparta, p. 463.

[†] The same may be said of compositions in regard to fair bankrupts.
§ There was no such establishment made till the Julian law, de cossions bonorum; which preserved them from prison, and from an ignominious division of their goods.

Hence it is, that a merchant under this government is unable to carry on a great trade; he lives from hand to mouth; were he to encumber himself with a large quantity of merchandises, he would lose more by the exorbitant interest he must give for money, than he could possibly get by the goods. Wherefore there are no laws here relating to commerce; they are all reduced to what is called the civil polity.

A government cannot be unjust without having hands to exercise its injustice. Now, it is impossible but these hands will be grasping for themselves. The embezzling of the public money is therefore natural in despotic states.

As this is a common crime under this government, confifcations are very useful. By these the people are eased; the money drawn by this means being a considerable tribute which could hardly be raised on the exhausted subjects: neither is there in those countries any one family which the prince would be glad to preserve.

In moderate governments it is quite a different thing. Confifcations would render property uncertain, would strip innocent children, would destroy a whole family, inflead of punishing a single criminal. In republics they would be attended with the mischief of subverting equality, which is the very soul of this government, by depriving a citizen of his necessary subsistence *.

There is no Roman law + against confiscations except in the case of high treason of the most heinous nature. It would be a prudent thing to follow the spirit of this law, and to limit confiscations to particular crimes. In countries where a local custom has rendered real estates alienable, Bodin very justly observes, that confiscations should extend only to such as are purchased or acquired ‡.

CHAP.

[&]quot;They feemed to have been too fond of confiscations in the republic of Athens.

⁺ Authentica bona damnatorum, God. de bon. damn.

Book v. chap. 3.

CHAP. XVI.

Of the Communication of Power.

In a despotic government the power is communicated entire to the person intrusted with it. The vizir himself is the despotic prince; and each particular officer is the vizir. In monarchies the power is less immediately applied; being tempered by the monarch as he gives it *. He makes such a distribution of his authority, as never to communicate a part of it, without reserving a greater share to himself.

Hence, in monarchies the particular governours of towns are not so dependent on the governour of the province, as not to be still more so on the prince; and the private officers of military bodies are not so far subject to their general, as not to owe still a greater subjection to their sovereign.

In most monarchies, it has been wisely regulated, that those who have an extensive command, should not belong to any military body; so that as they have no authority but through the prince's particular pleasure, and as they may be employed or not, they are in some measure in the service, and in some measure out of it.

This is incompatible with a despotic government. For if those who are not actually employed, were nevertheless invested with privileges and titles, the consequence must be that there would be a kind of men in the state, who might be said to be great of themselves; a thing directly oppose to the nature of this government.

Were the governour of a town independent of the bashaw, expedients would be daily necessary to make them agree; which is highly absurd in a despotic state. Besides, if a particular governour might resuse to obey, how could the other answer for his province with his head?

In this kind of government authority must ever be wavering; nor is that of the lowest magistrate less steady

^{*} Ut effe Phœbi dulcius lumen folet Jamjam cadentis—

than that of the despotic prince. Under moderate governments, the law is prudent in all its parts, is perfectly well known, and even the pettiest magistrates are capable of following it. But in a despotic state, where the prince's will is the law, though the prince were wife, yet how could the magistrate follow a will he does not know? He must certainly follow his own.

Moreover, as the law is only the prince's will, and as the prince can only will what he knows, it follows that there are an infinite number of people who must will for

him, and as he wills.

In fine, as the law is the momentary will of the prince, it is necessary that those who will for him should follow his sudden manner of willing.

CHAP. XVII.

Of Presents.

It is a received custom in despotic countries, never to address any superiour whatsoever, not excepting their kings, without making them a present. The Mogul * never receives the petitions of his subjects, if they come with empty hands. These princes spoil even their own favours.

But thus it must ever be in a government where no man is a citizen; in a government where they have all a notion that a superiour is under no obligation to an inferiour; in a government where men imagine themselves bound by no other tie than the chastisements inslicted by one part over another; in a government, in fine, where there is very little to do, and where the people have seldom an occasion of presenting themselves before the great, of offering their petitions, and much less their complaints.

In a republic presents are odious, because virtue stands in no need of them. In monarchies honour is a much stronger incentive than presents. But in a despotic government,

^{*} Collection of voyages that contributed to the establishment of the Last India company, tom. i. p. 80.

vernment, where there is neither honour nor virtue, people cannot be determined to act but through hope of the conveniencies of life.

It is in conformity to republican ideas, that Plato * ordered those who received presents for doing their duty to be punished with death. They must not take presents, says

he, neither for good nor for evil actions +.

A very bad law that was among the Romans †, which gave the magistrates leave to accept of small presents ‡, provided they did not exceed one hundred crowns the whole year. They who receive nothing, expect nothing; they who receive a little, soon expect a little more, till at length their desires swell to an exorbitant height. Besides, it is much easier to convict a man who knows himself obliged to accept of no present at all, and yet will accept of something, than a person who takes more when he ought to take less, and who always finds pretexts, excuses, and plausible reasons in justification of his conduct.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of Rewards conferred by the Sovereign.

In defpotic governments, where, as we have already obferved, the principal motive of action is the hope of the
conveniencies of life, the prince who confers rewards has
nothing to bestow but money. In monarchies, where honour alone predominates, the prince's rewards would confist only of marks of distinction, if the distinctions established by honour were not attended with luxury, which
necessarily brings on its wants; the prince therefore is obliged to confer such honour as leads to wealth. But in a
republic where virtue reigns, a motive self-sufficient, and
which excludes all others, the recompences of the state consist only of public attestations of this virtue.

It is a general rule, that great rewards in monarchies and republics, are a fign of their decline; because they are a proof of their principles being corrupted, and that the

E 4 idea

Book xii. of laws. † Leg. § 5. ad leg. Jul. repet. ‡ Munufcula.

idea of honour has no longer the same force in monarchy, nor the title of citizen the same force in a republic.

The very worst Roman emperours were those who were most profuse in their largesses, for example, Caligula, Claudius, Nero, Otho, Vitelius, Commodus, Heliogabalus, and Caracalla. The best, as Augustus, Vespasian, Antoninus Pius, Marcus Aurelius, and Pertinax, were economists. Under good emperours, the state resumed its principles; all other treasures were supplied by that of honour.

CHAP. XIX.

New Consequences of the Principles of the three Governments.

I CANNOT conclude this book without making fome ap-

plications of my three principles.

QUEST. 1. It is a question, whether the laws ought to oblige a subject to accept of a public employment? My opinion is, that they ought in a republic, but not in a monarchical government. In the former, public employments are attestations of virtue, depositums with which a citizen is intrusted by his country, for whom he alone ought to live, act, and think; consequently he cannot resuse them *. In the latter, public offices are testimonies of honour; now such is the capriciousness of honour; that it chuses to accept of none of these testimonies but when and in what manner it pleases.

The late king of Sardinia + inflicted punishments on his subjects that resused the dignities and public offices of the state. In this he unknowingly followed republican ideas: But his manner of governing in other respects suf-

ficiently proves that this was not his intention.

QUEST. 2. Secondly, it is questioned, whether a subject should be obliged to accept of a post in the army inferiour to that which he held before? Among the Romans it was usual to see a captain serve the next year under his lieu-

tenant

^{*} Plato in his Republic, book viii. ranks the refusals among the marks of the corruption of a republic. In his laws, book vi. he orders them to be punished by a fine; at Venice they are punished with banishment.

* Victor Amadeus.

tenant *. This is because virtue in republics requires a continual facrifice of our perfons and of our repugnances for the good of the state. But in monarchies, honour, true or false, will never bear with what it calls degrading itself.

In despotic governments, where honour, posts, and ranks, are equally abused, they indifcriminately make of a

prince a scullion, and of a scullion a prince.

QUEST. 3. Thirdly, it may be enquired, whether civil and military employments should be conferred on the same person? In republics, I think, they should be joined, but in monarchies separated. In republics it would be extremely dangerous to make the profession of arms a particular state, distinct from that of civil functions; and in monarchies no less dangerous would it be to confer these two employments on the fame person.

In republics a person takes up arms only with a view to defend his country and its laws; it is because he is a citizen, he makes himself for a while a soldier. Were these two distinct states, the person who under arms thinks himself a citizen, would soon be made sensible he is only a

foldier.

In monarchies they whose condition engages them in the profession of arms, have nothing but glory, or at least honour or fortune, in view. To men therefore like these the prince should never give any civil employments; on the contrary, they ought to be checked by the civil magistrate, that the same persons may not have at the same time the confidence of the people and the power to abuse it +.

We have only to cast an eye on a nation that may be justly called a republic difguised under the form of monarchy, and we shall see how jealous they are of making a separate order of the profession of arms, and how the military state is constantly allied with that of the citizen, and even sometimes of the magistrate, to the end that these qualities

tuit Gallienus, etiam adire exercitum. Aurelius Victor de piris illustribus.

^{*} Some centurions having appealed to the people for the employments which they had before enjoyed, "It is just, my comrades," faid a centurion, "that you should look upon every post as honourable in which you have an opportunity of defending the republic." Lio, lib. xlii.

† Ne imperium ad optimos nobilium transferretur, senatum militia ve-

may be a pledge for their country, which should never be

forgotten.

The division of civil and military employments, made by the Romans after the extinction of the republic, was not an arbitrary thing. It was a confequence of the change which happened in the constitution of Rome; it was natural to a monarchical government, and what was only commenced under Augustus*, succeeding emperours + were obliged to finish, in order to temper the military govern-

Procopius, therefore, the competitor of Valens the emperour, was ignorant of this principle, when conferring the proconsular ‡ dignity upon Hormisdas, a prince of the blood-royal of Persia, he restored to this magistracy the military command of which it had been formerly possessed; unless indeed he had very particular reasons for so doing. A person that aspires to the sovereignty, concerns himfelf less about what is serviceable to the state, than about what is likely to promote his own interest.

QUEST. 4. Fourthly, it is a question, whether public employments should be venal? they ought not, I think, in despotic governments, where the subjects must be in-

flantaneously placed or displaced by the prince.

But in monarchies this venality is not at all improper, by reason it is an inducement to undertake that as a family-employment, which would never be undertaken through a motive of virtue; it fixes likewife every one to his duty, and renders the feveral orders of the kingdom more permanent. Suidas | very justly observes, that Anastafius had changed the empire into a kind of aristocracy, by felling all public employments.

Plato & cannot bear with this venality. "This is exact-" ly," fays he, "as if a person was to be made a mariner " or pilot of a ship for his money. Is it possible that this " rule should be bad in every other employment of life, " and hold good only in the administration of a republic?" But Plato speaks of a republic founded on virtue, and we

Augustus deprived the senators, proconsuls, and governours, of the priwilege of wearing arms. Dion. I. xxxiii.

Constantine. See Zosimus, lib. ii.

Ammianus Marcellinus, lib. xxvi. More veterum et bella reauro.

| Fragments taken from the embassies of Constantine Porphyrogenitus, Repub. lib. viii.

of a monarchy. Now, in monarchies (where though there were no such thing as a regular sale of public offices, still the indigence and avidity of the courtier would equally prompt him to expose them to sale) chance will furnish better subjects than the prince's choice. In sine, tht method of attaining to honours through riches, inspires and cherishes industry *, a thing extremely wanting in this

kind of government.

QUEST. 5. The fifth question is, in what kind of government censors are necessary? My answer is, they are necessary in a republic, where the principle of government is virtue. We must not imagine that criminal actions only are destructive of virtue; it is destroyed also by carelessness, by faults, by a certain coolness in the love of our country, by dangerous examples, by seeds of corruption, by whatever does not openly violate but elude the laws, by what does not subvert but weaken them; all this ought to fall under the enquiry and correction of the censors.

We are surprised at the punishment of the Areopagite, for killing a sparrow, which, to escape the pursuit of a hawk, had taken shelter in his bosom. Surprised we are also that an Areopagite should put his son to death for pulling out the eyes of a little bird. But let us resect that the question here does not relate to a criminal condemnation, but to a judgment of manners in a republic sounded on

manners.

In monarchies there should be no censors; monarchies are sounded on honour, and the nature of honour is to have the whole universe for its censor. Every man that sails in this respect, is subject to the reproaches even of those who are void of honour.

Here the cenfors would be fpoiled by the very people whom they ought to correct: they could not prevail against the corruption of a monarchy; the corruption ra-

ther would be too ftrong against them.

Hence it is obvious that there ought to be no cenfors in despotic governments. The example of China seems to derogate from this rule; but we shall see, in the course of this work, the particular reason of that institution.

BOOK

^{*}We see the laziness of Spain, where all public employments are given away.

BOOK VI.

CONSEQUENCES OF THE PRINCIPLES OF DIFFERENT GO-VERNMENTS WITH RESPECT TO THE SIMPLICITY OF CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LAWS, THE FORM OF JUDGE-MENTS, AND THE INFLICTING OF PUNISHMENTS.

CHAP. I.

Of the Simplicity of civil Laws in different Governments.

Monarchies do not permit of so great a simplicity of laws as despotic governments. For in monarchies there must be courts of judicature; these must give their decisions; the decisions must be preserved and learned, that we may judge in the same manner to day as yesterday, and that the lives and property of the citizens may be as certain and fixed as the very constitution of the state.

In monarchies, the administration of justice, which decides not only in whatever belongs to life and property, but likewise to honour, demands very scrupulous inquiries. The delicacy of the judge increases in proportion to the increase of his trust, and of the importance of the interests

on which he determines.

We must not therefore be surprised to find so many rules, restrictions, and extensions in the laws of those countries; rules that multiply the particular cases, and seem to make

an art of reason itself.

The difference of rank, birth, and condition, established in monarchical governments, it frequently attended with distinctions in the nature of property; and the laws relative to the constitution of this government, may augment the number of these distinctions. Hence, among us, goods are divided into real estates, purchases, doweries, paraphernalia, paternal, and maternal estates; moveables of different kinds; estates held in see simple, or in tail; acquired by descent or conveyance; allodial, or held by soccase; ground rents,

rents, or annuities. Each fort of goods is subject to particular rules, which must be complied with in the disposal of them. These things must needs diminish the simplicity of the laws.

In our governments, the fiefs are become hereditary. It was necessary that the nobility should have a fixed property, that is, the fief should have a certain consistency, to the end that the proprietor of the fief might be always in a capacity of serving the prince. This must have been productive of great varieties; for instance, there are countries where siefs could not be divided among the brothers; in others the younger brothers may be allowed a more generous subsistence

The monarch who knows each of his provinces, may establish different laws, or tolerate different customs. But the despotic prince knows nothing, and can attend to nothing; he must take general measures; he governs by a rigid and inflexible will, which throughout his whole dominions produces the same effects; every thing yields under his feet.

In proportion as the decisions of the courts of judicature are multiplied in monarchies, the law is loaded with decrees that sometimes contradict one another, either because succeeding judges are of a different way of thinking; or because the same causes are sometimes well, and at other times ill defended; or, in sine, by reason of an infinite number of abuses that slip into whatever passes, through the hands of man. This is a necessary evil, which the legislator redresses from time to time, as contrary even to the spirit of moderate governments. For when people are obliged to have recourse to courts of judicature, this should come from the nature of the constitution, and not from the contradictions or uncertainty of the laws.

In governments where there are necessary distinctions of persons, there must likewise be privileges. This also diminishes the simplicity, and creates a thousand exceptions.

One of the privileges least burthensome to society, and especially to him that confers it, is that of pleading in one court preferably to another. Here new difficulties arise, when it becomes a question before which court we shall plead.

Far

Far different is the case of people under despotic governments. In those countries I can see nothing that the legislator is able to decree or the magistrate to judge. As the lands belong to the prince, it follows, that there are fcarce any civil laws concerning the property of lands. From the right the fovereign has to succeed to estates, it follows likewife that there are none relating to inheritan-The monopolies established by the prince for himfelf in some countries, render all forts of commercial laws quite useless. The marriages which they usually contract with she-slaves, are the cause that there are scarce any civil laws relating to doweries, or to the particular advantage of married women. From the prodigious multitude of flaves it follows likewife, that there are very few who have any fuch thing as a will of their own, and of course are answerable for their conduct before a judge. Most moral actions that are only in consequence of a father's, a husband's, or a master's will, are regulated by them, and not by the magistrates.

I forgot to observe, that as what we call honour, is a thing hardly known in those countries, the several points relating to this honour, which are of such importance with

us, are with them quite out of the question.

Despotic power is of itself sufficient; round it there is an absolute vacuum. Hence it is, that when travellers favour us with the description of countries where arbitrary sway prevails, they seldom make mention of civil laws *.

All occasions therefore of wrangling and of law-suits are here removed. And to this in part it is owing that litigious people in those countries are so roughly handled: as the injustice of their demand is neither screened, palliated, nor protected by an infinite number of laws, of course it is immediately discovered.

CHAP.

In Mazulipatan it could never be found out that there was such a thing as written law. See the collection of voyages that contributed to the establishment of the India company, tome iv. part I. p. 391. The Indians are regulated in their judgments by certain customs. The Vedan and such like books do not contain civil laws, but religious precepts. See edifying letters, 14 collect.

CHAP. II.

Of the Simplicity of Criminal laws in Different Governments

We hear it generally faid, that justice ought to be administered with us as in Turkey. Is it possible then that the most ignorant of all nations should be the most clear-sighted in a point that it most behaves mankind to know?

If we examine the set forms of justice in respect to the trouble the subject undergoes in recovering his property, or in obtaining satisfaction for an injury or affront, we shall find them doubtless too many: but if we consider them in the relation they have to the liberty and security of the subject, we shall often find them too sew; and we shall be convinced that the trouble, expence, delays, and even the very dangers of our judiciary proceedings, are the price that every subject pays for his liberty.

In Turkey, where little regard is shown to the honour, lives, or estates of the subject, all causes are one way or other quickly decided. The method of determining them is a matter of indifference, provided they be determined. The bashaw, after a quick hearing, orders which party he pleases to be bastinadoed, and then sends them about their

bufinefs.

Here it would be dangerous to have the passion of litigiousness; this supposes a violent desire of obtaining justice, a strong aversion, a hurry of mind, and an obstinacy in pursuing revenge. All this should be avoided in a government, where sear ought to be the only prevailing sentiment, and in which the least popular disturbances are frequently attended with sudden and unforeseen revolutions. Here every man ought to know that the magistrate must not hear his name mentioned, and that his security depends entirely on his being reduced to a kind of annihilation.

But in moderate governments, where the life of the meanest subject is deemed precious, no man is stript of his honour or property but after a long inquiry; and no man is bereft of life, till his very country has attacked

him, an attack that is never made without leaving him

all possible means of making his defence.

Hence it is that when a person renders himself absolute *, he immediately thinks of simplifying the laws. In a government thus constituted, they are more affected with particular inconveniencies, than with the liberty of the subject, which is very little minded.

In republics, it is plain that as many formalities at least, are necessary as in monarchies. In both governments they encrease in proportion to the value which is set on

the honour, fortune, liberty, and life of the fubject.

In republican governments men are all equal; equal they are also in despotic governments: in the former because they are every thing, in the latter because they are nothing.

CHAP. III.

In what Governments, and in what Cases the Judges ought to determine according to the express Letter of the Law.

THE nearer a government approaches to a republic, the more the manner of judging becomes settled and fixed; wherefore it was a fault in the republic of Sparta, for the Ephori to pass such arbitrary judgments, without having any laws to direct them. The first consuls at Rome pronounced sentence in the same manner as the Ephori; but the inconveniency of this proceeding was soon felt, and they were obliged to have recourse to express and fixed laws.

In despotic governments there are no laws; the judge himself is his own rule. There are laws in monarchies; and where these are explicit, the judge conforms to them; where they are otherwise, he endeavours to follow their spirit. In republics the very nature of the constitution requires the judges to keep to the letter of the law. Here there is no citizen against whom a law can be interpreted, in cases where either his honour, property, or life is concerned.

At

^{*} Cæfar, Ctomwell, and many others.

At Rome the judges had no more to do than to declare, that the person accused was guilty of a particular crime, and then the punishment was found in laws, as may be seen in divers laws still extant. In England the jury determine whether the sact brought under their cognisance be proved or not; if it be proved, the judge pronounces the punishment inslicted by the law for such a particular sact, and for this he needs only open his eyes.

CHAP. IV.

Of the Manner of forming Judgments.

HENCE arise the different manners of forming judgments. In monarchies the judges take the method of arbiters; they deliberate together, they communicate their thoughts in order to come to an agreement, they moderate their opinion to render it conformable to that of others; and the fentiments of the fewest must yield to those of two thirds of the whole number. But this is not agreeable to the nature of a republic. At Rome, and in the cities of Greece, the judges never entered into a confultation; each gave his opinion one of these three ways, I absolve, I condemn, It does not appear clear to me *: this was because the people judged, or were supposed to judge. But the people are far from being civilians; all these modifications of arbitration are above their reach; they must have only one object, and one fingle fact fet before them; and then they have only to fee whether they ought to condemn, to acquit, or to fuspend their judgment.

The Romans introduced set forms for actions † after the example of the Greeks, and established a rule that each cause should be directed by its proper action. This was necessary in their manner of judging; it was necessary to six the state of the question, that the people might have it always before their eyes. Otherwise in a long process, this state of the question would continually change, and be

no longer distinguished.

Vol. I. F Hence
* Non liquet.

[†] Quas actiones ne populus prout vellet institueret, certas solemnesque offe voluerunt. L. ii. § 6. Digest. de orig. jur.

Hence it followed, that the Roman judges granted only the fimple demand, without making any addition, deduction, or limitation. But the prators devised other forms for actions, which were called ex bona fide, where the method of pronouncing fentence was left more to the difposition of the judge. This was more agreeable to the spirit of monarchy. Hence it is a saying among the French lawyers, That in France * all actions are EX BONA FIDE.

CHAP. V.

In what Governments the Sovereign may be Judge.

MACHIAVEL + attributes the loss of the liberty of Florence' to the people's not judging in a body in cases of high treafon against themselves, as was customary at Rome. For this purpose they had eight judges : but the few, fays Machiavel, are corrupted by a few. I should willingly adopt the maxim of this great man. But as in those cases the political interest prevails in some measure over the civil. (for it is always an inconveniency that the people should be judge in their own cause), in order to remedy this evil, the laws must provide as much as possible for the security of individuals.

With this view the Roman legislators did two things; they gave the persons accused permission to banish themfelves t before fentence was pronounced §; and they ordained that the goods of those who were condemned should be facred, to prevent their being confiscated to the people. We shall see in the 11th book the other limitations that were fet to the power the people had of judging.

Solon knew how to prevent the abuse which the people might make of their power in criminal judgments. He ordained

In France, a person though sued for more than he owes, loses notwithflanding his costs, if he has not offered to pay as much as he owes.

† Discourse on the first decade of Livy, book i. chap. 7.

This is well explained in Cicero's oration pro Cacina, towards the end. § This was a law at Athens, as appears by Demosthenes. Socrates refused to make use of it.

ordained that the court of Areopagus should re-examine the affair; that if they believed the party accused was unjustly acquitted ||, they should accuse him again beforethe people; that if they believed him unjustly condemned *, they should put a stop to the execution, and make them rejudge the proceeding. An admirable law that subjected the people to the censure of the magistracy which they most revered, and even to their own!

In affairs of this kind, it is always proper to throw in fome delays, especially when the party accused is under confinement; to the end that the people may grow calm,

and give their judgement coolly.

In despotic governments the prince himself may be judge. But in monarchies this cannot be; the constitution by such means would be subverted, and the dependent intermediate powers annihilated; all set forms of judgment would cease; fear would take possession of the people's minds, and paleness spread itself over every countenance: the more considence, honour, assection, and security there is in the subject, the more widely extended is the power of the monarch.

We shall give here a few more reslections on this point. In monarchies, the prince is the party that prosecutes the persons accused, and causes them to be punished or acquitted; now, were he himself to sit as judge, he would be both judge and party.

In this government, the prince has frequently the benefit of confications; fo that here again by being judge him-

felf of crimes, he would be both judge and party.

Further, by this means he would deprive himself of the most glorious attribute of sovereignty, namely, that of granting pardon +; for it would be quite ridiculous in him to make and unmake his decisions: Surely he would not chuse to contradict himself. Besides, this would be confounding all ideas; it would be impossible to tell whether a man was acquitted, or received his pardon.

Lewis XIII. being defirous to fit as judge at the trial of F 2 the

Demosthenes pro corona, p. 494. edit. Francf, an. 1604.
See Philostratus's lives of the Sophists, book i. life of Æschines.

[†] Plato does not think it right that kings, who, as he fays, are priefts, should preside at judgments where people are condemned to death, exile, or imprisonment.

the Duke de la Valette*, affembled in his cabinet some members of the parliament, together with fome counfellors of state, to consult about it: upon their being compelled by the king, to give their opinion or judgment concerning the decree for his arrest, the President de Believre faid, "That he faw in this affair a very strange thing, a " prince passing sentence upon one of his subjects; that " kings had referved to themselves the power of pardon-" ing, and left that of condemning to their officers; that " his Majesty wanted to see before him at the bar, a per-" fon who by his decision was to be hurried away in an " hour's time into the other world! That this is what a " prince's countenance, from whence favours flow, should " never bear; that his presence alone removed ecclesiastic " cenfures; and that subjects ought not to go away diffa-" tisfied from their prince." When fentence was passed. the same prefident said, "This is an unprecedented judge-" ment, to fee, contrary to the example of past ages, a " king of France in the quality of a judge, condemning a " gentleman to death +."

Again, sentences passed by the prince would be an inexhaustible source of injustice and abuse; the courtiers by their importunity would always be able to extort his decisions. Some Roman emperours were so mad as to sit as judges themselves; the consequence was, that no reigns ever so surprised the universe with oppression and injustice.

"Claudius," fays Tacitust, "having appropriated to himfelf the judgment of law-fuits, and the functions of magistrates, gave occasion to all manner of rapine." But Nero, upon his coming to the empire after Claudius, endeavoured to conciliate the minds of the people, by declaring, "That he would take care not to be judge himfelf in private causes, that the parties might not be exposed within the walls of a palace to the iniquitous power of a

" few freedmen §."

"Under the reign of Arcadius," fays Zozimus ¶, a fwarm of calumniators spread themselves all round, and infected the court. Upon a person's decease it was immediately

Hift. lib. v.

[•] See the relation of the trial of the Duke de Valette. It is printed in the memoirs of Montesor, tome 2. p. 62.

[†] It was afterwards revoked. See the fame relation, † Annal. lib. xi. \$ Ibid. lib. xiii.

mediately supposed he had no children ||; and, in confequence of this, his property was given away by a refeript. For, as the p ince was surprisingly stupid, and the empress excessively enterprising, she was a slave to

"the infatiable avarice of her domestics and confidents;
infomuch that to an honest man nothing could be more

" defirable than death."

"Formerly," fays Procopius §, "there used to be very few people at court; but in Justinian's reign, as the judges had no longer the liberty of administering justice, their tribunals were deserted, while the prince's palace resounded with the clamours of the several litigating parties." Every body knows what a prostitution there was, at that court, of public judgments, and even of the very laws themselves.

The laws are the eye of the prince; by them he fees what he could not otherwise discern. Should he attempt the function of a judge, he would not then labour for himself, but for impostors that want to deceive him.

CHAP. VI.

That in Monarchies the Ministers ought not to be Judges.

It is likewise a very great inconveniency in monarchies for the ministers of the prince to be judges. We have still instances of states where there are a great number of judges to determine fiscal controversies, and where the ministers notwithstanding (a thing almost incredible!) want to determine them. Many are the resections that here arise; but this single one will suffice for my purpose.

There is in the very nature of things a kind of contrast between a prince's council and his courts of judicature. The king's council ought to be composed of a few persons, and the courts of judicature of a great many. The reason is; in the former things should be undertaken and pursued with a kind of warmth and passion, which can hardly be expected but from four or sive men who make it their F 3 fole

The same disorder happened under Theodosius the younger. Secret history.

fole business. On the contrary, in courts of judicature a certain coolness is requisite, and an indifference in some measure to all manner of affairs.

CHAP. VII.

Of a fingle Magistrate.

A Magistracy of this kind cannot take place but in a defpotic government. We have an instance in the Roman history, how far a single magistrate may abuse his power. Might it not be very well expected, that Appius on his tribunal should contemn the laws, after having violated the very law of his own * enacting? Livy has given us the iniquitous distinction of the decemvir. He had suborned a man to reclaim Virginia in his presence as his slave; Virginia's relations insisted, that, by virtue of his own law, she should be consigned to them till the definitive judgment was passed. Upon which he declared, that his law had been made only in favour of the father; and that, as Virginius was absent, no application could be made of it to the present case †.

CHAP. VIII.

Of Accufations in different Governments.

In Rome † it was lawful for one citizen to accuse another; this was according to the spirit of a republic, where each citizen ought to have an unlimited zeal for the public good, and where each citizen is supposed to hold the whole rights of his country in his hands. Under the emperours the republican maxims were still pursued; and instantly a pernicious

^{*} See the 2d law, § 24. ff. de orig. jur. † Quod pater puellæ abesset, locum injuriæ esse ratus. Livius, dec. i. lib. 3. ‡ And in a great many other citics.

nicious set of men started up, a whole swarm of informers. Whosoever had numerous vices and abilities, a mean soul and an ambitious spirit, busied himself in the search of some criminal whose condemnation might be agreeable to the prince: this was the road to honour and sortune ||; but luckily we are strangers to it in our country.

We have at present an admirable law, namely, that which requires that the prince who is established for the execution of the laws, should appoint an officer in each court of judicature to prosecute all forts of crimes in his name; by this means the profession of informers is a thing unknown to us; for if this public avenger were suspected to abuse his office, he would soon be obliged to name his author.

By Plato's laws *, those who neglect to inform or affist the magistrates, are liable to be punished. This would not be so proper in our days. The public prosecutor watches for the safety of the citizens; he proceeds in his office, while they enjoy the sweets of tranquillity.

CHAP. IX.

Of the feverity of Punishments in different Governments.

THE feverity of punishments is fitter for despotic governments, whose principle is terrour, than for a monarchy or a republic, whose spring is honour and virtue.

In moderate governments the love of one's country, shame, and sear of blame, are restraining motives, capable of preventing a great multitude of crimes. Here the greatest punishment of a bad action is conviction. The civil laws have therefore a softer way of correcting, and do not

require fo much force and feverity.

In those states a good legislator is less bent upon punishing than preventing crimes; he is more attentive to inspire, good morals, than to inslict punishments.

It is a perpetual remark of the Chinese authors +, that F 4 the

See in Tacitus the reward given to these informers.

[†] I shall shew hereaster, that China is in this respect in the same case as a republic or a monarchy.

the more the severity of punishments was increased in their empire, the nearer they were to a revolution. Because punishments were augmented in proportion as the public morals were corrupted.

It would be an eafy matter to prove, that in all, or almost all, the governments of Europe, punishments have increased or diminished in proportion as those governments

favoured or discouraged liberty.

In despotic governments people are so unhappy, as to have a greater dread of death than regret for the loss of life; consequently their punishments ought to be more severe. In moderate states, they are more afraid of losing their lives than apprehensive of the pain of dying; those punishments therefore that deprive them simply of life, are sufficient.

Men in excess of happiness or misery are equally inclinable to severity; witness conquerours and monks. It is mediocrity alone, and a mixture of prosperous and adverse

fortune, that inspire us with lenity and pity.

What we observe among particular men, is equally obfervable in different nations. In countries inhabited by favages who lead a very hard life, and in despotic governments, where there is only one person on whom fortune lavishes her favours, while the miserable subjects lie exposed to her insults, people are equally cruel. Lenity reigns in moderate governments.

When we read in history the horrid severity of the sultans in the administration of justice, we feel a kind of pain

upon confidering the miseries of human nature.

In moderate governments, a good legislator may make use of every thing by way of punishment. Is it not a very extraordinary thing, that one of the principal punishments at Sparta was to deprive a person of the power of lending out his wise, or of receiving the wise of another man, and to oblige him to have no company at home but virgins? In short, whatever the law calls a punishment is such effectually.

CHAP.

CHAP. X.

Of the ancient French Laws.

In the ancient French laws we find the true spirit of monarchy. In cases relating to pecuniary punishments the common people are less severely punished than the nobility *. But in criminal + cases it is quite the reverse; the nobleman loses his honour and his voice in court, while the peafant, who has no honour to lofe, undergoes a corporal punishment,

CHAP. XI.

That when a People are virtuous, few Punishments are necessary.

THE people of Rome had some share of probity. Such was the force of this probity, that the legislator had frequently no farther occasion than to point out the right road, to induce them to follow it; one would imagine, that instead of precepts, it was sufficient to give them counsels.

The punishments of the legal laws, and those of the twelve tables, were almost all abolished in the time of the republic, in consequence either of the Valerian t, or of the Porcian law ||. It was never observed that this step did any manner of prejudice to the civil administration.

This Valerian law, which prohibited the magistrates from using any violent methods against a citizen that had appealed

* Suppose for instance, to prevent the execution of a decree the common people paid a fine of forty fous, and the nobility of fixty livres. Somme Rurale, book ii, p. 198, edit Got. 1512.

† See the council of Peter Defontaines, c. 13. especially art 22. It was made by Valerius Publicola, soon after the expulsion of the

kings, and was twice renewed, both times by magistrates of the same family, as Livy observes, I. 10. The question was not to give it a greater force, but to render its injunctions more perfect ; diligentius findum, Livy, ibid.

| Lex Porcia pro tergo civium lata. It was made in the 454th year of the foundation of Rome.

というないのではないできる

appealed to the people, inflicted no other punishment on the person who infringed it, than that of being reputed a dishonest man*.

CHAP. XII.

Of the Power of Punishments.

EXPERIENCE shows, that in countries remarkable for the lenity of penal laws, the spirit of the inhabitants is as much affected by them, as in other countries by severer punishments.

If an inconveniency or abuse arises in the state, a violent government endeavours suddenly to redress it; and instead of putting the old laws in execution, it establishes some cruel punishment which instantly puts a stop to the evil. But the spring of government is hereby weakened; the imagination grows accustomed to the severe as well as to the milder punishment; and as the sear of the latter diminishes, they are soon obliged in every case to have recourse to the other. Robberies on the high-way were grown common in some countries; in order to remedy this evil, they invented the punishment of breaking upon the wheel, the terrour of which put a stop for a while to this mischievous practice. But soon after robberies on the high ways were become as common as ever.

Defertion in our days was grown to a very great height; in confequence of this it was judged proper to punish deferters with death; and yet their number did not diminish. The reason is very natural; a soldier accustomed daily to venture his life, despises or affects to despise the danger of losing it. He is daily habituated to the fear of shame; it would have been therefore much better to have continued a punishment which branded him with infamy for life: The punishment was pretended to be increased, while it really diminished.

Men must not be led by excess of violence; we ought to make a prudent use of the means which nature has given us to conduct them. If we inquire into the cause of all human

Nihil ultra quam improbe faclum adjecit. Liv.

human corruptions, we shall find that they proceed from the impunity of crimes, and not from the moderation of punishments.

Let us follow nature, who has given shame to man for his scourge; and let the heaviest part of the punishment

be the infamy attending it.

But if there be fome countries where shame is not a confequence of punishment, this must be owing to tyranny, which has inslicted the same punishments on villains and honest men.

And if there are others where men are deterred only by cruel punishments, we may be fure that this must in a great measure arise from the violence of the government, which has inflicted such punishments for slight transgressions.

It often happens that a legislator, desirous of reforming an evil, thinks of nothing but this reformation; his eyes are open only to this object, and shut to its inconveniencies. When the evil is redressed, there is nothing more seen but the severity of the legislator: Yet there still remains an evil in the state that has sprung from this severity; the minds of the people are corrupted, and become habituated

to despotic power.

Lyfander * having obtained a victory over the Athenians, the prisoners were ordered to be tried in consequence of an accusation brought against the Athenians, of having thrown all the captives of two galleys down a precipice, and of having resolved in full assembly to cut off the hands of those they should chance to make prisoners. The Athenians were therefore all massacred, except Adymantes who had opposed this decree. Lysander reproached Philocles, before he was put to death, with having depraved the people's minds, and given lessons of cruelty to all Greece.

"The Argives," fays Plutarch +, "having put fifteen hundred of their citizens to death, the Athenians order- ed facrifices of expiation, that it might please the gods to turn the hearts of the Athenians from so cruel a thought."

There are two forts of corruption; one, when the people do not observe the laws, the other, when they are corrupted by the laws: An incurable evil, because it is in the very remedy itself.

. Xenoph. hift. lib. ii.

CHAP.

[†] Morals of those who are intrusted with the direction of flate and

CHAP. XIII.

Impotency of the Laws of Japan.

Excessive punishments may even corrupt a despotic government; of this we have an instance in Japan.

Here almost all crimes are punished with death +, because disobedience to so great an emperour as that of Japan, is reckoned an enormous crime. The question is not so much to correct the delinquent, as to vindicate the authority of the prince. These notions are derived from servitude, and are owing especially to this, that as the emperour is universal proprietor, almost all crimes are directly against his interests.

They punish with death lies spoken before the magifirates *; a proceeding contrary to natural defence.

Even things which have not the appearance of a crime, are feverely punished; for instance, a man that ventures his money at play is put to death.

It is true, that the furprising character of this obstinate, capricious, resolute, whimsical people, who defy all dangers and calamities, seems to absolve their legislators from the imputation of cruelty, notwithstanding the severity of their laws. But are men who have a natural contempt of death, and who rip open their bellies for the least fancy, are such men, I say, mended or deterred, or rather are they not hardened, by the continual sight of punishments?

The relations of travellers inform us, with respect to the education of the Japanese, that children must be treated there with mildness, because they become hardened to punishment; that their slaves must not be too roughly used, because they immediately put themselves in a posture of defence. Would not one imagine that they might easily have judged of the spirit which ought to reign in their po-

^{*} Collection of voyages that contributed to the establishment of the East India company, tom. iii. p. 428.

⁺ See Kempfer.

litical and civil government, from that which should prevail in their domestic concerns?

A wife legislator would have endeavoured to reclaim people's minds by a just temperature of punishments and rewards; by maxims of philosophy, morality, and religion, adapted to these characters; by a just application of the rules of honour, and by the enjoyment of a constant happiness and soft tranquillity of life. But these are springs to which despotic power is a stranger; it may abuse itself, and that is all it can do: In Japan it has made its utmost effort, and has even surpassed itself in cruelty.

As the minds of the people by this means grew wild and intractable, they were obliged to have recourse to the most horrid severity. This is the origin, this is the spirit of the laws of Japan. They had more fury, however than force. They succeeded in the extirpation of Christianity; but such unaccountable efforts are a proof of their impotence. They wanted to establish a good polity, and they have

shown greater marks of their weakness.

We have only to read the relation of the interview between the emperour and the deyro at Meaco*. The number of those who were suffocated or murdered in that city by rushians, is incredible; young maids and boys were carried off by force, and found afterwards exposed in public places at unseasonable hours, quite naked and sewed in linen bags, to prevent their knowing which way they had passed; robberies were committed in all parts, the bellies of horses were ripped open to bring their riders to the ground, and coaches were overturned in order to strip the ladies. The Dutch, who were told they could not pass the night on the scassol, without exposing themselves to the danger of being assassing assassing themselves to the danger of being assassing assassing to the scassol of the scan of the scassol of the scan of

I shall here give one instance more from the same nation. The emperour having abandoned himself to infamous pleasures, lived unmarried, and was consequently in danger of dying without issue. The deyro sent him two beautiful young virgins; one he married out of respect, but would not meddle with her. His nurse caused the finest women of the empire to be sent for, but all to no pur-

pose.

^{*} Collection of voyages that contributed to the establishment of the East India company, tom. v. p. 2.

pose. At length, an armourer's daughter having pleased his fancy *, he determined to marry her, and had a son. The ladies belonging to the court, enraged to see a person of such mean extraction preferred to themselves stifled the child. The crime was concealed from the emperour; for he would have spilled a torrent of blood. The excessive severity of the laws hinders therefore their execution: When the punishment surpasses all measure, they are frequently obliged to prefer impunity to it.

CHAP. XIV.

Of the Spirit of the Roman Senate.

Under the consulate of Acilius Glabrio and Piso, the Acilian law † was made to prevent the intriguing for places. Dio says ‡ that the senate engaged the consuls to propose it, by reason that C. Cornelius the tribune had resolved to cause most severe punishments to be established against this crime; to which the people seemed greatly inclined. The senate rightly judged that immoderate punishments would strike indeed a terrour into people's minds, but must also have this effect, that there would be nobody afterwards to accuse or condemn; whereas, by proposing moderate punishments, there would be always judges and accusers.

CHAP. XV.

Of the Roman Laws in respect to Punishments.

I AM strongly confirmed in my fentiments upon finding the Romans on my side, and I think that punishments are connected

· Ibid.

: Book xxxvi.

[†] Those that were guilty were condemned to a fine; they could not be admitted into the rank of senators, nor nominated to any public office. Dio, book xxxvi.

connected with the nature of the government, when I behold this great people changing in this respect their civil laws, in proportion as they altered their form of government.

The regal laws made for a multitude composed of fugitives, slaves, and vagabonds, were very severe. The spirit of a republic would have required that the decemvire should not have inserted those laws in their twelve tables; but men who aimed at tyranny were far from conforming to a republican spirit.

Livy * fays in relation to the punishment of Metius Sussetius, dictator of Alba, who was condemned by Tullus Hostilius to be pulled to pieces by two chariots, that this was the first and last punishment in which the remembrance of humanity seemed to have been lost. He is mistaken; the law of the twelve tables is full of very cruel punishments †.

The defign of the decemvirs appears most conspicuous in the capital punishment pronounced against libellers and poets. This is not agreeable to the genius of a republic, where the people like to see the great men humbled. But persons that aimed at the subversion of liberty, were assaid of writings that might revive its spirit ‡.

After the expulsion of the decemvirs, almost all the penal laws were abolished. It is true they were not expressly repealed; but as the *Porcian* law had ordained that no citizen of Rome should be put to death, they were of no further use.

This is exactly the time to which we may refer what Livy || fays of the Romans, that no people were ever fonder of moderation in punishments.

But if to the mildness of punishments we add the right which the party accused had of withdrawing before judgement was pronounced, we shall find that the Romans followed the spirit which I have observed to be natural to a republic.

Sylla

[·] Lib. i.

⁺ We find there the punishment of fire, and almost always capital punishments, thest punished with death, &c.

⁺ Sylla, animated with the fame spirit as the decemvirs, followed their example in augmenting the penal laws against satirical writers.

[|] Book i.

No Margar

Sylla, who confounded tyranny, anarchy, and liberty made the Cornelian laws. He feemed to have contrived regulations merely with a view to create new crimes. Thus diftinguishing an infinite number of actions by the name of murder, he found murderers in all parts; and, by a practice but too much followed, he laid snares, sowed thorns, and opened precipices, wheresoever the citizens set their feet.

Almost all Sylla's laws contained only the interdiction of fire and water. To this Cæsar added the confiscation of goods*; because the rich, by preserving their estates in exile, became bolder in the perpetration of crimes.

The emperours having established a military government, foon found that it was as terrible to the prince as to the subject; they endeavoured therefore to temper it, and with this view had recourse to dignities, and to the respect with which those dignities were attended.

The government thus drew nearer a little to monarchy, and punishments were divided into three classes +; those which related to the principal persons in the state t, which were very mild; those which were inflicted on persons of an inferiour rank ||, and were more severe; and, in fine, such as concerned only persons of the lowest condition §, which were the most rigorous.

Maximinus, that fierce, that stupid prince, increased the rigour of the military government which he ought to have softened. The senate were informed, says Capitolinus I, that some had been crucified, others exposed to wild beasts, or sewed up in the skins of wild beasts lately killed, without any manner of regard to their dignity. It seemed as if he wanted to exercise the military discipline, on the model of which he pretended to regulate the civil administration.

In the confiderations on the rife and declension of the Roman grandeur, we find, in what manner Constantine changed the military government into a military and civil one, and

Pænas facinorum auxit, cum locupletes eo facilius feelere fe obliga-

rent, quod integris patrimoniis exularent. Suel. in Jul. Cafare.

† See the third law. § Legis ad leg. Cornel. de ficariis, and a vast number of others in the Digest and in the Codex.

[#] Sublimiores.

Medios.

[§] Infimos, leg. 3. § Legis ad leg. Cornel. de ficariis.

Jul. Cap. Maximini duo.

drew nearer to monarchy. There we may trace the different revolutions of this state, and see how they fell from rigour to indolence, and from indolence to impunity.

CHAP. XVI.

Of the just Proportion betwixt Punishments and Crimes.

It is an effential point, that there should be a certain proportion in punishments, because it is essential that a great crime should be avoided rather than a lesser, and that which is more pernicious to society rather than which is less.

"An impostor ||, who called himself Constantine Ducas,
raised a great insurrection at Constantinople. He was
taken and condemned to be whipt; but upon informing
against several persons of distinction, he was condemned
to be burnt as a calumniator." It is very extraordinary,
that they should thus proportion the punishments betwixt
the crimes of high treason and that of calumny.

This puts me in mind of a faying of Charles II. king of Great Britain. He faw a man one day standing in the pillory; upon which he asked what crime the man had committed. He was answered, "Please your Majesty, he has wrote a libel against your ministers." "The fool!" faid the king, "why did he not write against me? they would have done nothing to him."

"Seventy persons having conspired against the emperour Basil *; he ordered them to be whipt, and the hair
of their heads and beards to be burnt. A stag one day
having taken hold of him by the girdle with his horn,
one of his retinue drew his sword, cut the girdle, and saved him; upon which he ordered that person's head to
be cut off, for having, said he, drawn his sword against
his sovereign." Who could imagine that the same
prince could ever have passed two such different judgments.

It is a great abuse amongst us to condemn to the same punishment a person that only robs on the high way, and another that robs and murders. Surely, for the public security, some difference should be made in the punishment.

Vol. I. G

Hift. of Nicephorus, patriarch of Constantinople In Nicephorus's history.

In China those who add murder to robbery, are cut in pieces *; but not so the others: To this difference it is owing, that though they rob in that country, yet they never murder.

In Russia, where the punishment of robbery and murder is the same, they always murder †. The dead, say they, tell no tales.

When there is no difference in the punishment, there should be some in the expectation of pardon. In England they never murder on the highway, because robbers have some hopes of transportation, which is never the case in respect to those that commit murder.

Letters of grace are of excellent use in moderate governments. This power which the prince has of pardoning, exercised with prudence, is capable of producing admirable effects. The principle of despotic government, which neither grants nor receives any pardon, deprives it of these advantages.

CHAP. XVII.

Of the Question or Torture.

The wickedness of mankind makes it necessary for the laws to suppose them better than they are. Hence the deposition of two witnesses is sufficient in the punishment of all crimes. The law believes them as if they spoke by the mouth of truth. Thus we judge that every child conceived in wedlock is legitimate; the law having a considence in the mother as if she were chastity itself. But the use of the rack against criminals cannot be defended on a like plea of necessity.

We have before us the example of a nation bleffed with an excellent civil government ‡, where without any inconveniency the practice of racking criminals is rejected. It is not therefore in its own nature necessary §.

So

^{*} Du Halde, tome 1. p. 6.

⁺ Present state of Russia, by Perry.

t The English.

The citizens of Athens could not be put to the rack (Lyfas orat. in A-

So many men of learning and genius have wrote against the custom of torturing criminals, that after them I durst not presume to meddle with the subject. I was going to say that it might suit despotic states, where whatever inspires fear is the properest spring of government; I was going to say that the slaves among the Greeks and Romans—But I hear the voice of nature cry out loudly against me.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of Pecuniary and Corporal Punishments.

Our ancestors the Germans admitted of none but pecuniary punishments. Those free and warlike people were of opinion that their blood ought not to be spilt but with sword in hand. On the contrary, these punishments are rejected by the Japanese *, under pretence that the rich might elude them. But are not the rich afraid of being stripped of their property? And might not pecuniary punishments be proportioned to people's fortunes; and in fine, might not infamy be added to these punishments?

A good legislator takes a just medium; he ordains neither always pecuniary, nor always corporal punishments.

CHAP. XIX.

Of the Law of Retaliation

THE use of the law of retaliation + is very frequent in despotic countries, where they are fond of simple laws.

G 2

Moderate

gorat.) unless it was for high treason. The torture was used within thirty days after condemnation (Carius fortunatus rhetor, schol. lib. 2.) There was no preparatory torture. In regard to the Romans, the 3d and 4th law ad leg. Julian majest shews that birth, dignity, and the military profession exempted people from the rack, except in cases of high treason. See the prudent restrictions of this practice made by the laws of the Visigoths.

* See Kempfer. † It is established in the Koran. See the chapter of the Cow. Moderate governments admit of it fometimes; but with this difference, that the former exercise it in full rigour, and among the latter it always receives fome kind of limitation.

The law of the twelve tables admitted two; first it never condemned to retaliation but when the plaintiff could not be fatisfied in any other manner +; fecondly, after condemnation they might pay damages and interest; and then the corporal punishment was changed into a pecuniary one ||.

CHAP. XX.

Of the Punishment of Fathers for the Crimes of their Children.

In China fathers are punished for the crimes of their children. This was likewise the custom at Peru &; a custom derived from the notion of despotic power.

Little does it fignify to fay, that in China the father is punished for not having exerted that paternal authority which nature has established, and the laws themselves have improved. This still supposes that there is no honour among the Chinese. Amongst us, parents whose children are condemned to punishment, and children * whose parents have undergone the like fate, are as feverely punished by shame as they would be in China by the loss of their lives

CHAP. XXI.

Of the Clemency of the Prince.

CLEMENCY is the peculiar characteristic of monarchs. republics whose principle is virtue, it is not so necessary.

⁴ Si membrum rupit, ni cum eo pacit, talio esto. Aulus Gellius lib, 20. cap. I.

[#] See Kempfer.

[|] See also the law of the Visigoths, book vi. tit. 4. § 3. et 5. § See Garcilasso, history of the civil wars of the Spaniards. Instead of punishing them, says Plato, they ought to have been commended for not having followed their father's example. Book 9. of laws.

In despotic governments where fear predominates, it is less customary, because the great men are to be restrained by examples of severity. It is more necessary in monarchies where they are governed by honour, which frequently requires what the very law forbids. Disgrace is here equivalent to chastissement; and even the formalities of justice are punishments. This is because particular kinds of punishment are formed by shame which on every side invades the delinquent.

The great men in monarchies are so heavily punished by disgrace, by the loss (though often imaginary) of their fortune, credit, acquaintances and pleasures, that rigour in respect to them is needless. It can tend only to divest the subject of the affection he has for the person of his prince, and of the respect he ought to have for public posts

and employments.

As the instability of the great is natural to a despotic government, so their security is interwoven with the na-

ture of monarchy.

So many are the advantages which monarchs gain by clemency; fuch love, fuch glory attends it; that it is generally a point of happiness to have an opportunity of exercising it; which in these parts is seldom wanting.

Some branch perhaps of their authority, but never hardly the whole, will be difputed: and if they fometimes fight for their crown, they do not fight for their life.

But some may ask, when is it proper to punish, and when to pardon? This is a point that is easier felt than prescribed. When there is danger in the exercise of clemency, the danger is visible; it is an easy matter to distinguish it from that imbecillity which exposes princes to contempt, and to the very incapacity of punishing.

The Emperor Maurice * made a refolution never to spill the blood of his subjects. Anastausis † punished no crimes at all. Isaac Angelus took an oath that no one should be put to death, during his reign. Those Greek emperours had forgot that it was not for nothing they were intrusted

with the fword.

G 3

BOOK

[&]quot; Evagr. hift.

[†] Frag. of Suidas in Constant, Porphyrog.

BOOK VII.

CONSEQUENCES OF THE DIFFERENT PRINCIPLES OF THE THREE GOVERNMENTS WITH RESPECT TO SUMPTUARY LAWS, LUXURY, AND THE CONDITION OF WOMEN.

CHAP. I.

Of Luxury.

LUXURY is always in proportion to the inequality of fortunes. If the riches of a state are equally divided, there will be no luxury; for it is founded merely on the conveniencies acquired by the labour of others.

In order to have this equal distribution of riches, the law ought to give each man only just what is necessary for nature. If they exceed those bounds, some will spend, and others will acquire, and by this means an inequality will

be established.

NEWSCHOOL STATES

Supposing what is necessary for the support of nature to be equal to a given sum, the luxury of those who have only what is barely nccessary, will be equal to a cipher; if a person happens to have double that sum, his luxury will be equal to one; he that has double the latter's substance, will have a luxury equal to three; if this be still doubled, there will be a luxury equal to seven; so that the property of the subsequent individual being alway supposed double to that of the preceding, the luxury will increase double, and an unit be always added in this progression, c, 1, 3, 7, 15, 31, 63, 127.

In Plato's republic *, luxury might have been exactly calculated. There were four forts of census's, or

^{*} The first census was the hereditary share in land, and Plato would not allow them to have in other effects above a triple of the hereditary share. See his Laws, book v.

rates of estates. The first was exactly the term beyond poverty, the fecond was double, the third triple, the fourth quadruple to the first. In the first census, luxury was equal to a cipher; in the fecond to one, in the third to two, in the fourth to three; and thus it formed in an arithmeti-

cal proportion.

Confidering the luxury of different nations with respect to one another, it is in each state in a compound proportion to the inequality of the subject's fortunes, and to the inequality of the wealth of the different states. In Poland, for example, there is an extreme inequality of fortunes; but the poverty of the whole hinders them from having fo much luxury as in a more opulent state.

Luxury is also in proportion to the populousness of the towns, and especially of the capital; so that it is in a compound proportion to the riches of the state, to the inequality of private fortunes, and to the number of people fet-

tled in particular places.

In proportion to the populousness of towns, the inhabitants are filled with vain notions, and actuated by an ambition of distinguishing themselves by trisles +. If they are fo very numerous, that most of them are strangers to one another, the passion of distinguishing themselves redoubles, because there are greater hopes of success. As luxury inspires these hopes, each man assumes the marks of a superiour condition. But by endeavouring thus at distinction, every one becomes equal, and distinction ceases; as all of them are defirous of respect, nobody is taken notice of.

Hence arises a general inconveniency. Those who excel in a profession set what value they please upon their labour; this example is followed by people of inferiour abilities; and then there is an end of all proportion between our wants and the means of fatisfying them. When I am forced to go to law, I must be able to fee counsel; when I am fick, I must be able to fee a physician.

It is the opinion of feveral, that the affembling fo great a multitude of people in capital cities, is an obstruction to commerce, because by this means the inhabitants are no longer within a proper distance from each other. cannot

⁺ In a great city, fays the author of the fable of the bees, tom. i. p. 133, they drefs above their condition, in order to be esteemed more than what they really are by the multitude. This to a weak person is almost as great a pleafure as the accomplishment of his defires.

cannot think fo; for men have more defires, more wants, more fancies, when they live together.

CHAP. II.

Of Sumptuary Laws in a Democracy.

We have observed, that in a republic where riches are equally divided, there can be no such thing as luxury; and as this equal distribution constitutes the excellency of a republican government, hence it follows that the less luxury there is in a republic, the more it is perfect. There was none among the old Romans, none among the Lacedæmonians; and in republics where this equality is not quite lost, the spirit of commerce, industry, and virtue, renders every man able and willing to live on his own property, and consequently prevents the growth of luxury.

The laws concerning the new division of lands, infifted upon so eagerly in some republics, were of the most salutary nature. They were dangerous only as they were sudden. By reducing instantaneously the wealth and riches of some, and increasing that of others, they form a revolution in each family, and must produce a general one in the

state.

In proportion as luxury gains ground in a republic, the minds of the people are turned towards their particular interest. Those who are allowed only what is necessary, have nothing to wish for but their own and their country's glory. But a soul depraved by luxury has many other desires; and soon becomes an enemy to the laws that confine it. The luxury in which the garrison of Rbegio began to live, was the cause of their massacring the inhabitants.

No fooner were the Romans corrupted, than their defires became boundless and immense. Of this we may judge by the price they set on things. A pitcher of Falernian wine * was sold for an hundred Roman denarii; a barrel of salt-meat from the kingdom of Pontus cost fourhundred.

^{*} Fragment of the 36th book of Diodorus, quoted by Conft. Porphyrogen. in his extract of virtues and vices.

hundred; a good cook four talents; and for boys to price

was reckoned too great.

When the whole world, impelled by the force of a general corruption, is immensed in voluptuousness, what must then become of virtue?

CHAP. III.

Of Sumptuary Laws in the Ariflocracy.

THERE is this inconveniency in an ill-constituted aristocracy, that the wealth centers in the nobility, and yet they are not allowed to spend; for as luxury is contrary to the spirit of moderation, it must be banished from thence. This government comprehends therefore only people that are extremely poor, and cannot acquire; and people that are vastly rich, and cannot spend.

In Venice, they are compelled by the laws to moderation. They are so habituated to parsimony, that none but courtesans can make them part with their money. Such is the method made use of for the support of industry; the most contemptible of women spend freely their money without danger, whilst those who support them, consume

their days in the greatest obscurity.

Admirable in this respect were the institutions of the principal republics of Greece. The rich employed their money in festivals, musical choruses, chariots, horse-races, and chargeable offices. Wealth was therefore as burthen-some there as poverty.

CHAP. IV.

Of Sumptuary Laws in a Monarchy.

TACITUS fays t, "That the Suiones, a German nation, "have a particular respect for riches; for which reason "they

[†] Cum maximus omnium impetus ad luxuriam effet, ibid, † De morib. German.

"they live under the government of one person." This shews that luxury is extremely proper for monarchies, and that under this government there must be no sumptuary laws.

As riches, by the very constitution of monarchies, are unequally divided, there is an absolute necessity for luxnry. Were the rich not to spend their money freely, the poor would starve. It is even necessary here, that the expences of the rich should be in proportion to the inequality of fortunes; and that luxury as we have already observed, should increase in this proportion. The augmentation of private wealth is owing to its having deprived one part of the citizens of their necessary support; this must therefore be restored to them.

For the prefervation therefore of a monarchical state, luxury ought continually to increase and to grow more extensive, as it rises from the labourer to the artificers, to the merchants, to the magistrates, to the nobility, to the great officers of state, up to the very prince; otherwise the nation will be undone.

In the reign of Augustus, a proposal was made in the Roman senate, which was composed of grave magistrates, learned civilians, and of men whose heads were filled with the notion of the primitive times, to reform the manners and luxury of women. It is curious to see in Dio*, with what art this prince eluded the importunate solicitations of those senators. This was because he was founding a monarchy, and dissolving a republic.

Under Tiberius, the ediles proposed in the senate the reestablishment of the ancient sumptuary laws †. This prince, who did not want sense, opposed it. "The state, (said he) could not possibly subsist in the present situation of things. How could Rome, how could the provinces live? We were frugal while we were inhabitants of a single city; now we consume the riches of the universe, and employ both masters and slaves in our service." He plainly saw that sumptuary laws would not suit the present form of government.

When a proposal was made under the same emperour to the senate, to prohibit the governours from carrying their wives with them into the provinces, because of the disso-

lutenels

^{*} Dio Cassius, lib. liv. † Tacit. Annal. lib. iii.

luteness and irregularities which followed those ladies, the proposal was rejected. It was said, "that the examples "of ancient austerity had been changed into a more agree-"able method of living ‡." They found there was a necessity for different manners.

Luxury is therefore absolutely necessary in monarchies; and necessary also in despotic states. In the former it is the use people make of what share of liberty they posses; in the other it is the abuse they make of the advantages of their slavery. A slave singled out by his master to tyrannize over the other slaves, uncertain of enjoying tomorrow the blessings of to-day, has no other selicity than that of glutting the pride, the passions, and voluptuousness of the present moment.

Hence arises a very natural reflection. Republics end with luxury; monarchies with poverty *.

CHAP. V.

In what Cases sumptuary Laws are useful in a Monarchy.

Whether it was from a republican spirit, or from some other particular circumstances, in the middle of the thirteenth century, sumptuary laws were made in Arragon. James I. ordained, that neither the king nor any of his subjects should have above two forts of dishes at a meal, and that each dish should be dressed only one way, except it were game of their own killing †

In our days, fumptuary laws have been also enacted in Sweden; but with a different view from those of Arragon.

A government may make fumptuary laws with a view to absolute frugality; this is the spirit of sumptuary laws in republics; and the very nature of the thing shews that such was the design of those of Arragon.

Sumptuary laws may also, be made with a design to promote a relative frugality: When a government, perceiving that foreign merchandises being at too high a price, will require

Multa duritiei veterum melius et lætius mutata. Tacit. Annal. lib. iii.

^{*} Opulentia paritura mox egestatem. Florus, lib. iii. † Constitution of James I. in the year 123; art 9 in Marca Hispanica, p. 1429.

require such an exportation of the home manufactures, as to deprive them of more advantages by the loss of the latter, than they can receive from the possession of the former, they will forbid their being introduced. And this is the spirit of the laws that in our days have been passed in Sweden *. Such are the sumptuary laws proper for monarchies.

In general, the poorer a state is, the more it is ruined by its relative luxury; and consequently the more occasion it has for relative sumptuary laws. The richer a state is, the more it thrives by its relative luxury; for which reason it must take particular care not to make any relative sumptuary laws. This we shall better explain in the book on commerce †; here we treat only of absolute luxury.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Luxury of China.

SUMPTUARY laws may, in some governments, be necessary for particular reasons. The people, by the influence of the clime, may grow fo numerous, and the means of subfifting may be so uncertain, as to render an universal application to agriculture extremely necessary. As luxury in those countries is dangerous, their fumptuary laws should be very fevere. In order therefore to be able to judge whether luxury ought to be encouraged or profcribed, we should examine first what relation there is between the number of people, and the facility they have of procuring subfishence. In England, the foil produces more grain than is necessary for the maintainance of those who cultivate the land, and of those who are employed in the woollen manufactures. This country may be therefore allowed to have fome trifling arts, and confequently luxury. In France likewife there is corn enough for the fupport of the husbandman, and of the manufacturer. Besides, a foreign trade may bring in fo many necessaries in return for toys, that there is no danger to be apprehended from luxury.

* They have prohibited rich wines, and other costly merchandises. + See book xx. chap. 20.

On the contrary, in China the women are so prolific, and the human species multiplies so fast, that the lands, though ever so much cultivated, are scarce sufficient to support the inhabitants. Here therefore luxury is pernicious, and the spirit of industry and economy is as requisite, as in any republic *. They are obliged to pursue the necessary arts, and to shun those of luxury and pleafure.

This is the spirit of the excellent decrees of the Chinese emperours. "Our ancestors," says an emperour of the samily of Tangs †, "held it as a maxim, that if there was a man who did not work, or a woman that was idle, "fomebody must suffer cold or hunger in the empire." And, on this principle, he ordered an infinite number of monasteries of bonzes to be destroyed.

The third emperour of the one-and-twentieth dynasty, to whom some precious stones were brought that had been sound in a mine, ordered it to be shut up, not chusing to satigue his people with working for a thing that could neither feed nor clothe them.

"So great is our luxury," fays Kiaventi ||, "that peo"ple adorn with embroidery the shoes of boys and girls,
"whom they are obliged to sell." Is employing so many
people in making clothes for one person, the way to prevent
a great many from wanting clothes? There are ten men
who eat the fruits of the earth to one employed in agriculture; and is this the means to preserve numbers from
wanting nourishment?

CHAP. VII.

Fatal Consequences of Luxury in China.

In the history of China, we find it has had twenty-two fuccessive dynasties, that is, it has experienced twenty-two general, without mentioning an infinite number of particu-

[·] Luxury has been here always prohibited.

⁺ In an ordinance, quoted by Father du Halde, tom. ii. p. 497.

History of China, 21st dynasty, in Father du Halde's work, tom. i.

In a discourse cited by Father du Halde, tom. ii. p, 418.

lar, revolutions. The three first dynasties lasted a long time' because they were sagely administered, and the empire had . not fo great an extent as it afterwards obtained. But we may observe in general, that all those dynasties began very well. Virtue, attention, and vigilance, are necessary in China; these prevailed in the commencement of the dynasties, and failed in the end. Indeed it was natural, that emperours trained up in military toils, who had compassed the dethroning of a family immerfed in pleasures should be fleady to virtue, which they had found fo advantageous, and afraid of voluptuousness, which they knew had proved fo fatal to the family dethroned. But after the three or four first princes, corruption, luxury, indolence, and pleafure, please their successours; they shut themselves up in a palace; their understanding is impaired; their life is shortened; the family declines; the grandees rife up; the eunuchs gain credit; none but children are fet on the throne; the palace is at variance with the empire; a lazy fet of fellows, that dwell there, ruin the industrious part of the nation; the emperour is killed or destroyed by an usurper, who founds a family, the third or fourth fuccessour of which goes and shuts himself up in the very same palace.

CHAP. VIII.

Of public Continency.

So many are the imperfections that attend the loss of virtue in women, and so greatly are their minds depraved, when this principal guard is removed, that, in a popular state, public incontinency may be considered as the last of miseries, and as a certain forerunner of a change in the constitution.

Hence it is, that the fage legislators of republican states have always required of women a particular gravity of manners. They have proscribed not only vice, but the very appearance of it. They have banished even all commerce of gallantry; a commerce that produces idleness, that renders the women corrupters, even before they are corrupted

corrupted, that gives a value to trifles, and debases things of importance; a commerce, in fine, that makes people act entirely by the maxims of ridicule, in which the women are so perfectly skilled.

CHAP. IX.

Of the Condition or State of Women in different Governments.

In monarchies, women are subject to very little restraint; because, as the distinction of ranks calls them to court, thither they repair in order to assume that spirit of liberty, which is the only one there tolerated. The aspiring courtier avails himself of their charms and passions, in order to advance his fortune: And as their weakness admits not of pride, but of vanity, luxury constantly attends them.

In despotic governments, women do not introduce, but are themselves an object of luxury. They must be in a state of the most rigourous servitude. Every one follows the spirit of the government, and adopts in his own family the customs he sees elsewhere established. As the laws are very severe and executed on the spot, they are affraid lest the liberty of women should expose them to dangers. Their quarrels, indiscretions, repugnances, jealousies, piques, and that art, in sine, which little souls have of interesting great ones, would be attended there with satal confeqences.

Besides, as princes in those countries make a sport of human nature, they allow themselves a multitude of women; and a thousand considerations oblige them to keep them in close consinement.

In republics, women are free by the laws, and constrained by manners; luxury is banished from thence, and with it corruption and vice.

In the cities of Greece, where they were not under the restraint of a religion which declares that even amongst men a purity of manners is a part of virtue; where a blind passion triumphed with a boundless insolence, and love appeared only in a shape which we dare not mention, while marriage was considered as nothing more than simple friendship

friendship *; such was the virtue, simplicity, and chastity of women in those cities, that in this respect hardly any people were ever known to have had a better and wifer polity +.

CHAP. X.

Of the Domestic Tribunal among the Romans.

THE Romans had no particular magistrates, like the Greeks, to inspect the conduct of women. The censors had not an eye over them, but as over the rest of the republic. The institution of the domestic tribunal § supplied the magistracy established among the Greeks ||.

The husband summoned the wife's relations, and tried her in their presence ‡. This tribunal preserved the morals of the republic, and at the same time these very morals maintained this tribunal. For it decided not only in respect to the violation of the laws, but also of morals; now, in order to judge of the violation of morals, morals are requisite.

The penalties inflicted by this tribunal ought to be, and actually were, arbitrary: For all that relates to manners, and to the rules of modesty, can hardly be comprised under a code of laws. It is easy indeed to regulate by laws what

^{*} In respect to true love, says Plutarch, the women have nothing to say to it; in his treatise of love, p. 100. He spoke in the style of his time. See Xenophon in the dialogue intitled Hiero.

[†] At Athens there was a particular magistrate who inspected the conduct of women.

[§] Romulus instituted this tribunal, as appears from Dionysius Halicarnass. book. ii. p. 59.

^{||} See in Livy, book xxxix. the use that was made of this tribural at the time of the conspiracy of the Bacchanalians. They gave the name of conspiracy against the republic, to assemblies in which the morals of women and young people were debauched.

[†] It appears from Dionys. Halicarn. lib. ii. that by the institution of Romulus, in ordinary cases the husband should sit as sole judge in presence of the wise's relations, but that in grievous crimes he should determine in conjunction with sive of them. Hence Ulpian, tit. 6. § 9. 12. & 13. distinguishes in respect to the different judgments of manners, between those which he calls important, and those which are less so, graviores, leviores.

we owe to others; but it is very difficult to comprise all we owe to ourselves.

The domestic tribunal inspected the general conduct of women: But there was one crime, which, beside the animadversion of this tribunal, was likewise subject to a public accusation. This was adultery; whether that in a republic so great a violation of morals interested the government; or whether the wise's immorality might render the husband's suspected; or whether, in fine, they were asraid lest even honest people might chuse that this crime should rather be concealed, than punished.

CHAP. XI.

In what Manner the Institutions changed at Rome together with the Go-

As morals were supposed by the domestic tribunal, they were also supposed by the public accusation; and hence it is these two things fell together with the public morals,

and ended with the republic *.

† Judicia extraordinaria.

The establishing of perpetual questions, that is, the division of jurisdiction among the prætors, and the custom gradually introduced of the prætors judging all affairs themselves, weakened the use of the domestic tribunal. This appears by the surprise of historians, who look upon the decisions which Tiberius caused to be given by this tribunal, as singular facts, and as a renewal of the ancient course of pleading.

The establishment of monarchy, and the change of manners put likewise an end to public accusations. It might be apprehended lest a dishonest man, affronted at the contempt shewn him by a woman, vexed at her refusals, and irritated even by her virtues, should form a design to destroy her. The Julian law ordained, that a woman should not be accused of adultery till after her husband had been charged with savouring her irregularities; which Vol. I.

^{*} Judicio de moribus (quod antea quidem in antiquis legibus positum erat, non autem frequentabatur) penitus abolito, leg. 11. Ced de repud-

limited greatly, and annihilated, as it were, this fort of accufation *.

Sixtus Quintus seemed to have been desirous of reviving the public accusation †. But there needs very little reflection to see, that this law would be more improper in such a monarchy as his, than in any other.

CHAP. XII.

Of the Guardianship of Women among the Romans.

THE Roman laws subjected women to a perpetual guardianship, except they were under cover and the authority of a husband ‡. This guardianship was given to the nearest of the male relations; and by a vulgar expression || it appears they were very much confined. This was proper for a republic, but not at all necessary in a monarchy §.

That the women among the ancient Germans were likewife under a perpetual tutelage, appears from the different codes of the laws of the barbarians ¶. This custom was communicated to the monarchies founded by those people; but was not of a long duration.

CHAP. XIII.

Of the Punishments decreed by the Emperours against the Incontinency of Women.

THE Julian law ordained a punishment against adultery. But so far was this law, any more than those afterwards

* It was entirely abolished by Constantine: "It is a shame, (said he), "that settled marriages should be disturbed by the presumption of strangers."

+ Sixtus Quintus ordained, that if a husband did not come and make his complaints to him of his wife's infidelity, he should be put to death. See Leti.

† Nisi convenissent in manum viri.

Ne fis mihi patruus oro.

§ The Papian law ordained under Augustus, that women who had bore three children should be exempt from his tutelage.

This tutelage was by the Germans called Mundeburdium.

made on the fame account, from being a mark of purity of morals, that, on the contrary, they were a proof of their

depravation.

The whole political fystem in respect to women received a change in the monarchical state. The question was no longer to oblige them to a purity of morals, but to punish their crimes. That new laws were made to punish their crimes, was owing to their leaving those transgressions un-

punished, which were not of so criminal a nature.

The frightful dissolution of manners obliged indeed the emperours to enact laws in order to put some stop to lewdness; but it was not their intention to establish a general reformation. Of this the positive facts related by historians are a much stronger proof, than all those laws can be of the contrary. We may see in Dio the conduct of Augustus on this occasion, and in what manner he eluded, both in his prætor's and in his censor's office, the repeated instances that were made him * for that purpose.

We find indeed in historians very rigid fentences, passed in the reigns of Augustus and Tiberius, against the lewdness of some Roman ladies: But by shewing us the spirit of these reigns, they at the same time shew us the spirit

of those decisions.

The principal defign of Augustus and Tiberius was to punish the dissoluteness of their relations. It was not their immorality they punished, but a particular crime of impiety or high treason + of their own invention, which served to promote a respect for majesty, and answered their private revenge.

The penalty of the Julian law was fmall ‡. The emperours

† Culpam inter viros et feminas vulgatam gravi nomine læfarum religionum appellando, clementium majorum suasque ipse leges egrediebatur.

Tacit. annal. lib. iii.

[&]quot;Upon their bringing before him a young man who had married a woman with whom he had before carried on an illicit commerce, he hefitated a long while, not daring to approve nor punish these things. At length recollecting himself, "Seditions, (says he,) "have been the cause of very great evils, let us forget them;" Dio, book liv. The senate having defired him to give them some regulations in respect to womens morals, he evaded their petition, by telling them that they should chastise their wives in the same manner as he did his; upon which they desired him to tell them how he hehaved to his wife. (I think a very indiscreet question).

[†] This law is given in the digest; but without mentioning the penalty. It is supposed it was only relegatio, because that of incest was only deportation.

Leg. Si quis viduam, ff. de quast.

perours infifted that in passing sentence the judges should increase the penalty of the law. This was the subject of the invectives of historians. They did not examine whether the women were deserving of punishment, but whether they had violated the law, in order to punish them.

One of the most tyrannical proceedings of Tiberius *, was the abuse he made of the ancient laws. When he wanted to extend the punishment of a Roman lady beyond that inflicted by the Julian law, he revived the domestic tribunal +.

These regulations in respect to women concerned only senatorian families, but not the common people. Pretences were wanted to accuse the great, which were constantly furnished by the dissolute behaviour of the ladies.

In fine, what I have above observed, namely, that purity of morals is not the principle of monarchy, was never better verified than under those first emperours; and whoever doubts of it, need only read Tacitus, Suetonius, Juvenal, or Martial.

CHAP. XIV.

Sumptuary Laws among the Romans.

WE have spoken of public incontinency, because it always accompanies, always follows, and is followed always by luxury. If we leave the motions of the heart at liberty, how shall we be able to restrain the weaknesses of the mind?

At Rome, befides the general inflitutions, the cenfors prevailed on the magistrates to enact several particular laws to preserve the frugality of women. This was the design of the Fannian, Licinian, and Oppian laws. We may see in Livy ‡ the great ferment the senate was in, when the women insisted upon the revocation of the Oppian law. The abrogation of this law is fixed upon by Valerius Maximus

[•] Proprium id Tiberio fuit, scelera nuper reperta priscis verbis obtegere, Tacit.

[†] Adulteri graviorem pænam deprecatus, ut exemplo majorum propinquis suis ultra ducentesimum lapidem removeretur, sussit. Adultero Manlio Italia atque Africa interdictum est. Tacit. Annal, lib. ii.

¹ Decad. iv. lib. iv.

Maximus, as the period from whence we may date the luxury of the Romans.

CHAP. XV.

Of Doweries and Nuptial Advantages in different Constitutions.

Doweries ought to be confiderable in monarchies, in order to enable husbands to support their rank and the established luxury. In republics, where luxury should never reign ‡, they ought to be moderate; but there should hardly be any at all in despotic governments, where women are in some measure slaves.

The community of goods introduced by the French laws between man and wife, is extremely well adapted to a monarchical government; because the women are thereby interested in domestic affairs, and compelled as it were to take care of their family. It is less so in a republic, where women have more virtue. But it would be quite absurd in despotic governments, where the women themselves generally constitute a part of the master's property.

As women are in a state that furnishes sufficient inducements to marriage, the advantages which the law gives them over the husband's property, are of no service to so-ciety. But in a republic they would be extremely prejudicial, because riches are productive of luxury. In despotic governments the profit accruing from marriage ought to be mere subsistence, and no more.

CHAP. XVI.

An excellent Custom of the Samnites.

THE Samites had a custom which in so small a republic, and especially in their situation, must have produced admirable

[†] Marseilles was the wisest of all the republics in its time; here it was ordained that doweries should not exceed one hundred crowns in money, and five in clothes, as Strabo observes, lib. iv.

rable effects. The young people were all convened in one place, and their conduct was examined. He that was declared the best of the whole assembly, had leave given him to take which girl he pleased for his wise; the person that had been declared second best, chose after him; and so on *. Admirable institution! The only recommendation that young men could have on this occasion, was owing to virtue, and to the services done their country. He who had the greatest share of those endowments chose which girl he liked out of the whole nation. Love, beauty, chastity, birth, and even wealth itself, were all, in some measure, the dowery of virtue. A nobler and grander recompence, less chargeable to a petty state, and more capable of influencing both sexes, could scarce be imagined.

The Samnites were descended from the Lacedæmonians: And Plato, whose institutes are only an improvement of those of Lycurgus, enacted very near the same law †

CHAP. XVII.

Of Female Administration.

It is contrary to reason and nature, that women should reign in families, as was customary among the Ægyptians; but not that they should govern an empire. In the first case, the state of their natural weakness does not permit them to have the pre-eminence; in the second, their very weakness generally gives them more lenity and moderation, qualifications sitter for a good administration, than roughness and severity.

In the Indies they are very easy under a semale government; and it is settled, that if the male issue be not of a mother of the same blood, the semales born of a mother of the blood-royal must succeed ‡. And then they have a certain number of persons that assist them to bear the weight of

† He even permits them to have a more frequent interview with one another, chap. 17.

‡ Edifying Letters, 14th collection.

^{*} Fragment of Nicolaus Damascenus, taken from Stobæus in the Collection of Constantine Porphyrogenitus.

Miller Halfarth

of the government. If to this we add the example of England and Russia, we shall find that they succeed alike both in moderate and despotic governments.

BOOK VIII.

OF THE CORRUPTION OF THE PRINCIPLES OF THE THREE GOVERNMENTS.

CHAP. I.

General Idea of this Book.

THE corruption of each government generally begins with that of the principles.

CHAP. II.

Of the Corruption of the Principle of Democracy.

The principle of democracy is corrupted, not only when the spirit of equality is extinct, but likewise when they fall into a spirit of extreme equality, and when every citizen wants to be upon a level with those he has chosen to command him. Then the people, incapable of bearing the very power they have intrusted, want to do every thing of themselves, to debate for the senate, to execute for the magistrate, and to strip the judges.

When this is the case, virtue can no longer subsist in the republic. The people want to exercise the functions of the magistrates, who cease to be revered. The deliberations of the senate are slighted; all respect is then laid aside for the senators, and consequently for old age. If there is no more respect for old age, there will be none soon for parents;

rents; deference to husbands will be likewise thrown off, and submission to masters. This licentiousness will soon taint the mind; and the restraint of command be as satigueing as that of obedience. Wives, children, slaves, will shake off all subjection. No longer will there be any such

thing as manners, order, or virtue.

tion of a republic in which the people abused their equality. Each guest gives in his turn the reason why he is satisfied. "I am content with myself," says Chamides, "because of my poverty. When I was rich, I was obliged
to pay my court to informers, knowing I was more liable to be hurt by them, than capable of doing them
harm. The republic constantly demanded some new sum
of me; and I could not decline paying. Since I am
grown poor, I have acquired authority; no body threatens me, I rather threaten others. I can go or stay where
I please. The rich already rise from their seats, and give
me the way. I am a king, I was before a slave: I paid
taxes to the republic, now it maintains me; I am no
longer afraid of losing; I hope to acquire."

The people fall into this misfortune, when those in whom they confide, desirous of concealing their own corruption, endeavour to corrupt. To prevent them from seeing their own ambition, thy speak to them only of their grandeur; to conceal their own avarice, they incessantly

flatter theirs.

The corruption will increase among the corrupters, and likewise among those who are already corrupted. The people will distribute the public money among themselves, and having added the administration of affairs to their indolence, they will be for adding to their poverty the amusements of luxury. But with their indolence and luxury, nothing but the public treasure will be able to satisfy their demands.

We must not be surprized to see their suffrages given for money. It is impossible to give a great deal to the people without squeezing much more out of them: but to compass this, the state must be subverted. The greater the advantages they seem to derive from their liberty, the nearer they draw to the critical moment of losing it. Pet-

ty tyrants arife, who have all the vices of a fingle tyrant. The fmall remains of liberty foon become unsupportable; a fingle tyrant starts up, and the people lose all, even the adventages of their corruption.

Democracy, hath therefore two excesses to avoid, the spirit of inequality which leads to aristocracy or monarchy; and the spirit of extreme equality, which leads to despotic power, as the latter is completed by conquest.

True it is that those who corrupted the Greek republics, did not become tyrants. This was because they had a greater passion for eloquence than for the military art. Besides, there reigned an implacable hatred in the hearts of the Greeks against those who subverted a republican government; and for this reason anarchy degenerated into annihilation, instead of being changed into tyranny.

But Syracuse, which was situated in the midst of a great number of petty states, whose government had been changed from oligarchy to tyranny *; Syracuse which had a senate † scarce ever mentioned in history, was exposed to such miseries as are the consequences of a more than ordinary corruption. This city, continually in a state of sicentiousness t or oppression, equally labouring under its liberty and servitude, receiving always the one and other like a tempest, and notwithstanding its external strength, constantly determined to a revolution by the least foreign power: this city, I say, had in its bosom an immense multitude of people, whose sate it was to have always the cruel alternative, of either giving themselves a tyrant, or of being the tyrant themselves.

CHAP.

^{*} See Plutarch in the lives of Timoleon and Dio.

[†] It was that of the fix hundred, of whom mention is made by Diodorus.

[†] Upon the expulsion of the tyrants, they made citizens of strangers and mercenary troops, which produced civil wars, Aristot. Polit. lib. v. cap. 3. The people having been the cause of the victory over the Athenians, the republic was changed, ibid. cap. 4. The passion of two young magistrates, one of whom carried off the other's boy, and in revenge the other debauched his wise, was attended with a change in the form of this republic. Ibid, lib. vii. cap. 4

CHAP. III.

Of the Spirit of extreme Equality.

As distant as heaven is from earth, so is the true spirit of equality from that of extreme equality. The former does not consist in managing so that every one should command, or that no one should be commanded; but in obeying and commanding our equals. It endeavours not to be without a master, but that its masters should be none but its equals.

In the state of nature, indeed, all men are born equal; but they cannot continue long in this equality. Society makes them lose it, and they recover it only by means of the laws.

Such is the difference between a well regulated democracy, and one that is not fo, fo that in the former men are equal only as citizens, but in the latter they are equal also as magistrates, as senators, as judges, as fathers, as husbands, or as masters.

The natural place of virtue is near to liberty; but is not nearer to extreme liberty than to fervitude.

CHAP. IV.

Particular Cause of the Corruption of the People.

Great fuccess, especially when chiefly owing to the people, swells them so high with pride, that it is impossible to manage them. Jealous of their magistrates, they soon become jealous likewise of the magistracy; enemies to those that govern, they soon prove enemies also to the constitution. Thus it was the victory over the Persians obtained in the streights of Salamis that corrupted the republic of Athens ‡, and thus the defeat of the Athenians ruined the republic of Syracuse || .

Marfeilles

‡ Aristot. Po'it. lib. v. cap. 4,

Marseilles never experienced those great transitions from lowness to grandeur: this was owing to the prudent conduct of this republic, which always preserved her principles.

CHAP. V.

Of the Corruption of the Principle of Aristocracy.

Aristocracy is corrupted, if the power of the nobles becomes arbitrary; when this is the case, there can no longger be any virtue either in the governours, or the governed.

If the reigning families observe the laws, it is a monarchy with several monarchs, and in its own nature one of the most excellent: for almost all these monarchs are tied down by the laws. But when they do not observe them, it is a despotic state governed by a great many despotic princes.

In this last case the republic consists only in the nobles. The body governing is the republic; and the body governed is the despotic state; which forms two of the most heterogeneous and divided bodies in the world.

The extremity of corruption is when the power of the nobles becomes hereditary*; for then they can hardly have any moderation. If they are few in number, their power is greater, but their fecurity lefs; if they are a larger number, their power is lefs, and their fecurity greater: infomuch that power goes on increasing, and fecurity diminishing, up to the very despotic prince, whose head is encircled with excess of power and danger.

The great number therefore of nobles in an hereditary aristocracy renders the government less violent: but as there is less virtue, they fall into a spirit of supineness and negligence, by which means the state loses all its strength and activity *.

An aristocracy may maintain the full vigour of its conflitution, if the laws be fuch as are apt to render the nobles

The ariflocracy is changed into an oligarchy.
 Venice is one of those republics that has best corrected by its laws the inconveniencies of heredirary aristocracy.

more fensible of the perils and fatigues than of the pleasure of command; and if the government is in such a situation as to have something to dread, while security shelters under its protection, and uncertainty threatens from abroad.

As a certain kind of confidence forms the glory and stability of monarchies, republics on the contrary must have something to apprehend *. A fear of the Persians supported the laws of Greece. Carthage and Rome were alarmed, and strengthened by each other. Strange, that the greater security those states enjoyed, the more, like stagnated waters, they were subject to corruption.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Corruption of the Principle of Monarchy.

As democracies are destroyed when the people despoil the senate, the magistrates, and judges of their functions; so monarchies are corrupted when the prince insensibly deprives societies or cities of their privileges. In the first case the multitude usurp a despotic power; in the second it is usurped by a single person.

"The destruction of the dynasties of Tsin and Soui," fays a Chinese author, "was owing to this: The princes, instead of confining themselves like their ancestors to a general inspection, the only one worthy of a sovereign, wanted to govern every thing immediately by themselves +." The Chinese author gives us here the cause

of the corruption of almost all monarchies.

Monarchy is destroyed, when a prince thinks he shews a greater exertion of power in changing, than in conforming to, the order of things; when he deprives some of his subjects of their hereditary employments to bestow them arbitrarily upon others; and when he is fonder of being guided by fancy than judgment.

Monarchy

+ Compilement of works made under the Mings, related by Father du Halde.

^{*} Justin attributes the extinction of Athenain virtue to the death of Epaminondas. Having no further emulation, they spent their revenues in feasts, frequentius canam quam castra visentes. Then it was that the Macedonians emerged out of obscurity. 1. 6.

Monarchy is destroyed, when the prince directing every thing to himself, calls the state to his capital, the capital to his court, and the court to his own person.

Monarchy is destroyed, in fine, when the prince mistakes his authority, his situation, and the love of his people; and when he is not fully persuaded that a monarch ought to think himself secure, as a despotic prince ought to think himself in danger.

CHAP. VII.

The fame Subject continued.

THE principle of monarchy is corrupted, when the first dignities are marks of the first servitude, when the great men are stripped of popular respect, and rendered the low tools of arbitrary power.

It is still more corrupted, when honour is set up in contradiction to honours, and when men are capable of being loaded at the very same time with infamy * and with dignities.

It is corrupted when the prince changes his justice into feverity; when he puts like the Roman emperours a Medusa's head on his breast; and when he assumes that memacing and terrible air which Commodus ordered to be given to his statues.

Again, it is corrupted, when mean and abject fouls grow vain of the pomp attending their fervitude; and imagine that the motive which induces them to be entire-

^{*} Under the reign of Tiberius, statues were erected to, and triumphal ornaments conferred on, informers; which debased these honours to such a degree, that those who really merited them distained to accept of them. Fragm. of Dio, book 58. taken from the extract of virtues and vices by Constantine Porphyrog. See in Tacitus, in what manner Nero, on the discovery and punishment of a pretended conspiracy, bestowed triumphal ornaments on Petronius Turpilianus, Nerva, and Tigellinus. Annal. book 14. See likewise how the generals resused to serve, because they contemped the military honours, pervisigatis triumphi insignibus, Tacit. Annal. book 13.

[†] In this state, the prince knew extremely well the principle of his government.

[#] Herodian.

ly devoted to their prince, exempts them from all duty to

But if it be true, (and indeed the experience of all ages has shewn it), that in proportion as the power of the monarch becomes boundless and immense, his security diminishes; is the corrupting this power, and the altering its very nature, a less crime than that of high treason against the prince?

CHAP. VIII.

Danger of the Corruption of the Principle of Monarchical Government.

THE danger is not when the state passes from one moderate to another moderate government, as from a republic to a monarchy, or from a monarchy to a republic; but when it precipitates from a moderate to a despotic government.

Most of the European nations are still governed by principles of morality. But if, by a long abuse of power, or the fury of conquest, despotic sway should prevail to a certain degree; neither morals nor climate would be able to withstand its baneful influence: And then human nature would be exposed, for some time at least, even in this beautiful part of the world, to the insults with which she has been abused in the other three.

CHAP. IX.

How ready the Nobility are to defend the Throne.

THE English nobility buried themselves with Charles the First under the ruins of the throne; and before that time when Philip the Second endeavoured to tempt the French with the allurement of liberty, the crown was constantly supported by a nobility, who think it an honour to obey a king, but consider it as the lowest infamy to share the power with the people.

The

The house of Austria has used her constant endeavours to oppress the Hungarian nobility; little thinking how serviceable that very nobility would be one day to her. She wanted money from their country which it had not; but took no notice of the men with which it abounded. When a multitude of princes sell to a dismembering of her dominions, the several pieces of her monarchy sell motionless, as it were, one upon another. No life was then to be seen but in that very nobility, who resenting the injuries done to their sovereign, and forgetting those done to themselves, took up arms to avenge her cause, and considered it as the highest glory bravely to die and to forgive.

CHAP. X.

Of the Corruption of the Principle of despotic Government.

THE principle of despotic government is subject to a continual corruption, because it is even in its nature corrupt. Other governments are destroyed by particular accidents, which do violence to the principles of each constitution; this is ruined by its own intrinsic imperfection, when no accidental causes impede or corrupt the principles on which it is founded. It maintains itself therefore only when circumstances drawn from the climate, religion, situation, or genius of the people, oblige it to follow some order, and to admit of some rule. By these things, its nature is forced without being changed; its ferocity remains; and it is made tame and tractable only for a time.

CHAP. XI.

Natural Effects of the Goodness and Corruption of the Principles of Government.

When once the principles of government are corrupted, the very best laws become bad, and turn against the state: But when the principles are sound, even bad laws have the same

fame effect as good; the force of the principle draws every-

The inhabitants of Crete used a very singular method to keep the principal magistrates dependent on the laws; which was that of insurrection. Part of the citizens rose up in arms *, put the magistrates to slight, and obliged them to return to a private condition. This was supposed to be done in consequence of the law. One would have imagined that an institution of this nature, which established sedition in order to hinder the abuse of power, would have subverted any republic whatsoever; and yet it did not subvert that of Crete. The reason is this †.

When the ancients wanted to express a people that had the strongest love for their country, they always mentioned the inhabitants of Crete: Our country, said Plato ‡, a name so dear to the Cretans. They called it by a name which fignifies the love of a mother for her children ||. Now, the love of our country sets every thing right.

The laws of Poland have likewife their infurrections: But the inconveniencies thence arising plainly shew that the people of Crete alone were capable of employing such a remedy with success.

The gymnastic exercises established amongst the Greeks, had the same dependence on the goodness of the principle of government. "It was the Lacedæmonians and Cretans, (said Plato §,) that opened those celebrated academies "which gave them so eminent a rank in the world. Modesty at first was alarmed; but it yielded to the public "utility." In Plato's time these institutions were admirable ¶; as they had a relation to a very important ob-

^{*} Aristot. Pol. book 2. chap. 10.

[†] They always united immediately against foreign enemies, which was called Syncretism. Plut. Mor. p. 88.

[‡] Repub. lib. 9,

[|] Plutarch's morals, treatise, Whether men advanced in years ought to meddle with public affairs.

[§] Repub. lib. 5.

The gymnastic art was divided into two parts, dancing and wrestling. In Crete they had the armed dances of the Curetes; at Sparta they had those of Castor and Pollux; at Athens the armed dances of Pallas which were extremely proper for those that were not yet of age for military service. Wrestling is the image of war Plato, of laws, book 7. He commends antiquity for having established only two dances, the Pacific and the Pyrrhic. See how the latter dance was applied to the military art, Plato, ibid.

ject, which was the military art. But when virtue fled from Greece, the military art was destroyed by these institutions; people appeared then on the arena, not for im-

provement, but for debauch.

Plutarch informs us †, that the Romans in his time were of opinion that those games had been the principal cause of the slavery into which the Greeks were fallen. On the contrary, it was the slavery of the Greeks that had corrupted these exercises. In Plutarch's time ‡, their sighting naked in the parks, and their wrestling, infected the young people with the spirit of cowardice, inclined them to infamous passions, and made them mere dancers. But, in Epaminondas' time, the exercise of wrestling made the Thebans win the samous battle of Leuctra §.

There are very few laws which are not good, while the flate retains its principles: Here I may apply what Epicurus said of riches; It is not the liquor, but the veffel that

is corrupted.

CHAP. XII.

The fame Subject continued.

In Rome the judges were chosen at first from the order of fenators. This privilege the Gracchi transferred to the knights; Sylla to the senators only; Cato to the senators, knights, and public treasurers; Cæsar excluded the latter; Antony made decuries of senators, knights, and centurions.

When once a republic is corrupted, there is no possibility of remedying any of the rising evils, but by removing the corruption, and restoring its lost principles; every other correction is either useless or a new evil. While Rome preserved its principles entire, the power of judging might, without any abuse be lodged in the hands of the senators: But as soon as this city was corrupted, let the Vol. I.

[†] Plutarch's morals, in the treatise, intitled, Questions concerning the affairs of the Romans.

[§] Plutarch's morals, table-propositions, book 2.

judicial authority be transferred to whatsoever body, whether to the senate, to the knights, to the treasurers, to two of these bodies, to all three together, or to any other; matters still went always wrong. The knights had no more virtue than the senate, the treasurers no more than

the knights, and these as little as the centurions.

When the people of Rome had obtained the privilege of sharing the magistracy with the patricians, it was natural to think that their flatterers would immediately become arbiters of the government. But no such thing ever happened.—It was observable, that the very people who had rendered the plebeians capable of public offices, constantly fixed their choice upon the patricians. Because they were virtuous, they were magnanimous; and because they were free, they had a contempt of power. But when their morals were corrupted, the more power they were possessed of, the less prudent was their conduct; till at length, upon becoming their own tyrants and slaves, they lost the strength of liberty, to fall into the weakness and impotency of licentionsness.

CHAP. XIII.

The Effect of an Oath among a virtuous People.

THERE is no nation, fays Livy *, that has been longer uncorrupted than the Romans; no nation where moderation

and poverty have been longer respected.

Such was the influence of an oath among these people, that nothing bound them stronger to the laws. They often did more for the observance of an oath than they would ever have done for the thirst of glory, or for the love of

their country.

When Quintius Cincinnatus the conful wanted to raife an army in the city against the Æqui and the Volsci, the tribunes opposed him. "Well (said he) let those who "have taken an oath to the consul of the preceding year, "march under my banners †." In vain did the tribunes cry out that this oath was no longer binding; and that when they made it, Quintius was but a private person.

Book I.

The people were more religious than those who pretended to direct them; they would not liften to the diffinctions or

equivocations of the tribunes.

When the same people thought of retiring to the Sacred Mount, they felt an inward check from the oath they had taken to the consuls, that they would follow them into the field *. They entered then into a design of killing the consuls; but dropped it, when they were given to understand that their oath would still be binding. It is easy to judge of the notion they entertained of the violation of an oath, by the crime they intended to commit.

After the battle of Cannæ, the people were seized with such a panic, that they wanted to retire to Sieily. But Scipio having prevailed upon them to swear they would not stir from Rome, the fear of violating this oath surpassed all other apprehensions. Rome was a ship held by two anchors, religion and morality, in the midst of a furious

tempest.

CHAP. XIV.

How the smallest Change in the Constitution is attended with the Ruin of its Principles.

ARISTOTLE mentions the city of Carthage as a well-regulated republic. Polybius tells us †, that there was this inconveniency at Carthage in the fecond Punic war, that the fenate had lost almost all their authority. We are informed by Livy, that when Hannibal returned to Carthage, he found that the magistrates and the principal citizens had abused their power, and converted the public revenues to their own emolument. The virtue therefore of the magistrates, and the authority of the senate both fell at the same time; and all was owing to the same cause.

Every one knows the wonderful effects of the cenforship among the Romans. There was a time when it grew burthensome; but still it was supported, because there was more luxury than corruption. Claudius ‡ weakened its authority, and by this means the corruption became greater

2 that

[·] Ibid. book 3.

⁺ About a hundred years after

¹ See book 11. chap 12.

than the luxury, and the cenforship dwindled away of it-

CHAP. XV.

Sure Methods of preferving the Three Principles.

I shall not be able to make myself rightly understood, till the reader has perused the four following chapters.

CHAP. XVI.

Distinctive Properties of a Republic.

It is natural to a republic to have only a small territory; otherwise it cannot long subsist. In a large republic there are men of large fortunes, and consequently of less moderation; there are trusts too great to be placed in any single subject; he has interest of his own; he soon begins to think that he may be happy, great, and glorious, by oppressing his fellow-citizens; and that he may raise himself to grandeur on the ruins of his country.

In a large republic, the public good is facrificed to a thoufand views; it is subordinate to exceptions; and depends on accidents. In a small one, the interest of the public is easier perceived, better understood, and more within the reach of every citizen; abuses have a less extent, and of

course are less protected.

The long duration of the republic of Sparta was owing to its having always continued in the same extent of territory after all its wars. The sole aim of Sparta was liberty;

and the fole advantage of its liberty, glory.

It was the spirit of the Greek republics to be as contented with their territories, as with their laws. Athens was first fired with ambition, and gave it to Lacedæmon; but it was an ambition rather of commanding a free people, than of governing slaves; rather of directing than of breaking the

† The tribunes hindered them from making the census, and opposed their election. See Cicero to Atticus, book iv. letter 10, and 15.

the union. All was lost upon the starting up of monarchy; a government whose spirit is more turned to increase and advancement.

Excepting particular circumstances *, it is difficult for any other than a republican government to subsit long in a single town. A prince of so petty a state would naturally endeavour to oppress his subjects, because his power would be great, while the means of enjoying it, or of causing it to be respected, would be very inconsiderable. The consequence is, he would trample upon his people. On the other hand, such a prince might be easily crushed by a soriegn, or even by a domestic force; the people might every instant unite and rise up against him. Now, as soon as a prince of a single town is expelled, the quarrel is over, but if he has many towns, it only begins.

CHAP. XVII.

Distinctive Properties of a Monarchy.

A MONARCHICAL state ought to be of a moderate extent. Were it small, it would form itself into a republic: Were it very large, the nobility, possessed of great estates, far from the inspection of the prince, with a private court of their own, and secure moreover from sudden executions by the laws and manners of the country, such nobility, I say, might throw off their allegiance, having nothing to fear from too slow and too distant a punishment.

Thus Charlemagne had scarce founded his empire, when he was obliged to divide it; whether the governours of the provinces refused to obey; or whether in order to keep them under more subjection, there was a necessity of parcelling the empire into several kingdoms.

After the decease of Alexander, his empire was divided. How was it possible for those Greeks and Macedonian chiefs, who were each of them free free and independent, or commanders at least of the victorious bands dispersed through-

* As when a petty fovereign supports himself betwixt two great powers by means of their mutual jealousy; but then he has only a precarious ex-

13

out that vast extent of conquered land, how was it possible,

I fay, for them to obey?

Attilla's empire was diffolved foon after his death; fuch a number of kings, who were no longer under restraint. could not refume their fetters.

The fudden establishment of unlimited power is a remedy, which in those cases may prevent a dissolution: But how dreadful the remedy, that, after the enlargement of dominion, opens a new scene of misery?

The rivers haften to mingle their waters with the fea:

and monarchies lose themselves in despotic power.

CHAP. XVIII.

Particular Case of the Spanish Monarchy.

LET not the example of Spain be produced against me; it rather proves what I affirm. To preserve America, she did what even despotic power itself does not attempt, she destroyed the inhabitants. To preserve her colony, she was obliged to keep it dependent even for its fubfiftence.

In the Netherlands she essayed to render herefelf arbitrary; and as foon as she abandoned the attempt, her perplexity increased. On the one hand, the Walloons would not be governed by Spaniards, and on the other, the Spanish foldiers refused to submit to Walloon officers *.

In Italy the maintained her ground, merely by exhausting herfelf, and by enriching that country. For those who would have been glad to have got rid of the king of Spain, were not in a humour to refuse his gold.

CHAP. XIX.

Distinctive Properties of a Despotic Government.

A LARGE empire supposes a despotic authority in the perfon that governs. It is necessary that the quickness of the prince's

^{*} See the history of the United Provinces, by Monf. le Clerc.

prince's resolutions should supply the distance of the places they are sent to; that fear should prevent the carelessness of the remote governour or magistrate; that the law should be derived from a single person, and should change continually according to the accidents which incessantly multiply in a state in proportion to its extent.

CHAP. XX.

Confequence of the preceding Chapters.

IF it be therefore the natural property of small states to be governed as a republic, of middling ones to be subject to a monarch, and of large empires to be swayed by a despotic prince; the consequence is, that in order to preserve the principles of the established government, the state must be supported in the extent it has acquired, and that the spirit of this state will change in proportion as it contracts or extends its limits.

CHAP. XXI.

Of the Empire of China.

BEFORE I finish this book, I shall answer an objection that may be made to what has been here advanced.

Our missionaries tell us that the vast empire of China has an admirable government, in which there is a proper mixture of fear, honour, and virtue. Consequently I must have given an idle distinction, in establishing the principles of the three governments.

But I cannot conceive what this honour can be among people that will not do the least thing without blows *.

Again, our mercantile people are far from giving us any idea of that virtue so much talked of by the missionaries;

It is the cudgel that governs China, fays Father du Halde.

we need only confult them in relation to the robberies and extortions of the mandarines *.

Befides, father *Parennin's* letters concerning the emperour's proceedings against fome new-converted princes of the blood +, who had incurred his displeasure, plainly shew us a continued plan of tyranny, and inhuman injuries committed by rule, that is, in cool blood.

We have likewise Monsieur de Mairn's and the same father Parennin's letters on the government of China. I find therefore, that, after some pertinent questions and an-

fwers, the whole wonder vanishes.

Might not our missionaries have been deceived by an appearance of order? Might not they have been struck with that continual exercise of a single person's will, an exercise by which they themselves are governed, and which they are so pleased to find in the courts of the Indian princes; because as they go thither only in order to introduce great changes, it is much easier to convince those princes that there are no bounds to their power, than to persuade the people that there are none to their submission 1?

In fine, there is frequently some kind of truth even in errours themselves. It may be owing to particular, and perhaps very singular circumstances, that the Chinese government is not so corrupt as one might naturally expect. The climate and some other physical causes may, in that country, have had so strong an influence on the morals, as

in fome measure to produce wonders.

The climate of China is surprisingly favourable to the propagation of the human species. The women are the most prolific in the whole world. The most barbarous tyranny can put no stop to the progress of propagation. The prince cannot say there like Pharaoh, Let us deal wifely with them, lest they multiply. He would be rather reduced to Nero's wish, that mankind had all but one head. In spite of tyranny, China, by the force of its

* Among others, De Lange's relation.

[†] Of the family of Sourniama; Edifying Letters, 8th collection. ‡ See in Father du Halde, how the missionaries availed themselves of the authority of Can-Hi to silence the mandarines, who constantly declared, that, by the laws of the country, no foreign worship could be established in the empire.

climate, will be always populous, and will triumph over

the tyrannical oppressour.

China, like all other countries, that live chiefly upon rice +, is subject to frequent famines. When the people are ready to starve with hunger, they disperse in order to seek for nourishment; in consequence of which, small gangs of robbers are formed on all sides. Most of them are extirpated in their very infancy; others increase, and are likewise suppressed. And yet, in so great a number of such distant provinces, some gang or other may happen to meet with success. In that case they maintain their ground, strengthen their party, form themselves in a military body, march straight up to the capital, and their leader ascends the throne.

From the very nature of things, a bad administration is here immediately punished. The want of subsistence in so populous a country, produces sudden disorders. The reason why the redress of abuses is in other countries attended with such difficulty, is, because their effects are not immediately felt; the prince is not informed in so sudden and fensible a manner as in China.

The emperour of China is not taught like our princes, that if he governs ill, he will be less happy in the other life, less potent and less rich in this. He knows, that if his government is not good, he will be stripped both of

empire and life.

As China grows every day more populous, notwithflanding the exposing of children, the inhabitants are incessantly employed in tilling the lands for their subsistence. This requires a very extraordinary attention in the government. It is their perpetual concern that every body should be able to work without any apprehension of being deprived of the fruits of his labour. Consequently this is not so much a civil as a domestic government.

Such has been the origin of those regulations which have been so greatly extolled. They wanted to make the laws reign in conjunction with despotic power; but whatever is joined with the latter, loses all its force. In vain did this arbitrary sway, labouring under its own missortunes, desire to be settered; it armed itself with its chains,

and is become still more terrible.

China is therefore a despotic state, whose principle is Perhaps, in the earliest dynasties, when the empire had not fo large an extent, the government might have deviated a little from this spirit; but the case at present is otherwise.

BOOK IX.

OF LAWS IN THE RELATION THEY BEAR TO A DEFEN-SIVE FORCE.

CHAP. I.

In what Manner Republics provide for their Safety.

IF a republic is small, it is destroyed by a foreign force; if it be large, it is ruined by an internal imperfection.

To this twofold inconvenience both democracies and aristocracies are equaliy liable, and that whether they be good or bad. The evil is in the very thing itself; and no form can redress it.

It is therefore very probable, that mankind would have been at length obliged to live conflantly under the government of a fingle person, had they not contrived a kind of conflitution that has all the internal advantages of a republican, together with the external force of a monarchical government. I mean a confederate republic.

This form of government is a convention, by which feveral small estates agree to become members of a larger one which they intend to form. It is a kind of affemblage of focieties, that constitutes a new one, capable of increasing by means of new affociations, till they arrive to fuch a degree of power, as to be able to provide for the fecurity of the united body.

It was these associations that contributed so long to the prosperity of Greece. By these the Romans attacked the universe,

universe, and by these alone the universe withstood them; for when Rome was arrived to her highest pitch of grandeur, it was the associations behind the Danube and the Rhine, associations formed by the terrour of her arms, that enabled the Barbarians to resist her.

From hence it proceeds, that Holland *, Germany, and the Swiss cantons, are considered in Europe as perpetual

republics.

The affociations of cities were formerly more necessary than in our times. A weak defenceless town was exposed to greater dangers. By conquest it was deprived not only of the executive and legislative power, as at present, but moreover of all human property +.

A republic of this kind, able to withstand an external force, may support itself without any internal corruption; the form of this society prevents all manner of inconveni-

encies.

If a fingle member should attempt to usure the supreme authority, he could not be supposed to have an equal authority and credit in all the confederate states. Where he to have too great an influence over one, this would alarm the rest; were he to subdue a part, that which would still remain free, might oppose him with forces independent of those which he had usurped, and overpower him before he could be settled in his usurpation.

Should a popular infurrection happen in one of the confederate states, the others are able to quell it. Should abuses creep into one part, they are reformed by those that remain sound. The state may be destroyed on one side, and not on the other; the confederacy may be dissolved, and the confederates preserve their sovereignty.

As this government is compeled of petty republics, it enjoys the internal happiness of each; and with respect to its external situation, it is possessed, by means of the association, of all the advantages of large monarchies.

CHAP.

^{*} It is composed of about sifty different republics, State of the United Provinces by M. Janison.

⁺ Civil liberty, goods, wives, children, temples, and even burying places.

- Comments

CHAP. II.

That a confederate Government ought to be composed of States of the fame Nature, especially of the Republican Kind.

THE Canaanites were destroyed, by reason they were petty monarchies that had no union nor confederacy for their common desence: and indeed a confederacy is not agreeable to the nature of petty monarchies.

As the confederate republic of Germany confifts of free cities and of petty states subject to different princes, experience shews us, that it is much more imperfect than that of Holland and Switzerland.

The fpirit of monarchy is war and enlargement of dominion; peace and moderation is the spirit of a republic. These two kinds of government cannot naturally subsist in a confederate republic.

Thus we observe in the Roman history, that when the Veientes had chosen a king, they were immediately abandoned by all the other petty republics of Tuscany. Greece was undone as soon as the kings of Macedon obtained a seat among the Amphictyons.

The confederate republic of Germany, composed of princes and free towns, subsists by means of a chief, who is in some respects the magistrate of the union, in others the monarch.

CHAP. III.

Other Requisites in a confederate Republic.

In the republic of Holland one province cannot conclude an alliance without the confent of the others. This law, which is an excellent one, and even necessary in a confederate republic, is wanting in the Germanic constitution, where it would prevent the misfortunes that may happen to the whole confederacy, through the imprudence, ambition, or avarice of a fingle member. A republic united by a political confederacy, has given itself entirely up, and

has nothing more to refign.

It is difficult for the united states to be all of an equal extent and power. The Lycian * republic was an association of twenty-three towns; the large ones had three votes in the common council, the middling ones two, and the small ones one. The Dutch republics consist of seven provinces, of different extent of territory, which have each one voice.

The cities of Lycia+contributed to the expences of the state, according to the proportion of suffrages. The provinces of the United Netherlands cannot follow this proportion;

they must be directed by that of their power.

In Lycia ‡, the judges and town magistrates were elected by the common council, and according to the proportion already mentioned. In the republic of Holland they are not chosen by the common council, but each town names its magistrates.

Were I to give a model of an excellent confederate re-

public, I should pitch upon that of Lycia.

CHAP. IV.

In what Manner Despotic Governments provide for their Security,

As republics provide for their fecurity by uniting, defpotic governments do it by feparating, and by keeping themfelves, as it were, fingle. They facrifice a part of the country, and, by ravaging and defolating the frontiers, they render the heart of the empire inacceflible.

It is a received axiom in geometry, that the greater the extent of bodies, the more their circumference is relatively small. This practice therefore of laying the frontiers waste,

is more tolerable in large than in middling states.

A despotic government does all the mischief to itself that could be done by a cruel enemy, whose progress it could not resist. It preserves itself likewise by another kind of separation, which is by putting the most distant provinces into the hands of a seudatory prince. The Mogul, the king of Persia, and the Emperours of China have their seudatories; and the Turks have found their account in putting the Tartars, the Moldavians, the Walachians, and formerly the Transilvanians between themselves and their enemies.

CHAP. V.

In what Manner a Monarchical Government provides for its Security.

A MONARCHY never destroys itself like a despotic government. But a kingdom of a moderate extent is liable to sudden invasions: it must therefore have fortisted places to desend its frontiers, and troops to garrison those places. The least spot of ground is disputed with art, with courage, and obstinacy. Despotic states make incursions against one another; it is monarchies only that wage war.

Fortieffes are proper for monarchies; despotic governments are afraid of them. They dare not intrust them to any body, for there is no one that has a love for the prince

or his government.

CHAP. VI.

Of the defensive Force of States in general.

To preferve a state in its due force, it must have such an extent, as to admit of a proportion between the quickness with which it may be invaded, and that with which it may render the invasion abortive. As an invader may instantly appear on all sides, it is requisite that the state should be able to make on all sides its defence; consequently it should be of a moderate extent, proportioned to that degree of celerity

celerity with which nature has enabled men to move from

one place to another.

France and Spain are exactly of a proper extent. They have so easy a communication for their forces, as to be able to convey them immediately to what part they have a mind: the armies unite and pass with rapidity from one frontier to another, without any apprehension of such difficulties, as require time to remove.

It is extreemely happy for France, that the capital stands nearer to the different frontiers in proportion to their weakness; and the prince has a better view of each part

of his country in proportion as it is more exposed.

But when a vaft empire, like Persia, is attacked, it is feveral months before the troops are able to affemble; and then they cannot make fuch forced marches for that length of time, as they can for fifteen days. If the army on the frontiers is beaten, it is certainly dispersed, because there is no neighbouring place of retreat. The victor, meeting with no refiftance, advances with all expedition, fits down before the capital, and lays fiege to it, when there is scarce time enough to give notice to the governours of the provinces to come to its relief. Those who foresee an imminent revolution, hasten it by their disobedience. For men whose fidelity is entirely owing to the proximity of punishment, are eafily corrupted as foon as it becomes distant; their aim is their own private interest. The empire is subverted, the capital taken, and the conquerour disputes the several provinces with the governours.

The real power of the prince does not confift so much in the facility he meets with in making conquests, as in the difficulty an enemy finds in attacking him, and, if I may so speak, in the immutability of his condition. But the increase of territory obliges a government to expose

new fides by which it may be attacked.

As monarchies therefore ought to be endued with wifdom in order to increase, they ought likewise to have an equal share of prudence to limit their power. Upon removing the inconveniencies of too small a territory, they ought to have their eye constantly on the inconveniencies that attended its immoderate enlargement.

CHAP.

the best of the other of the time one offered of the

Roman , the large of the

end the lame

ground behieff und

Tire flat. And's

or seems that do , CHAP. VII.

A Reflection.

The enemies of a great prince, whose reign was protracted to an unusual length, have very often accused him, rather, I believe, from their own fears, than upon any solid foundation, of having formed and carried on a project of universal monarchy. Had he succeeded, nothing would have been more fatal to Europe, to his ancient subjects, to himself, and to his family. Heaven that knows our true interests, served him more by defeats than it could have done by victories. Instead of making him the only sovereign in Europe, it savoured him more by render-

ing him the most powerful.

The subjects of this prince, who in foreign countries are never affected but with what they have forfaken; who, on leaving their own homes, look upon glory as a sovereign good, and in distant countries as an obstacle to their return; who displease you even by their good qualities, because the seem to be joined with an air of contempt; who are capable of supporting wounds, perils, and fatigues, but not the loss of their pleasures: who love nothing so much as gaiety, and console themselves for the loss of a battle by singing the praise of the general; those subjects, I say, would never have been able to compass an enterprise, that could not possibly be defeated in one country, without miscarrying in all the others; nor miscarry for a moment, without miscarrying for ever.

CHAP. VIII.

A particular Case, in which the defensive Force of a State is inferiour to the offensive.

It was a faying of the Lord of Coucy, to King Charles V, that the English are never weaker, nor easier overcome than

than in their own country. The same was observed of the Romans; the same of the Carthaginians; and the same will always happen to every power that sends armies to distant countries, in order to reunite, by dint of discipline and military power, those who are divided among themselves by political or civil interests. The state finds itself weakened by the disorder that still continues, and more so by the remedy.

The Lord of Coucy's maxim is an exception to the general rule, which disapproves of wars against distant countries. And this exception confirms likewise the rule, because it takes place only in respect to those by whom such wars are undertaken.

CHAP. IX.

Of the relative Force of States.

ALL grandeur, force, and power are relative. Care therefore must be taken, that in endeavouring to increase the real grandeur, the relative be not diminished.

About the middle of the reign of Louis XIV. France was at its highest pitch of relative grandeur. Germany had not yet such great monarchs as it has since produced. Italy was in the same case. England and Scotland were not yet formed into one united kingdom. Arragon was not joined to Castile; the distant parts of the Spanish monarchy were weakened by it, and weakened it in their turn; and Muscovy was as little known in Europe, as Crim-Tartary.

CHAP. X.

Of the Weakness of neighbouring States.

WHENSOEVER a state lies contiguous to another that happens to be in its decline, the former ought to take parti-Vol. I. K cular AND THE CAN

cular care not to precipitate the latter's ruin, because this is the happiest fituation imaginable; nothing being fo convenient for one prince as to be near another who receives for him all the rebuffs and infults of fortune. And it feldom happens, that, by fubduing fuch a flate, the real power of the conquerour is as much increased as the relative is diminished.

BOOK X.

OF LAWS IN THE RELATION THEY BEAR TO OFFENSIVE FORCE.

CHAP. I.

Of offensive Force.

OFFENSIVE force is regulated by the law of nations, which is the political law of each country confidered in its relation to every other.

CHAP. II.

Of War!

THE life of governments is like that of man. The latter has a right to kill in case of natural defence; the former have a right to wage war for their own preservation.

In the case of natural defence I have a right to kill, because my life is, in respect to me, what the life of my antagonist is to him: In the same manner a state wages war, because its preservation is equally just with that of any other frate.

Among citizens the right of natural defence does not imply a necessity of attacking. Instead of attacking they need only have recourse to proper tribunals. They cannot therefore exercise this right of desence but in sudden cases, when immediate death would be the consequence of waiting for the assistance of the laws. But, among societies, the right of natural desence carries along with it sometimes the necessity of attacking; as, for instance, when one nation sees that a longer peace will enable another to destroy her, and that to attack that nation instantly is the only way to prevent her own destruction.

From thence it follows, that small societies have oftener a right to declare war than great ones, because they are of-

tener in the case of being afraid of destruction.

The right therefore of war is derived from necessity and strict justice. If those who direct the conscience or counfels of princes do not hold by this, all is undone: When they proceed on arbitrary principles of glory, conveniency, and utility, torrents of blood will overspread the earth.

But, above all, let them not avail themselves of any such idle plea as the glory of the prince: His glory is nothing but pride; it is a passion, and not a legitimate right.

It is true, the fame of his power might increase the strength of his government; but it might be equally increased by the reputation of his justice.

CHAP. III.

Of the Right of Conquest.

FROM the right of war comes that of conquest; which is the consequence of that right, and ought therefore to fol-

low its spirit.

The right the conquerour has over a conquered people, is directed by four forts of laws, the law of nature, which makes every thing tend to the prefervation of the species; the law of natural reason, which teaches us to do to others what we would have done to ourselves; the law that forms political societies, whose duration nature has not limited; and, in fine, the law derived from the nature of

K 2

the

the thing itself. Conquest is an acquisition; acquisition carries with it the spirit of preservation and use, and not of destruction.

A conquered nation is treated by the conqueror one of the four following ways. Either he continues to rule them according to their own laws, and assumes to himself only the exercise of the political and civil government; or he gives them a new political and civil government; or he destroys and disperses the society; or, in fine, he exterminates the inhabitants.

The first way is conformable to the law of nations now followed; the fourth is more agreeable to the law of nations followed by the Romans: In respect to which I leave the reader to judge how far we have improved upon the ancients. We must here give due praise to our modern times, to our present reason, to our religion, phi-

losophy, and manners.

The authors of our public law, guided by ancient histories, without confining themselves to cases of strict necessity, have fallen into very great errours. They have adopted tyrannical and arbitrary principles, by supposing the conquerours to be invested with I know not what right to kill: from thence they have drawn consequences as terrible as the very principle, and established maxims which the conquerours themselves, when possessed of the least grain of sense, never presumed to sollow. It is a plain case, that when the conquest is completed, the conquerour has no longer a right to kill, because he has no longer the plea of natural defence and self-preservation.

What has led them into this mistake, is, that they imagined a conquerour had a right to destroy the society; from whence they inferred that he had a right to destroy the men that compose it; a wrong consequence from a salse principle. For from the destruction of the society it does not at all sollow, that the people who compose it ought to be also destroyed. Society is the union of men, and not the men themselves the citizen may perish, and the man

remain.

From the right of killing in case of conquest, politicians have drawn that of reducing to slavery; a consequence as ill grounded as the principle.

There is no fuch thing as a right of reducing people to

flavery, but when it becomes necessary for the preservation of the conquest. Preservation, but never servitude, is the end of conquest; though servitude may happen sometimes

to be a necessary means of preservation.

Even in that case it is contrary to the nature of things, that the slavery should be perpetual. The people enslaved, ought to be rendered capable of becoming subjects. Slavery in conquests is an accidental thing. When, after the expiration of a certain space of time, all the parts of the conquering state are connected with the conquered nation, by customs, marriages, laws, associations, and by a certain conformity of spirit; there ought to be an end of the slavery. For the rights of the conquerour are sounded entirely on the want of those very things, and on the estrangement between the two nations which prevents their considing in each other.

A conquerour therefore, who reduces the conquered people to flavery, ought always to referve to himself the means (for means there are without number) of restoring them

to their liberty.

These are far from being vague and uncertain notions. Thus our ancestors acted, those ancestors who conquered the Roman empire. The laws they made in the heat of fire, action, impetuosity, and the pride of victory, were afterwards softened; those laws were severe, but they rendered them impartial. The Burgundians, Goths, and Lombards, would always have the Romans continue a conquered people; but the laws of Euric, Gundebald, and Rotharis, made the Romans and Barbarians sellow-citizens*.

CHAP. IV.

Some Advantages of a conquered People,

Instead of inferring such fatal consequences from the right of conquest, politicians would have done much better to mention the advantages, which this very right may sometimes give to a conquered people; advantages which K 3 would

See the code of Barbarian laws, and book 28.

would be more fenfibly, more univerfally felt, were our law of nations exactly followed, and established over all the earth.

Conquered countries are, generally speaking, degenerated from their original institution. Corruption has crept in, the execution of the laws has been neglected, and the government is grown oppressive. Who can question but fuch a state would be a gainer, and derive some advantages from the very conquest it self, if it did not prove destructive? When a government is arrived to that degree of corruption, as to be incapable of reforming itself, it would not lose much by being new moulded. A conquerour that enters triumphant into a country, where the moneyed men have by a thousand wiles and artifices infensibly practifed innumerable ways of usurping; where the miserable people, who grieve to fee abuses grow into laws, live under oppression, and think they have no right to complain; a conquerour, I fay, may make a total change, and then the masked tyranny will be the first thing exposed to his fury.

We have feen, for instance, countries oppressed by the farmers of the revenues, and eased afterwards by the conquerour, who had neither the engagements nor wants of the legitimate prince. Even the abuses have been often redressed without any interposition of the conquerour.

Sometimes the frugality of a conquering nation has enabled them to allow the conquered those necessaries, of which they have been deprived under a lawful prince.

A conquest may destroy pernicious prejudices, and lay, if I may presume to make use of the expression, the nation

under a better genius.

What good might not the Spaniards have done to the Mexicans? They had a mild religion to impart to them; but they gave them a mad superstition. They might have set slaves at liberty; they made free men slaves. They might have undeceived them with regard to the abuse of human facrifices; instead of that they destroyed them. Never should I have done, were I to recount all the good they did not, and all the mischief they did.

It is a conquerour's business to repair a part of the mischief he has committed. The right therefore of conquest I define thus: A necessary, lawful, and unhappy right,

which leaves always an immense debt to discharge, in order to clear the obligations of human nature.

CHAP. V.

Gelon King of Syracufe.

THE noblest treaty of peace ever mentioned in history, is, in my opinion, that which Gelon made with the Carthaginians. He insisted upon their abolishing the custom of facrificing their children *. Glorious indeed! After having defeated three hundred thousand Carthaginians, he required a condition that was advantageous only to themselves, or rather he stipulated in favour of human nature.

CHAP. VI.

Of Conquests made by a Republic.

It is contrary to the nature of things, that, in a confederate government, one state should make any conquest over another, as in our days we have seen in Swisserland †. In mixed confederate republics, where the association is between small republics, and small monarchies, this is not so absurd.

It is also contrary to the nature of things, that a democratical republic should conquer towns, which cannot enter into the sphere of its democracy. It is necessary that the conquered people should be capable of enjoying the privileges of sovereignty, as was settled in the very beginning among the Romans. The conquest ought to be limited to the number of citizens fixed for the democracy.

If a democratical republic fubdues a nation in order to govern them as fubjects, it exposes its own liberty, because it intrusts too great a power to the officers sent into the conquered provinces.

How great would have been the danger of the republic of Carthage, had Hannibal made himself master of Rome?

* See M. Barbeyrac's collection, art. 112.

With regard to Tockenburgh.

What would not he have done in his own country, had he been victorious, he who caused so many Revolutions after his defeat *.

Hanno could never have diffuaded the fenate from fending fuccours to Hannibal, had he used no other argument than his own jealousy. The Carthaginian senate, whose wisdom is so highly extolled by Aristotle, (and which has been evidently proved by the prosperity of that republic,) could never have been determined by other than sensible reasons. They must have been stupid not to see, that an army at the distance of three hundred leagues would necessarily be exposed to losses that ought to be repaired.

Hanno's party infifted that Hannibal should be delivered up to the Romans +. They could not at that time be afraid of the Romans; they were therefore afraid of Hannibal.

It was impossible, some will say, for them to imagine that Hannibal had been so successful. But how was it possible for them to doubt of it? Could the Carthaginians, a people spread all over the earth, be ignorant of what was transacting in Italy? No; they were sufficiently acquainted with it, and for that reason they did not care to fend supplies to Hannibal.

Hanno became more resolute after the battle of Trebia, after the battle of Thrasimenes, after that of Cannæ; it was not his incredulity that increased, but his fear.

CHAP. VII.

The fame Subject continued.

THERE is still another inconveniency in conquests made by democracies: Their government is always odious to the conquered states. It is apparently monarchical: But, in reality, it is much more oppressive than monarchy, as the experience of all ages and countries evinces.

The conquered people are in a melancholy fituation:

[&]quot; He was at the head of a faction.

[†] Hanno wanted to deliver Hannibal up to the Romans, as Cato wanted to deliver Cæfar to the Gauls.

They neither enjoy the advantages of a republic, nor those of a monarchy.

What has been here faid of a popular state is applicable

to aristocracy.

CHAP. VIII.

The fame Subject continued.

When a republic therefore keeps another nation in fubjection, it should endeavour to repair the inconveniencies arising from the nature of its situation, by giving it good laws both for the political and civil government of the

We have an inflance of an island in the Mediterranean subject to an Italian republic; whose political and civil laws, in respect to the inhabitants of that island, were extremely desective. The act of amnesty *, by which it ordained that no one should be condemned to bodily punishment in consequence of the private knowledge of the governour, ex informata conscientia, is still recent in every body's memory. There have been frequent instances of the people's petitioning for privileges: Here the sovereign grants only the common right of all nations.

CHAP. IX.

Of Conquests made by a Monarchy.

If a monarchy can for a long time subsist before it is weakened by its increase, it will become formidable; and its strength

^{*} Of the 18th of October 1738 printed at Genoa, by Franchetty, Vietiamo al nostro general gouvernatore in detta isola, di condannare in avenire folamente ex informata conscientia persona alcuna nazionale, in peña afflictiva, potra ben si far arrestare ed incarcerare le personne che g i saranno sospette, salvo di renderne poi a noi conto sollecitamente. Art. 6. See also the Amsterdam Gazette of the 23d of September 1738.

strength will remain entire, while pent up by the neighbouring monarchies.

It ought not therefore to aim at conquests beyond the natural limits of its government. As soon as it has passed

these limits, it is prudence to stop.

In this kind of conquest things must be left as they were found; the same courts of judicature, the same laws, the same customs, the same privileges: There ought to be no other alteration than that of the army, and of the name of the sovereign.

When a monarchy has extended its limits by the conquest of some neighbouring provinces, it should treat those

provinces with great lenity.

If a monarchy has been a long while endeavouring at conquests, the provinces of its ancient demesne are generally ill used. They are obliged to submit both to the new and to the ancient abuses; and to be depopulated by a vast metropolis that swallows up the whole. Now, if after having conquests round this demesne, the conquered people were treated like the ancient subjects, the state would be undone; the taxes sent by the conquered provinces to the capital would never return; the inhabitants of the frontiers would be ruined, and consequently the frontiers would be weaker; the people would be dissaffected; and the subsistence of the armies designed to act and remain there, would become more precarious.

Such is the necessary state of a conquering monarchy; a shocking luxury in the capital; misery in the provinces somewhat distant; and plenty in the most remote. It is the same with such a monarchy as with our planet; fire at the centre, verdure on the surface, and between both a dry,

cold, and barren land.

CHAP. X.

Of one Monarchy that fubdues another,

Sometimes one monarchy fubdues another. The finaller the latter, the better it is checked by fortreffes; and the larger it is, the better it is preferved by colonies.

CHAP.

in all a tribular and the state of the same of the same of the state of the same of the sa

ionst and of the CHAP. XI.

Of the Manners of a conquered People.

It is not sufficient in those conquests to let the conquered nation enjoy their own laws; it is perhaps more necessary to leave them also their manners, because people generally know, love, and defend their manners better than their laws.

The French have been driven nine times out of Italy, because, as historians say *, of their insolent familiarities with the fair sex. It is too much for a nation to be obliged to bear not only with the pride of conquerours, but with their incontinence and indiscretion; these are, without doubt, most grievous and intolerable, as they are the source of infinite outrages.

CHAP. XII.

Of a Law of Cyrus.

FAR am I from thinking that a good law which Cyrus made, to oblige the Lydians to practife none but mean or infamous professions. It is true, he directed his attention to what was of the greatest importance; he thought of revolts, and not of invasions: But invasions will soon come; for the Persians and Lydians unite and support each other. I would therefore much rather support by laws the simplicity and rudeness of the conquering nation, than the effeminacy of the conquered.

Aristodemus tyrant of Cumæ + used all his endeavours to banish courage, and to enervate the minds of youth. He ordered that boys should let their hair grow in the same manner as girls, that they should deck it with flow-

^{*} See Puffendorf's universal history. † Dionys. Halicar. 1. 7.

ers, and wear long robes of different colours down to their heels; that when they went to their masters of music and dancing, they should have women with them to carry their umbrellas, perfumes, and fans, and to present them with combs and looking-glasses when they bathed. This education lasted till the age of twenty: An education that could be agreeable to none but to a petty tyrant, who exposes his sovereignty to defend his life.

CHAP. XIII.

Alexander.

ALEXANDER made a surprising conquest. Let us see how it was conducted; and since enough has been said by other writers of his valour, let us mention something concerning his prudence.

The measures he took were just. He did not set out till he had completed the reduction of Greece; he availed himself of this reduction, for no other end than for the execution of his enterprise; and he lest nothing, by which he could be annoyed, behind him. He began his attack against the maritime provinces; he made his land forces keep close to the sea-coast, that they might not be separated from his seet; he made an admirable use of discipline against numbers; he never wanted provisions; and if it be true that victory gave him every thing, he, in his turn, did every thing to obtain it.

In this manner he carried on his conquests; let us now

fee how he preserved them.

He opposed those who would have had him treat the Greeks as masters †, and Persians as slaves. He thought only of uniting the two nations, and of abolishing the distinctions of a conquering and a conquered people. After he had completed his victories, he relinquished all those prejudices that had helped him to obtain them. He assumed the manners of the Persians, that he might not afflict them too much, by obliging them to conform to those of

[†] This was Aristotle's advice, Plutarch's morals, of the fortune and wirtue of Alexander.

the Greeks. It was this humanity which made him show fo great a respect for the wife and mother of Darius; this that made him so continent; this that caused his death to be so much lamented by the Persians. What a conquerour! He is lamented by all the nations he has subdued! What an usurper! At his death the very family he has cast from the throne, is all in tears. These were the most glorious passages in his life, and such as history cannot produce an instance in any other conquerour.

Nothing confolidates more a conquest than the union formed between two nations by marriages. Alexander chose his wives from the nation he had subdued; he insisted on his courtiers doing the same; and the rest of the Macedonians followed the example. The Franks and Burgundians permitted those marriages *, the Visigoths forbade them in Spain, and afterwards allowed them †. By the Lombards, they were not only allowed, but encouraged §. When the Romans wanted to weaken Macedonia, they ordained, that there should be no intermarriages between the people of different provinces.

Alexander, whose aim was to unite the two nations, thought fit to establish in Persia a great number of Greek colonies. He built therefore a vast multitude of towns; and so strongly were all the parts of this new empire cemented, that after his decease, amidst the trouble and confusion of the most frightful civil wars, when the Greeks had reduced themselves, as it were, to a state of annihilation, not a single province of Persia revolted.

To prevent Greece and Macedon from being too much exhausted, he sent a colony of Jews to Alexandria; the manners of those people signified nothing to him, provided he could be sure of their sidelity.

The kings of Syria, abandoning the plan laid down by the founder of the empire, resolved to oblige the Jews to conform to the manners of the Greeks; a resolution that gave the most terrible shocks to their government.

CHAP.

^{*} See the law of the Burgundians, tit. 12. art. 5.

[†] See the laws of the Vifigoths, book 3. tit. 1. § 1. which abrogates the ancient law that had more regard, it fays, to the difference of nations, than to that of people's conditions.

[§] See the law of the Lombards, book 2. tit 7. § 1. & 2.

Carlos La La La Harris de la Carlos de la Car

CHAP. XIV.

Charles XII.

THIS prince, who depended entirely on his own firength; haftened his ruin, by forming defigns that could never be executed but by a long war; a thing which his kingdom

was unable to support.

It was not a declining flate he undertook to subvert, but a rifing empire. The Russians made use of the war he waged against them, as of a military school. Every defeat brought them nearer to victory; and lofing abroad,

they learned to defend themselves at home.

Charles, in the defarts of Poland, imagined himself master of the universe; here he wandered, and with him in fome measure wandered Sweden; while his capital ene-. my acquired new strength against him, locked him up, made fettlements along the Baltic, destroyed, or subdued Livonia.

Sweden was like a river, whose waters are cut off at

the fountain head, in order to change its course.

It was not the affair of Pultowa that ruined Charles. Had he not been destroyed at that place, he would in another. The cafualties of fortune are eafily repaired; but who can be guarded against events that incessantly arise from the nature of things.

But neither nature nor fortune were ever so much a-

gainst him as he himself.

He was not directed by the actual fituation of things, but by a kind of model he had formed to himself; and even this he followed very ill. He was not an Alexander; but he would have been Alexander's best foldier.

Alexander's project fucceeded, because it was prudently concerted. The bad fuccels of the Perfians, in their feveral invasions of Greece, the conquests of Agesilaus, and the retreat of the ten thousand, had shown to demonstration the fuperiority of the Greeks in their manner of fighting, and in the arms they made use of; and it was well known that the Perfians were too proud to be corrected.

It was no longer possible for them to weaken Greece by divisions: Greece was then united under one head, who could not pitch upon a better method of rendering her infensible of her servitude, than by flattering her vanity with the destruction of her hereditary enemy, and with the hopes of the conquest of Asia.

An empire cultivated by the most industrious nation in the world, that tilled the lands through a principle of religion; an empire, abounding with every conveniency of life, furnished the enemy with all necessary means of sub-

fifting.

It was easy to judge by the pride of those kings, who in vain were mortified by their numerous defeats, that they would precipitate their ruin by being so forward to venture battles; and that flattery would never permit

them to doubt of their grandeur.

The project was not only wife, but wifely executed. Alexander, in the rapidity of his conquests, even in the fire of his passions, had, if I may presume to use the expression, a stash of reason by which he was directed, and which those who wanted to make a romance of his history, and whose minds were more debauched than his, could not conceal from posterity.

CHAP. XV.

New Methods of preferving a Conquest.

When a monarch has conquered a large country, he may make use of an admirable method, equally proper for moderating despotic power, and for preserving the conquest; it is a method practifed by the conquerours of China.

In order to prevent the conquered nation from falling into despair, the conquerours from growing insolent and proud, the government from becoming military, and to contain the two nations within duty; the Tartar family now on the throne of China, has ordained, that every military corps in the provinces should be composed half of Chinese and half of Tartars, to the end that the jealousy between

between the two nations may keep them within bounds. The courts of judicature are likewise half Chinese, and half Tartars. This is productive of several good effects.

1. The two nations keep one another in awe.

2. They both preserve the civil and military power, and one is not destroyed by the other.

3. The conquering nation may spread itself without being weakened and lost. It is likewise enabled to resist civil and foreign wars. The want of so wise an institution as this, has been the ruin of almost all the conquerours that ever existed.

CHAP. XVI.

Of Conquests made by a despotic Prince.

When a conquest happens to be vastly large, it supposes a despotic power: And then the army dispersed in the provinces is not sufficient. There should be always a trusty body of troops around the prince, ready to fall instantly upon any part of the empire that might chance to waver. This military corps ought to awe the rest, and to strike terrour into those, who, through necessity, have been intrusted with any authority in the empire. The emperour of China has always a large body of Tartars near his person, ready upon all occasions. In India, in Turkey, in Japan, the prince has always a body-guard, independent of the other regular forces. This particular corps keeps the dispersed troops in awe.

CHAP. XVII.

The fame Subject continued.

We have observed that the countries subdued by a despotic monarch ought to be feedary. Historians exhaust themselves in extolling the generosity of those conquerours who restored to the throne the princes they had vanquished. quished. Extremely generous then were the Romans, who made kings in all parts, in order to have instruments of flavery *. A proceeding of that kind is absolutely neceffary. If the conqueror intends to preferve the conquered country, neither the governours he fends will be able to contain the subjects within duty, nor he himself governours. He will be obliged to strip his ancient patrimony of troops, in order to fecure the new. All the miferies of the two nations will be common; the civil war of one will communicate itself to the other. On the contrary, if the conquerour restores the legitimate prince to the throne; he will have a necessary ally, by the junction of whose forces his own will be augmented. We have a recent instance of what has been here faid in Shah Nadir, who conquered the Mogul, seized his treasures, and left him the possession of Indostan.

BOOK XI.

OF THE LAWS THAT FORM POLITICAL LIBERTY, WITH REGARD TO THE CONSTITUTION.

CHAP. I.

A general Idea.

I MAKE a distinction between the laws that form political liberty with regard to the constitution, and those by which it is formed in respect to the citizen. The former shall be the subject of this book; the latter I shall examine in the next.

Vol. I.

L

CHAP.

^{*} Ut haberent instrumenta fervitutis & reges.

CHAP. II.

Different Significations given to the Word Liberty.

THERE is no word that has admitted of more various fignifications, and has made more different impressions on human minds, than that of liberty. Some have taken it for a facility of deposing a person on whom they had conferred a tyrannical authority; others for the power of chufing a person whom they are obliged to obey; others for the right of bearing arms, and of being thereby enabled to use violence; others for the privilege of being governed by a native of their own country, or by their own laws *. A certain nation for a long time thought that liberty confifted in the privilege of wearing a long beard +. Some have annexed this name to one form of government, in exclusion of others: those who had a republican taste, applied it to this government; those who liked a monarchical state, gave it to monarchies ‡. Thus they all have applied the name of liberty to the government most conformable to their own customs and inclinations: and as in a republic people have not fo constant and fo present a view of the instruments of the evils they complain of, and likewife as the laws feem there to fpeak more, and the executors of the laws less, it is generally attributed to republics, and denied to monarchies. In fine, as in democracies the people feem to do very near whatever they pleafe, liberty has been placed in this fort of government, and the power of the people has been confounded with their liberty.

CHAP.

REAL PROPERTY AND PROPERTY AND

I have copied, fays Cicero, Scevola's edict, which permits the Greeks to terminate their differences among themselves according to their own laws, this makes them consider themselves as a free people.

[†] The Russians could not bear that the Czar Peter should make them cut it off.

[†] The Cappadocians refused the condition of a republican state, which was offered them by the Romans.

CHAP. III.

In what Liberty confifts.

IT is true, that in democracies the people feem to do what they please; but political liberty does not consist in an unrestrained freedom. In governments, that is, in societies directed by laws, liberty can confift only in the power of doing what we ought to will, and in not being constrained to do what we ought not to will.

We must have continually present to our minds the difference between independence and liberty. Liberty is a right of doing whatever the laws permit; and if a citizen could do what they forbid, he would no longer be possessed of liberty, because all his fellow citizens would have the fame power.

CHAP. IV.

The same Subject continued.

DEMOCRATIC and aristocratic states are not necessarily free. Political liberty is to be met with only in moderate governments: yet even in these it is not always met with. It is there only when there is no abuse of power: but constant experience shows us, that every man invested with power is apt to abuse it; he pushes on till he comes (to fomething that limits him. Is it not strange, though true, to fay, that virtue itself has need of limits?

To prevent the abuse of power, it is necessary that by the very disposition of things power should be a check to A government may be so constituted, as no man shall be compelled to do things to which the law does not oblige him, nor forced to abstain from things which the

law permits.

CHAP. V.

Of the End or View of different Governments.

Though all governments have the same general end, which is that of preservation, yet each has another particular view. Increase of dominion was the view of Rome; war, of Sparta; religion, of the Jewish laws; commerce, that of Marseilles; public tranquillity, that of the laws of China*; navigation, that of the laws of Rhodes; natural liberty, that of the policy of the savages; in general, the pleasures of the prince that of despotic states; that of monarchies, the prince's and the kingdom's glory: the independence of individuals, is the end aimed at by the laws of Poland, and from thence results the oppression of the whole t.

One nation there is also in the world, that has for the direct end of its constitution political liberty. We shall examine presently the principles on which this liberty is founded: if they are sound, liberty will appear as in a mirrour.

To discover political liberty in a constitution, no great labour is requisite. If we are capable of seeing it where it exists, why should we go any further in search of it?

CHAP. VI.

Of the Constitution of England.

In every government there are three forts of power: the legislative; the executive, in respect to things dependent on the law of nations; and the executive, in regard to things that depend on the civil law.

By

† Inconveniency of the liberum veto.

^{*} The natural end of a flate that has no foreign enemies, or that thinks itself fecured against them by barriers.

By virtue of the first, the prince or magistrate enacts temporary or perpetual laws, and amends or abrogates those that have been already enacted. By the second, he makes peace or war, sends or receives embassies; establishes the public security, and provides against invasions, By the third, he punishes criminals, or determines the disputes that arise between individuals. The latter we shall call the judiciary power, and the other simply the executive power of the state.

The political liberty of the subject is a tranquility of mind, arising from the opinion each person has of his safety. In order to have this liberty, it is requisite the government be so constituted as one man need not be afraid

of another.

When the legislative and executive powers are united in the same person, or in the same body of magistrates, there can be no liberty; because apprehensions may arise, lest the same monarch or senate should enact tyrannical

laws, to execute them in a tyrannical manner.

Again, there is no liberty, if the power of judging be not feperated from the legislative and executive powers. Were it joined with the legislative, the life and liberty of the subject would be exposed to arbitrary controul; for the judge would then be the legislator. Were it joined to the executive power, the judge might behave with all the violence of an oppression.

There would be an end of every thing, were the same man, or the same body, whether of the nobles or of the people to exercise those three powers, that of enacting laws, that of executing the public resolutions, and that of judging

the crimes or differences of individuals.

Most kingdoms of Europe enjoy a moderate government, because the prince, who is invested with the two first powers, leaves the third to his subjects. In Turky, where these three powers are united in the sultan's person, the subjects grown under the weight of a most frightful oppression.

In the republics of Italy, where these three powers are united, there is less liberty than in our monarchies. Hence their government is obliged to have recourse to as violent methods for its support, as even that of the Turks; witness

3 L

th

the state-inquisitors *, and the lion's mouth into which every informer may at all hours throw his written accusations.

What a fituation must the poor subject be in, under those republics! The same body of magistrates are possessed, as executors of the laws, of the whole power they have given themselves in quality of legislators. They may plunder the state by their general determinations; and as they have likewise the judiciary power in their hands, every private citizen may be ruined by their particular decisions.

The whole power is here united in one body; and though there is no external pomp that indicates a despotic sway, yet the people feel the effects of it every moment.

Hence it is that many of the princes of Europe, whose aim has been levelled at arbitrary power, have constantly fet out with uniting in their own persons, all the branches

of magistracy, and all the great offices of state.

I allow indeed that the mere hereditary aristocracy of the Italian republics, does not answer exactly to the despotic power of the eastern princes. The number of magistrates sometimes softens the power of the magistracy; the whole body of the nobles do not always concur in the same designs; and different tribunals are erected, that temper each other. Thus at Venice the legislative power is in the council, the executive in the pregadi, and the judiciary in the quarantia. But the mischief is, that these different tribunals are composed of magistrates all belonging to the same body; which constitutes almost one and the same power.

The judiciary power ought not to be given to a standing senate; it should be exercised by persons taken from the body of the people +, at certain times of the year, and pursuant to a form and manner prescribed by law, in order to erect a tribunal that should last only as long as ne-

cessity requires.

By this means the power of judging, a power so terrible to mankind, not being annexed to any particular state or profession, becomes, as it were, invisible. People have not then the judges continually present to their view; they fear the office, but not the magistrate.

In

* At Venice.

In accusations of a deep or criminal nature, it is proper the person accused should have the privilege of chusing in some measure his judges in concurrence with the law; or at least he should have a right to except against so great a number, that the remaining part may be deemed his own choice.

The other two powers may be given rather to magistrates or permanent bodies, because they are not exercifed on any private subject; one being no more than the general will of the state, and the other the execution of

that general will.

But though the tribunals ought not to be fixed, yet the judgments ought, and to fuch a degree as to be always conformable to the exact letter of the law. Were they to be the private opinion of the judge, people would then live in fociety without knowing exactly the obligations it lays them under.

The judges ought likewife to be in the fame station as the accused, or, in other words, his peers, to the end that he may not imagine he is fallen into the hands of persons

inclined to treat him with rigour.

If the legislature leaves the executive power in possession of a right to imprison those subjects who can give security for their good behaviour, there is an end of liberty; unless they are taken up, in order to answer without delay to a capital crime; in this case they are really free, being subject only to the power of the law.

But should the legislature think itself in danger by some fecret conspiracy against the state, or by a correspondence with a foreign enemy, it might authorife the executive power, for a short and limited time, to imprison suspected persons, who in that case would lose their liberty only for

a while, to preferve it for ever.

And this is the only reasonable method, that can be fubstituted to the tyrannical magistracy of the Ephori, and to the state-inquisitors of Venice, who are also despotical.

As in a free state, every man who is supposed a free agent, ought to be his own governour; fo the legislative power should reside in the whole body of the people. But since this is impossible in large states, and in small ones is subject to many inconveniencies; it is fit the people should

act by their representatives, what they cannot act by themselves.

The inhabitants of a particular town are much better acquainted with its wants and interests, than with those of other places; and are better judges of the capacity of their neighbours, than of that of the rest of their countrymen. The members therefore of the legislature should not be chosen from the general body of the nation; but it is proper, that, in every considerable place, a representative should be elected by the inhabitants.

The great advantage of representatives is their being capable of discussing affairs. For this the people collectively are extremely unsit, which is one of the greatest in-

conveniencies of a democracy.

It is not at all necessary, that the representatives who have received a general instruction from their electors, should wait to be particularly instructed on every assar, as is practised in the diets of Germany. True it is, that, by this way of proceeding, the speeches of the deputies might with greater propriety be called the voice of the nation: But, on the other hand, this would throw them into infinite delays, would give each deputy a power of controlling the assembly; and, on the most urgent and pressing occasions, the springs of the nation might be stopped by a single caprice.

When the deputies, as Mr. Sidney well observes, reprefent a body of people, as in Holland, they ought to be accountable to their constituents: But it is a different thing

in England, where they are deputed by boroughs.

All the inhabitants of the several districts ought to have a right of voting at the election of a representative, except such as are in so mean a situation, as to be deemed to have no will of their own.

One great fault there was in most of the ancient republics; that the people had a right to active resolutions, such as require some execution, a thing of which they are absolutely incapable, they ought to have no hand in the government, but for the chusing of representatives, which is within their reach. For though sew can tell the exact degree of mens capacities, yet there are none but are capable of knowing in general whether the person they chuse is better qualified than most of his neighbours.

Neither

Neither ought the representative body to be chosen for active resolutions, for which it is not so fit; but for the enacting of laws, or to fee whether the laws already enacted be duly executed, a thing they are very capable of, and which none indeed but themselves can properly perform.

In a state there are always persons distinguished by their birth, riches, or honours: But were they to be confounded with the common people, and to have only the weight of a fingle vote like the rest, the common liberty would be their flavery, and they would have no interest in supporting it, as most of the popular resolutions would be against The share they have therefore in the legislature ought to be proportioned to the other advantages they have in the state; which happens only when they form a body that has a right to put a stop to the enterprises of the people, as the people have a right to put a flop to theirs.

The legislative power is therefore committed to the body of the nobles, and to the body chosen to represent the people, which have each their affemblies and deliberations a-

part, each their separate views and interests.

Of the three powers above mentioned, the judiciary is in fome measure next to nothing. There remains therefore only two; and as these have need of a regulating power to temper them, the part of the lgillative body composed of the nobility, is extremely proper for this very purpole.

The body of the nobility ought to be hereditary. In the first place, it is so in its own nature; and in the next, there must be a considerable interest to preserve its privileges; privileges that in themselves are obnoxious to popular envy, and of course, in a free state, are always in

danger.

But as an hereditary power might be tempted to purfue its own particular interests, and forget those of the people; it is proper that where they may reap a fingular advantage from being corrupted, as in the laws relating to the supplies, they should have no other share in the legislation, than the power of rejecting, and not that of refolving.

By the power of refolving, I mean the right of ordaining by their own authority, or of amending what has been ordained by others. By the power of rejecting, I would be understood to mean the right of annulling a resolution taken by another; which was the power of the tr.bunes at Rome,

And

And though the person possessed of the privilege of rejecting may likewise have the right of approving, yet this approbation passes for no more than a declaration, that he intends to make no use of his privilege of rejecting, and is derived from that very privilege.

The executive power ought to be in the hands of a monarch; because this branch of government, which has always need of expedition, is better administered by one than by many: Whereas, whatever depends on the legitle level power, is oftentimes better regulated by many than

by a fingle person.

But if there was no monarch, and the executive power was committed to a certain number of persons selected from the legislative body, there would be an end then of liberty; by reason the two powers would be united, as the same persons would actually sometimes have, and would moreover be always able to have, a share in both.

Were the legislatve body to be a considerable time without meeting, this would likewise put an end to liberty. For one of these two things would naturally follow; either that there would be no longer any legislative resolutions, and then the state would fall into anarchy; or that these resolutions would be taken by the executive power, which would render it absolute.

It would be needless for the legislative body to continue always assembled. This would be troublesome to the representatives, and moreover would cut out too much work for the executive power, so as to take off its attention from executing, and oblige it to think only of defending its own prerogatives, and the right it has to execute.

Again, were the legislative body to be always assembled, it might happen to be kept up only by silling the places of the deceased members with new representatives; and in that case, if the legislative body was once corrupted, the evil would be past all remedy. When different legislative bodies succeed one another, the people who have a bad opinion of that which is actually fitting, may reasonably entertain some hopes of the next: But were it to be always the same body, the people, upon seeing it once corrupted, would no longer expect any good from its laws; and of course they would either become desperate, or fall into a state of indolence.

The legislative body should not assemble of itself. For a body is supposed to have no will but when it is assembled; and besides, were it not to assemble unanimously, it would be impossible to determine which was really the legislative body, the part assembled, or the other. And if it had a right to prorogue itself, it might happen never to be prorogued; which would be extremely dangerous, in case it should ever attempt to incroach on the executive power. Besides, there are seasons, some of which are more proper than others, for assembling the legislative body: It is sit therefore that the executive power should regulate the time of convening, as well as the duration of those assemblies, according to the circumstances and exigencies of state known to itself.

Were the executive power not to have a right of putting a stop to the incroachments of the legislative body, the latter would become despotic; for as it might arrogate to itself what authority it pleased, it would soon destroy all

the other powers.

But it is not proper, on the other hand, that the legiflative power should have a right to stop the executive. For as the execution has its natural limits, it is useless to confine it; besides, the executive power is generally employed in momentary operations. The power therefore of the Roman tribunes was faulty, as it put a stop not only to the legislation, but likewise to the execution itself; which was attended with infinite mischiefs.

But if the legislative power in a free government ought to have no right to stop the executive, it has a right, and ought to have the means of examining in what manner its laws have been executed; an advantage which this government has over that of Crete and Sparta, where the Cosmi and the Ephori gave no account of their administration.

But whatever may be the iffue of that examination, the legislative body ought not to have a power of judging the person, nor of course the conduct of him who is intrusted with the executive power. His person should be sacred, because as it is necessary for the good of the state to prevent the legislative body from rendering themselves arbitrary, the moment he is accused or tried, there is an end of liberty.

In this case the state would be no longer a monarchy, but a kind of republican, though not a free government.

But as the person intrusted with the executive power cannot abuse it without bad counsellors, and such as hate the laws as ministers, the the laws favour them as subjects; these men may be examined and punished. An advantage which this government has over that of Gnidus, where the law allowed of no such thing as calling the Amymones * to an account, even after their administration +; and therefore the people could never obtain any satisfaction for the injuries done them.

Though in general the judiciary power ought not to be united with any part of the legislative, yet this is liable to three exceptions, founded on the particular interest of the

party accused.

The great are always obnoxious to popular envy; and were they to be judged by the people, they might be in danger from their judges, and would moreover be deprived of the privilege which the meanest subject is possessed of in a free state, of being tried by their peers. The nobility for this reason ought not to be cited before the ordinary courts of judicature, but before that part of the legis-

lature which is composed of their own body.

It is possible that the law, which is clear-sighted in one sense, and blind in another, might in some cases be too severe. But, as we have already observed, the national judges are no more than the mouth that pronounces the words of the law, mere passive beings, incapable of moderating either its force or rigour. That part therefore of the legislative body, which we have just now observed to be a necessary tribunal on another occasion, is also a necessary tribunal in this; it belongs to its supreme authority to moderate the law in favour of the law itself, by mitigating the sentence.

It might also happen that a subject intrusted with the administration of public assairs, might insringe the rights of the people, and be guilty of crimes which the ordinary magistrates either could not, or would not punish. But in general the legislative power cannot judge; and much less can it be a judge in this particular case, where it represents the party concerned, which is the people. It can

only

^{*} These were magistrates chosen annually by the people. See Stephen of Byzantium.

[†] It was lawful to accuse the Roman magistrates after the expiration of their several offices. See in Dionys. Halicarn. 1. 9. the assair of Genucius the tribune.

only therefore impeach. But before what court shall it bring its impeachment? Must it go and abase itself before the ordinary cribunals, which are its inferiours, and being composed moreover of men who are chosen from the people as well as itself, will naturally be swayed by the authority of so powerful an accuser? No: In order to preserve the dignity of the people, and the security of the subject, the legislative part, which represents the people, must bring in its charge before the legislative part which represents the nobility, who have neither the same interests nor the same passions.

Here is an advantage which this government has over most of the ancient republics, where there was this abuse, that the people were at the same time both judge and ac-

cufer.

The executive power, pursuant to what has been already said, ought to have a share in the legislature by the power of rejecting, otherwise it would soon be stripped of its prerogative. But should the legislative power usurp a share of the executive, the latter would be equally undone.

If the prince were to have a share in the legislature by the power of resolving, liberty would be lost. But as it is necessary he should have a share in the legislature for the support of his own prerogative, this share must consist in

the power of rejecting.

The change of government at Rome was owing to this, that neither the fenate, who had one part of the executive power, nor the magistrates, who were intrusted with the other, had the right of rejecting, which was entirely lodged in the people.

Here then is the fundamental conflictation of the government we are treating of. The legislative body being composed of two parts, one checks the other, by the mutual privilege of rejecting. They are both checked by the executive power, as the executive is by the legislative.

These three powers should naturally form a state of repose or inaction. But as there is a necessity for movement in the course of human assairs, they are forced to move,

but still to move in concert.

As the executive power has no other part in the legiflative than the privilege of rejecting, it can have no share in the public debates. It is not even necessary that it should should propose, because as it may always disapprove of the resolutions that shall be taken, it may likewise reject the decisions on those proposals which were made against its will.

In some ancient commonwealths, where public debates were carried on by the people in a body, it was natural for the executive power to propose and debate with the people, otherwise their resolutions must have been attended with a strange confusion.

Were the executive power to ordain the raising of public money, otherwise than by giving its consent, liberty would be at an end; because it would become legislative

in the most important point of legislation.

If the legislative power was to settle the subsidies, not from year to year, but for ever, it would run the risk of losing its liberty, because the executive power would no longer be dependent; and when once it was possessed of such a perpetual right, it would be a matter of indifference, whether it held it of itself, or of another. The same may be said if it should six, not from year to year, but for ever, the sea and land forces with which it is to intrust the executive power.

press, it is requisite, that the armies, with which it is intrusted, should consist of the people, and have the same spirit as the people, as was the case at Rome, till the time of Marius. To obtain this end, there are only two ways, either that the persons employed in the army, should have sufficient property to answer for their conduct to their fellow-subjects, and be inlisted only for a year, as was customary at Rome: Or if there should be a standing army, composed chiefly of the most despicable part of the nation, the legislative power should have a right to disband them as soon as it pleased; the soldiers should live in common with the rest of the people; and no separate camp, barracks, or fortress, should be suffered.

When once an army is established, it ought not to depend immediately on the legislative, but on the executive power; and this from the very nature of the thing; its business consisting more in action than in deliberation.

From a manner of thinking that prevails amongst mankind, they fet a higher value upon courage than timorousness, roufnels, on activity than prudence, on strength than counfel. Hence, the army will ever despise a senate, and respect their own officers. They will naturally slight the orders fent them by a body of men, whom they look upon as cowards, and therefore unworthy to command them. So that as foon as the army depends on the legislative body, the government becomes a military one; and if the contrary has ever happened, it has been owing to fome extraordinary circumstances. It is because the army was always kept divided; it is because it was composed of several bodies, that depended each on their particular province; it is because the capital towns were strong places, defended by their natural fituation, and not garrifoned with regular troops. Holland, for inflance, is still fafer than Venice; she might drown, or starve the revolted troops; for as they are not quartered in towns capable of furnishing them with necessary sublistence, this sublistence sof courfe preentious.

Whoever shall read the admirable treatise of Tacitus on the manners of the Germans *, will find that it is from them the English have borrowed the idea of their political government. This beautiful system was invented first in the woods.

As all human things have an end, the state we are speaking of will lose its liberty, it will perish. Have not Rome, Sparta, and Carthage perished? It will perish when the legislative power shall be more corrupted than the executive.

It is not my business to examine whether the English actually enjoy this liberty, or not. It is sufficient for my purpose to observe, that it is established by their laws; and I inquire no further.

Neither do I pretend by this to undervalue other governments, nor to fay that this extreme political liberty ought to give uneafiness to those who have only a moderate share of it. How should I have any such design, I who think that even the excess of reason is not always desirable, and that mankind generally find their account better in mediums than in extremes?

Harrington

^{*} De minoribus rebus principes confultant, de majoribus omnes; ita tamen ut ca quoque, quorum penes plebem arbitrium est, apud principes pertractentur.

Harrington, in his Oceana, has also inquired into the highest point of liberty to which the constitution of a state may be carried. But of him indeed it may be said, that, for want of knowing the nature of real liberty, he busied himself in pursuit of an imaginary one, and that he built a Chalcedon, though he had a Byzantium before his eyes.

CHAP. VII.

Of the Monarchies we are acquainted with,

THE monarchies we are acquainted with, have not, like that we have been speaking of, liberty for their direct view: Their only aim is the subject's, the state's, and the prince's glory. But from this glory there results a spirit of liberty, which in those states may perform as great things, and may contribute as much perhaps to happiness, as liberty itself.

Here the three powers are not distributed and founded on the model of the constitution above mentioned; they have each a particular distribution, according to which they border more or less upon political liberty; and if they did not border upon it, monarchy would degenerate into de-

fpotic government.

CHAP. VIII.

Why the Ancients had not a clear idea of Monarchy.

THE ancients had no notion of a government founded on a body of nobles, and much less on a legislative body composed of the representatives of the people. The republics of Greece and Italy were cities that had each their own form of government, and convened their subjects within their own walls. Before Rome had swallowed up all the other republics, there was scarce any-where a king to be found, no, not in Italy, Gaul, Spain, or Germany; these were

were all petty states, or little republics. Even Africa itself was subject to a great republic; and Asia Minor was occupied by Greek colonies. There was therefore no example of deputies of towns, or assemblies of the states; one must have gone as far as Persia to find a country under the government of a single person.

I am not ignorant that there were confederate republics; in which feveral towns fent deputies to an affembly. But I affirm there was no monarchy on the prefent model.

The first plan therefore of the monarchies we are acquainted with, was thus formed. The German nations that conquered the Roman empire, were, as it is known to every one, a free people. Of this we may be convinced only by reading Tacitus on the manners of the Germans. The conquerors spread themselves all over the country; living mostly in the fields, and very little in towns. When they were in Germany, the whole nation was able to affemble. This they could no longer do, when they were dispersed through the conquered provinces. And yet as it was necessary that the nation should deliberate on public affairs, purfuant to their usual method before the conquest; they had therefore recourse to representatives. Such is the origin of the Gothic government amongst us. At first it was mixed with aristocracy and monarchy; a mixture attended with this inconveniency, that the common people were bond-men. The custom afterwards succeeded of granting letters of infranchifement, and was foon followed by so perfect a harmony between the civil liberty of the people, the privileges of the nobility and clergy, and the prince's prerogative, that I really think there never was in the world a government fo well tempered, as that of each part of Europe, fo long as it lasted. Surprising, that the corruption of the government of a conquering nation, should have given birth to the best species of constitution that could possibly be imagined by man *!

VOL. I.

M

CHAP.

^{*} It was a good government that had in itself a caracity of growing better.

CHAP. IX.

Aristotle's Manner of thinking.

ARISTOTLE is greatly puzzled in treating of monarchy. He makes five species; and he does not distinguish them by the form of constitution, but by things merely accidental, as the virtues or vices of the prince; or by things extrinsical, such as the usurpation of, or succession to tyranny.

He ranks among the number of monarchies, the Perfian empire and the kingdom of Sparta. But is it not evident, that the one was a despotic state, and the other a republic?

The ancients, who were strangers to the distribution of the three powers in the government of a single person, could never form a just idea of monarchy.

CHAP. X.

What other Politicians thought.

To temper the government of a fingle person, Arybas king + of Epirus sound no other remedy than a republic. The Molossi, not knowing how to limit the same power, made two kings ‡; by this means the state was weakened more than the prerogative of the prince; they wanted rivals, and they created enemies.

Two kings were tolerable no where but at Sparta; here they did not form, but were only a part of the constitution.

CHAP.

^{*} Polit. book iii. chap. 14.

Arist. Polit. book v. chap. 8,

CHAP. XI.

Of the Kings of the Heroic Times of Greece.

In the heroic times of Greece, a kind of monarchy arose that was not of long duration *. Those who had been inventors of arts, who had sought in their country's cause, had established societies, or distributed lands among the people, obtained the regal power, and transmitted it to their children. They were kings, priests, and judges. This is one of the five species of monarchy mentioned by Aristotle; and the only one that can give any idea of the monarchical constitution. But the plan of this constitution is opposite to that of our modern monarchies.

The three powers were there distributed in such a manner as the people had the legislative ‡, and the the king the executive, together with the power of judging; whereas in modern monarchies, the prince is invested with the executive and legislative powers, or, at least, with part of the legislative, but does not assume the power of judging.

In the government of the kings of the heroic times, the three powers were ill distributed. Hence those monarchies could not long subsist. For as soon as the people got the legislative power into their hands, they might, as they every where did, upon the very least caprice, subvert the regal authority.

Among a free people possessed of the legislative power, a people inclosed within walls, where every thing of an odious nature becomes still more odious, it is the highest masterpiece of legislation, to know how to place properly the judiciary power. But it could not be in worse hands, than in those of the person to whom the executive power had been already committed. From that very instant, the monarch became terrible. But at the same time, as he had no share in the legislature, he could make no defence M 2 against

^{*} Arist. Polit. book iii. chap. 14.

See what Plutarch fays in the life of Theseus. See likewise Thucy-dides, book is

against it; thus his power was in one sense too great, in another, too little.

They had not as yet discovered, that the true function of a prince was to appoint judges, and not to fit as judge himself. The opposite policy rendered the government of a single person insupportable. Hence all those kings were banished. The Greeks had no notion of the proper distribution of the three powers in the government of one person; they could see it only in that of many; and this kind of constitution they distinguished by the name of polity *.

CHAP. XII.

Of the Government of the Kings of Rome, and in what Manner the three Powers were there distributed.

The government of the kings of Rome had fome relation to that of the kings of the heroic times of Greece. Its subversion, like the latter's, was owing to its general defect, though in itself, and in its own particular nature, it was exceeding good.

In order to give an adequate idea of this government, I shall distinguish that of the five first kings, that of Servius Tullius, and that of Tarquin.

The crown was elective, and under the five first kings

the Senate had the greatest share in the election.

Upon the king's decease, the senate examined whether they should continue the established form of government. If they thought proper to continue it, they named a magistrate + taken from their own body, who chose a king; the senate were to approve of the election, the people to confirm it, and the augurs to declare the approbation of the gods. If one of these three conditions was wanting, they were obliged to proceed to another election.

The conftitution was a mixture of monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy; and such was the harmony of power, that there was no instance of jealousy or dispute in the first reigns. The king commanded the armies, and had

^{*} Aristot. Polit. book iv. chap. 8.

[†] Dionyf. Halicarn. book ii. p. 120. and book iv. p. 242, and 243.

the direction of the facrifices; he had the power of determining * civil and criminal + causes; he called the senate together, convened the people, laid some affairs before the latter, and regulated the rest with the senate 1.

The authority of the fenate was very great. The kings oftentimes pitched upon fenators with whom they judged in conjunction; and they never laid any affair before the people, till it had been previously debated | in the fenate.

The people had the right of chusing & magistrates, of confenting to the new laws, and, with the king's permission, of making war and peace: But they had not the power of When Tullus Hostilius referred the trial of judging. Horatius to the people, he had his particular reasons, which may be feen in Dionyfius Halicarnaffus ¶.

The constitution altered under + Servius Tullius. The fenate had no share in his election; he caused himself to be proclaimed by the people; he refigned the power of judging civil causes b, reserving none to himself but the criminal; he laid all affairs directly before the people; he eased them of taxes, and imposed the whole burthen on the patricians. Hence, in proportion as he weakened the regal together with the fenatorian power, he augmented that of the people **.

Tarquin would neither be chosen by the senate nor by the people; he confidered Servius Tullius as an usurper, and took the crown as an hereditary right. He destroyed most of the fenators; those who remained, he never confulted; nor did he even fo much as fummon them to affift

M 3

* See Tanaquil's discourse on Livy, book i. dec. 1. and the regulations of Servius Tullius, in Dionys. Halicarn. book iv. p. 229.

† See Dionys. Halicarn. book ii. p. 118. and book iii. p. 171. † It was by virtue of a finatusconsultum, that Tullus Hostilius ordered Alba to be destroyed. Dionys. Halicarn. book iii. p. 167. and 172.

| Ibid. book iv. p. 276.
§ Ibid. book ii. And yet they could not have the nomination of all offices, fince Valerius Publicola made that famous law by which every citizen was forbid to exercise any employment, unless he had obtained it by the suffrage of the people.

¶ Book iii. p. 159. ↓ Dlonyf. Halicarn. book iv. Ь He divested himself of half the reg d power, says Dionys. Halicare.

book iv. p. 229.
** It was thought, that if he had not been prevented by Tarquin, he would have established a popular government. Dionys. Halicarn. book iv. p. 243.

tel already that

at his decisions +. Thus his power increased: But the odium of that power received a new addition, by usurping also the authority of the people, without whom, and even against whom he enacted feveral laws. The three powers were by this means reunited in his person; but the people at a critical minute recollected that they were legislators, and there was an end of Tarquin.

son had yed; h. CHAP. XIII.

letines the expection of the kings, though assured

General Reflections on the State of Rome after the Expulsion of its Kings.

It is impossible ever to be tired with fo agreeable a subject as ancient Rome; even at present strangers leave the modern places of that celebrated capital to go in fearch of ruins: Thus the eye, after resting itself on the enamelled meadows, is pleafed with the fight of rocks and mountains.

The patrician families were at all times possessed of great privileges. These distinctions, which were considerable under the kings, became much more important after their expulsion. Hence arose the jealousy of the plebeians who wanted to reduce them. The contest struck at the conflitution without weakening the government: For it was very indifferent of what family the magistrates were, provided the magistracy preserved its authority.

An elective monarchy like that of Rome, necessarily supposeth a powerful aristocratic body to support it; without which it changes immediately into tyranny or into a popular state. But a popular state has no need of this diffinction of families to maintain itself. To this it was owing, that the patricians, who were a necessary part of the conflitution under the regal government, became a fuperfluous branch under the confuls: The people could fuppress them without hurting themselves, and change the constitution without corrupting it.

After Servins Tullius had reduced the patricians, it was natural that Rome should fall from the regal hands into those of the people. But the people had no occasion to be sarrol had god .edl hi both deally will war afraid

Dionyf. Halicarn. book iv.

afraid of relapling under a regal power, by reducing the

patricians.

A state may alter two different ways, either by the amendment or by the corruption of the constitution. If it has preferved its principles, and the conflitution changes, it is owing to its amendment; if, upon changing the conflitution, its principles are loft, it is because it has been

corrupted.

Rome, after the expulsion of the kings, should naturally have been a democracy. The people had already the legislative power in their hands; it was their unanimous confent that had expelled the kings; and if they had not continued fleady in those principles, the Tarquins might eafily have been restored. To pretend that their design in expelling them was to render themselves slaves to a few families, is quite unreasonable. The situation therefore of things required that Rome should be a democracy; and yet it was not. There was a necessity of tempering the power of the principal families, and of giving the laws a bias to democracy.

The prosperity of states is frequently greater in the infensible transition from one constitution to another, than in either of those constitutions. Then it is that all the fprings of government are stretched, that every citizen forms pretentions, that the inhabitants attack or carels one another, and that there is a noble emulation between those who defend the declining, and those who are strenuous in promoting the new constitution.

CHAP. XIV.

In what Manner the Distribution of the Three Powers began to change after the Expulsion of the Kings.

HERE were four things that greatly oppressed the liberty of Rome. The patricians had ingroffed to themselves all facred, political, civil, and military employments; an exhorbitant power was annexed to the consulate; the people were often infulted; and, in fine, they had scarce

M 4

any influence at all left in the public fuffrages. These four abuses were redressed by the people.

i. It was regulated that there fhould be some magistracies to which the plebeians might aspire; and by degrees they obtained their being made capable of them all, except that of interrex.

2. The confulate was diffolved into feveral other magifiracies *; prætors were created, on whom the power was
conferred of judging private affairs; quæstors † were nominated for determining criminal causes; ædiles were established for the civil administration; treasurers ‡ were
made who had the management of the public money; and,
in fine, by the creation of censors the consuls were divested of that part of the legislative power which regulates
the morals of the citizens, and the momentary policy of
the different bodies of the state. The chief privileges left
them were to preside in the great meetings § of the people,
to assemble the senate and to command the armies.

3. By the facred laws tribunes were established, who had a power on all occasions of checking the incroachments of the patricians, and prevented not only particular, but likewife general injuries.

In fine, the plebeians increased their influence in public decisions. The people of Rome were divided in three different manners, by centuries, by curiæ, and by tribes; and whenever they gave their votes, they were assembled and formed one of those three ways.

In the first, the patricians, the leading men, the rich, the senate, which was very near the same thing, had almost the whole authority; in the second they had less; and less still in the third.

The division into centuries was a division rather of estates and fortunes, than of persons. The whole people were divided into a hundred and ninety three centuries +, which had each a single vote. The patricians and leading men composed the first ninety-eight centuries; and the other ninety-sive confisted of the remainder of the citizens.

In

^{*} Livy, decad. 1. book 6.

⁺ Quæstores parricidii. Pomponins, leg. 2 ff. de orig. jur.

[†] Plutarch's life of Publicola.

[§] Comitiis centuriatis.

⁴ See Livy, book i. and Dionys. Halicarn. book 4. & 2.

In this division therefore the patricians were masters of

four abutes overe redreshed by In the division into curiæ *, the patricians had not the fame advantages; fome however they had, for it was neceffary that the augurs should be consulted, who were under the direction of the patricians; and no proposal could be made there to the people, unless it had been previously laid before the senate, and approved of by a ferritusconfultum. But in the division into tribes they had nothing to do either with the augurs or with the decrees of the fenate; and the patricians were excluded.

Now the people endeavoured conflantly to have those meetings by curias which had been customary by centuries; and by tribes, those they used to have before by curias; by which means, the direct on of public affairs foon de-

volved from the patricians to the plebeians.

Thus when the plebeians obtained the power of judging the patricians, a power which commenced in the affair of Coriolanus +, the plebeians infifted upon judging them by affemblies in tribes t, and not in centuries: And when the new magistracies of tribunes and ædiles were established in favour of the people, the latter obtained that they should meet by curias in order to nominate them; and after their power was quite fettled, they gained | fo far their point as to assemble by tribes to proceed to this nomination.

CHAP. XV.

In what Manner Rome, while in the flourishing State of the Republic fuddenly loft its Liberty.

In the heat of the contests between the patricians and the plebeians, the latter infifted upon having fixed laws, to the end that the public judgments should no longer be the

^{*} Dionys. Halicarn. book ix. p. 598.

⁺ Ibid. book vii.

Contrary to the ancient custom, as may be feen in Dionys. Halicarn. boo v. p 320.
§ Dionyf. Halicarn. book v'. p. 410. aud 411.

[|] See Dionyf. Halicarn. book ix. p. 605.

the effect of a capricious will, or of an arbitrary power. The senate, after a great deal of refistance, acquiesced; and decemvirs were nominated to compose those laws. It was thought proper to grant them an extraordinary power, because they were to give laws to parties whose views and interests it was almost impossible to unite. The nomination of all magistrates was suspended, and they were chosen in the comitia fole administrators of the republic. Thus they found themselves invested with the consular and tribunician power. By one they had the privilege of affembling the fenate, by the other that of affembling the people. But they assembled neither senate nor people. Ten men only in the republic had the whole legislative, the whole executive, and the whole judiciary power. Rome faw herfelf enflaved by as cruel a tyranny as that of Tarquin. When Tarquin exercised his oppressions, Rome was feized with indignation at the power he had usurped; when the decemvirs exercised theirs, she was astonished at the power she had given.

But what a strange system of tyranny! a tyranny carried on by men who had obtained the political and military power merely because of their knowledge in civil assairs; and who, in the circumstances of that very time, stood in need of the cowardice of the citizens to let themseves be insulted at home, and of their courage to protect them

abroad?

The spectacle of Virginia's death, whom her father immolated to chastity and liberty, put an end to the power of the decemvirs. Every man became free, because every man had been injured; each shewed himself a citizen, because each had the tie of a parent. The senate and people resumed a liberty which had been committed to ridiculous

tyrants.

No people were fo easily moved with spectacles as the Romans. The bloody body of Lucretia put an end to the regal government. The debtor who appeared in the public market-place covered with wounds, caused an alteration in the form of the republic. The decemvirs owed their expulsion to the fight of Virginia. To condemn Manlius, it was necessary to keep the people from seing the capitol. Cæsar's bloody garment slung Rome again into slavery.

CHAP.

trand transfer CHAP. TXVI raced tilguods saw because they were to give laws to partie it wise views

The tenato, siter a great deal of relitaren, acquiriced, and decembins were nominated to epitipole trole laws. The

Of the Legislative Powers in the Roman Republic, no true a batterio del escribiro de la constante

THERE were no rights to contest, under the decemvirs: but upon the restoration of liberty, jealousies revived; and as long as the patricians had any privileges left, they were fure to be stripped of them by the plebeians.

The mischief would not have been so great, had the plebeians been fatisfied with depriving the patricians of their prerogatives; but they also injured them as citizens. When the people affembled by curias or centuries, they were composed of senators, patricians, and plebeians. In their disputes the plebeians gained this point *, that they alone, without patricians or fenate, should enact laws called plebifcita: and the comitia in which they were made, had the name given them of comitia by tribes. Thus there were cases, in which the patricians + had no share in the legislative power, and ‡ in which they were subject to the legislation of another body of the state. This was the highest extravagance of liberty. The people, to establish a democracy, acted against the very principles of this government. One would have imagined, that so exorbitant a power must have destroyed the authority of the fenate. But Rome had admirable inflitutions. Two of these were especially remarkable; one by which the legislative power of the people was regulated, and the other by which it was limited.

The cenfors, and before them the confuls &, formed and created

^{*} Dionys. Halicarn. book 11. p. 725.

⁺ By the facred laws the plebeians had a power of making the plebifcita by themselves, without admitting the patricians into their assembly. D:-

onys. Halicarn. book 6. p. 410. & book 7. p. 430.

† By the law made after the expulsion of the decemvirs, the patricians were made subject to the plebiscita, though they had not a right of voting there. Livy, book 3. and Dionys. Halicarn. book 11. p. 725. This law was confirmed by that of Publius Philo, the dictator, in the year of Rome 416.

In the year 312 of Rome, the confuls performed still the business of furveying the people and their estates, as appears by Dionys. Halicarr. book 11.

created as it were, every five years, the body of the people; they exercised the legislation on the very body that was possessed of the legislative power. "Tiberius Gracchus," says Cicero, "caused the freedmen to be admitted into the tribes of the city, not by the force of his eloquence, but by a word, by a gesture; which had he not effected, the republic, whose drooping head we are at present

" fcarce able to uphold, would not even exist,"

On the other hand, the fenate had the power of rescuing, as it were, the republic out of the hands of the people, by creating a dictator, before whom the sovereign bowed his head, and the most popular laws were filent †.

CHAP. XVII.

Of the executive Power in the fame Republic.

JEALOUS as the people were of their legislative power, yet they had no great jealousy of the executive. This they lest almost entirely to the senate and to the confuls, reserving scarce any thing more to themselves, than the right of chusing the magistrates, and of confirming the acts of the senate and of the generals.

Rome, whose passion was to command, whose ambition was to conquer, whose commencement and progress were one continued usurpation, had constantly affairs of the greatest weight upon her hands; her enemies were always

conspiring against her, or she against her enemies.

As she was obliged to behave on the one hand with heric courage, and on the other with consummate prudence; the situation of things required of course that the management of affairs should be committed to the senate. Thus the people disputed every branch of the legislative power with the senate, because they were jealous of their liberty; but they had no disputes about the executive, because they were jealous of their glory.

So great was the fhare the fenate took in the executive power,

[†] Such as those, by which it was allowed to appeal from the decisions of all the magistrates to the people.

power, that, as Polybins * informs us, foreign nations imagined that Rome was an arifforacy. The fena e disposed of the public money, and farmed out the revenue; they were arbiters of the affairs of their allies; they determined war or peace, and directed in this respect the consuls; they fixed the number of the Roman and of the allied troops, disposed of the provinces and armies to the consuls or prætors, and, upon the expiration of the year of command, had the power of appointing successours; they decreed triumphs, received and sent embassies; they nominated, rewarded, punished, and were judges of kings; gave them, or declared they had foreseited, the title of allies of the Roman people.

The confuls levied the troops which they were to carry into the field: they had the command of forces by fea and land; disposed of the allies; were invested with the whole power of the republic in the provinces; gave peace to the vanquished nations, imposed conditions on them, or referred them to the senate.

In the earliest times, when the people had some share in the affairs relating to war and peace, they exercised rather their legislative than their executive power. They scarce did any thing else but consirm the acts of the kings, and after their expulsion, of the consuls or senate. So far were they from being the arbiters of war, that we have instances of its having been often declared notwithstanding the opposition of their tribunes. But growing wanton in their prosperity, they increased their executive power. Thus they * created the military tribunes, the nomination of whom till then had belonged to the generals; and some time before the first punic war they decreed that themselves only should have the right † of declaring war.

CHAP.

^{*} Book 6

[†] In the year of Rome 444. Livy decad. 1. book 9. As the war against Perseus appeared somewhat dangerous, it was ordained by a senatusconsultum, that this law should be suspended, and the people agreed to it. Livy dec. 3. book 2.

[‡] They extorted it from the fenate, faye Freinshemius, dec. book 6.

The judges decided only the queltions relating to facts, for example, where illvx . A A D what bere paid of

can be wrede now to flagjend, amounts pretty near to flag

Of the judiciary Power in the Roman Government,

THE judiciary power was given to the people, to the fenate to the magistrates, and to particular judges. We must see in what manner it was distributed; beginning with their civil affairs.

The confuls had * the power of judging after the expulfion of the kings, as the prætors were judges after the confuls. Servius Tullius had divested himself of the judgment of civil affairs, which was not resumed by the confuls, except in + some very rare cases, for that reason called extraordinary ‡. They were fatisfied with naming the judges, and with forming the several tribunals. By a discourse of Appius Claudius, in Dionysius Halicarnassus ||, it appears, that as early as the 259th year of Rome, this was looked upon as an established custom among the Romans, and it is not tracing it very high to refer it to Servius Tullius.

Every year the prætor made a list § of such as he chose to discharge the office of judges during his magistracy. A sufficient number was pitched upon for each cause; a custom very near the same as that which is now practised in England. And what was extremely savourable to liberty ¶, was the prætors sixing the judges with the ‡ consent of the parties. The great number of exceptions that

There is no manner of doubt, but the confuls had the power of judging civil affairs before the creation of the prætors. See Livy, dec. book 2. p. 19. Dionys. Halicarn. book 10, p. 627. and the same book p. 645.

[†] The tribunes frequently judged by themselves only, but nothing rendered them more odious. Dionys. Halicarn. book xi. p. 709.

Judicia extraordinaria. See the Institutes book iv.

Book 6. p. 360.

Album judicum.

¶ "Our ancestors," fays Cicero pro Ciuentio, would not suffer any man,

"whom the parties had not agreed to, to be judge of the least pecuniary

"affair, much less of a citizen's reputation."

⁴ See in the fragments of the Servilian, Cornelian, and other laws, in what manner these laws appointed judges for the crimes they proposed to punish. They were often by choice, sometimes by lot, or, in tine, by lot mixed together with choice.

can be made now in England, amounts pretty near to this

very custom.

The judges decided only the questions * relating to facts, for example, whether a fum of money had been paid or not, whether an act had been committed or not. But as to questions of + right, as they required some fort of capacity, they were always carried before the tribunal of the centumvirs 1.

The kings referved to themselves the judgment of criminal affairs, and in this they were fucceeded by the confuls. It was in consequence of this authority that Brutus the conful put his children and all those who were concerned in the Tarquinian conspiracy to death. This was The confuls, already invested an exorbitant power. with the military command, extended the exercise of it even to civil affairs; and their procedures being stripped of all forms of justice, were rather exertions of violence than legal judgments.

This gave rife to the Valerian law, by which it was made lawful to appeal to the people from every ordinance of the confuls that endangered the life of a citizen. The confuls after this had no longer power of pronouncing fentence in capital cases against a Roman citizen without

the confent of the people ||.

We see in the first conspiracy for the restoration of the Tarquins, that the criminals were tried by Brutus the conful; in the second the senate and comitia were assem-

bled to try them .

The laws diffinguished by the name of Sacred, allowed the plebeians the privilege of chusing tribunes; by this means a body was formed, whose pretensions at first were immenfe. It is hard to determine which was greater, the infolence of the plebeians in demanding, or the condescenfion of the fenate in granting. The Valerian law allowed of appeals to the people, that is, to the people composed of fenators, patricians, and plebeians. The plebeians made a law that appeals should be brought before themselves.

^{*} Seneca de benefic. lib. iii. cap. 7. in fine.

[†] See Quintilian, lib 4. p. 52. in fol. edit. of Paris, 1541.

† Leg, 2. ff. de orig. jur. Magistrates who were called decemvirs presided in court, the whole under a prator's direction.

| Quoniam de capite civis Romani, injustu populi Romani, non erat permissant consultants jus dicere. See Pomponius, leg. 2. ff. de orig. jur.

[§] Dionyf. Halicarn. book 5. p. 322.

A question was soon after started, whether the plebeians had a right to judge a patrician: this was the subject of a dispute, which the affair of Coriolanus gave rise to, and which ended with that affair. When Coriolanus was accused by the tribunes before the people, he insisted contrary to the spirit of the Valerian law, that as he was a patrician, none but the consuls had a power to judge him; on the other hand, the plebeians, also contrary to the spirit of that very same law, pretended that none but themselves had a power to judge him, and they judged him accordingly.

This was moderated by the law of the twelve tables; whereby it was ordained, that none but the great affemblies of the people * should pronounce sentence against a cicizen in capital cases. Hence the body of the plebeians, or which amounts to the very same, the comitia by tribes had no longer any power of judging crimes, except such as were punished with a pecuniary mulct. To instict a capital punishment a law was requisite; but to condemn to a pecuniary sine, there was occasion only for a plebiscitum.

This regulation of the law of the twelve tables was very prudent. It produced an admirable reconciliation between the body of the plebeians and the fenate. For as the full judiciary power of both depended on the greatness of the punishment and the nature of the crime, it was ne-

ceffary they should both agree.

The Valerian law abolished all the remains of the Roman government, which were any way relative to that of the kings of the heroic times of Greece. The confuls were divested of the power to punish crimes. Though all crimes are public, yet we must distinguish between those which more nearly concern the mutual communication of citizens, and those which more nearly interest the state in the relation it has to its subjects. The first are called private, the second public. The latter were judged by the people; and in regard to the former, they named by particular commission, a quæstor for the prosecution of each crime. The person chosen by the people was frequently one of the magistrates, and sometimes a private man. He

^{*} The comitia by centuries. Thus Manlius Capitolinus was judged in these comitia. Livy, dea I, book vi. p. 60.

was called the quæstor of parricide, and is mentioned in the law of the twelve tables *.

The quæstor nominated the judge of the question, who drew lots for the judges, and formed the tribunal, under

which he prefided +.

Here it is proper to observe what share the senate had in the nomination of the quæstor, that we may see how far the two powers were balanced in this respect. Sometimes the senate caused a dictator to be chosen in order to exercise the office of quæstor t, sometimes they ordained that the people should be convened by a tribune in order to proceed to the nomination of a quæstor | : and in fine, the people fometimes appointed a magistrate to make his report to the senate concerning a particular crime, and to defire them to name a quæstor, as may be seen in the judgment of Lucius Scipio § in Livy ¶.

In the year of Rome 604, some of those commissions were rendered permanent **. All criminal causes were gradually divided into different parts, to which they gave the name of perpetual questions. Different prætors were created, to each of whom some of those questions were affigned. They had a power conferred upon them for the term of a year, of judging fuch crimes as were any way relative to those questions, and then they were sent to go-

vern their province.

At Carthage, the fenate of the hundred was composed of judges that enjoyed that dignity for life +. But at Rome, the prætors were annual, and the judges were not even for fo long a term, but were nominated for each cause. We have already shewn in the fixth chapter of this book, how favourable this regulation was to liberty in particular governments.

Vol. I.

Pomponius, in the second law digest. de orig. jur. + See a fragment of Ulpian, who gives another of the Cornelian law; it is to be met with in the collection of the Mosaic and Roman laws, tit. 1. de sicariis & bomicidiis.

† This took place especially in regard to crimes committed in Italy, which were subject chiefly to the inspection of the senate. See Livy dec. 1. book ix, concerning the conspiracies of Capua.

This was the case in the prosecution for the murder of Posthumius, in the year 340 of Rome. See Livy.

\$ This judgment was given in the year of Rome 567. This is proved, from Livy, book 43. who fays that Hannibal rendered their magistracy annual.

The judges were chosen from the order of senators, till the time of the Gracchi. Tiberius Gracchus caused a law to pass, that they should be taken from the equestrian order; a change so very considerable, that the tribune boasted of having cut, by one rogation only, the sinews of the

fenatorian dignity.

It is necessary to observe, that the three powers may be very well distributed in regard to the liberty of the constitution, tho' not so well in respect to the liberty of the fubject. At Rome, the people had the greatest share of the legislative, part of the executive, and part of the judiciary power; by which means they had fo great a weight in the government, as required some other power to balance it. The fenate indeed had part of the executive power, and some share of the legislative *; but this was not sufficient to counterbalance the weight of the people. It was necessary that they should have a share in the judiciary power: and accordingly they had a share, when the judges were chosen from among the fenators. But when the Gracchi deprived the fenators of the power of judging +, the fenate were no longer able to withfrand the To favour therefore the liberty of the subject, they struck at the liberty of the constitution: but the former perished with the latter.

Infinite were the mischiefs that from thence arose. The constitution was changed at a time when the fire of civil discords had scarce left any such thing as a constitution. The knights were no longer that middle order which united the people to the senate; the chain of the constitution

was broke.

There were even particular reasons against transferring the judiciary power to the equestrian order. The constitution of Rome was founded on this principle, that none should be enlisted as soldiers, but such as were men of sufficient property to answer for their conduct to the republic. The knights, as persons of the greatest property, formed the cavalry of the legions. But when their dignity increased, they refused to serve in that capacity; and another kind of cavalry was obliged to be raised: Thus Maring

^{*} The fenatusconsulta were of force for the space of a year, though not confirmed by the people. Dionys. Halicarn. 1. 9. p. 595. & 1. 11. p. 735.
† In the year 630.

the

rius inlifted all forts of people into his army, and foon

after the republic was loft *.

Besides, the knights were the farmers of the public revenues; a set of rapacious men, who sowed new miseries amongst a miserable people, and made a sport of the public calamity. Instead of giving to such men as those the power of judging, they ought to have been constantly under the eye of the judges. This we must say in commendation of the ancient French laws; they have stipulated with the officers of the revenues, with as great a dissidence as would be observed between enemies. When the judiciary power at Rome was transferred to the farmers of the revenues, there was then an end of virtue, policy, laws, magistracy, and magistrates.

Of this we find a very ingenious description in some fragments of Diodorus Siculus and Dio. "Mucius "Scævola, (says Diodorus +,) wanted to revive the ancient morals, and the laudable custom of sober and frugal living. For his predecessors having entered into a contract with the farmers of the revenue, who at that time were possessed of the judiciary power at Rome, they had filled the province with all manner of crimes. But Scævola made an example of the publicans, and imprisoned those who had sent others to prison."

Dio informs us ‡, that Publius Rutilius, his lieutenant, was equally obnoxious to the equestrian order, and that, upon his return, they accused him of having received some presents, and condemned him to a fine, upon which he instantly made a cession of his goods. His innocence appeared in this, that he was found to be worth a great deal less than what he was charged with having extorted, and that he shewed a just title to what he possessed: But he would not live any longer in the same city with such profligate wretches.

§ The Italians, fays Diodorus again, bought up whole droves of slaves in Sicily, to till their lands, and to take care of their cattle; but refused them a necessary subsistence. These wretches were then forced to go and rob on

* Capitæ cenfos plerofque. Salluft. de bello Jug.

N 2

[†] Fragment of this author, book 36. in the collection of Constantine Porphyrogenitus of virtues and vices.

Fragment of his history, taken from the extract of virtues and vices.

Fragment of the 34th book, in the extract of virtues and vices.

the highways, armed with lances and clubs, covered with beafts fkins, and followed by large mastiff-dogs. Thus the whole province was laid waste, and the inhabitants could not call any thing their own, but what was fecured within the walls of towns. There was neither proconful nor prætor, that could or would oppose this disorder, or that prefumed to punish these slaves, because they belonged to the knights, who at Rome were possessed of the judiciary power *. And yet this was one of the causes of the war of the flaves. But I shall add only one word more. A profession that neither has, nor can have any other view than lucre, a profession that was always forming fresh demands, without ever granting any, a deaf and inexorable profession, that impoverished the rich, and increased even the misery of the poor; such a profession, I fay, should never have been intrusted with the judiciary power at Rome.

CHAP. XIX.

Of the Government of the Roman Provinces.

Such was the distribution of the three powers in Rome. But they were far from being thus distributed in the provinces: Liberty was at the centre, and tyranny in the ex-

treme parts.

While Rome extended her dominions no farther than Italy, the people were governed as confederates; and the laws of each republic were preferved. But as foon as the enlarged her conquests, and the senate had no longer an immediate inspection over the provinces, nor the magistrates residing at Rome were any longer capable of governing the empire, they were obliged to send prætors and proconfuls. Then it was that the harmony of the three powers was lost. Those who were sent on that errand, were intrusted with a power which comprehended that of all the Roman magistracies; nay even that of the senate and of the

^{*} Penes quos Romæ tum judicia erant, atque ex equestri ordine solerent sortito judices eligi in causa prætorum et proconsulum, quibus post admin istratam provinciam dies dicta erat.

the people *. They were despotic magistrates, extremely proper for the distance of the places to which they were sent. They exercised the three powers; being, if I may presume to use the expression, the bashaws of the republic.

We have elsewhere observed, that in a commonwealth the same magistrate ought to be possessed of the executive power, as well civil as military. To this it is owing that a conquering republic can hardly communicate her government, and rule the conquered state according to the form of her own constitution. In fact, as the magistrate she sends to govern is invested with the executive power, both civil and military, he must also have the legislative: For who is it that could make laws without him? He must likewise have the judiciary power: For who could pretend to judge independently of him? It is necessary therefore that the governour she sends be intrusted with the three powers, as was practised in the Roman provinces.

It is more easy for a monarchy to communicate its government, because the officers it sends, have, some the civil executive, and others the military executive power; which

does not necessarily imply a despotic authority.

It was a privilege of the utmost consequence to a Roman citizen, to have none but the people for his judges. Were it not for this, he would have been subject in the provinces to the arbitrary power of a proconful or of a proprætor. The city never felt the tyranny, which was exercised only on conquered nations.

Thus in the Roman world, as at Sparta, those who were free were extremely so, while those who were slaves labour-

ed under the extremity of flavery.

While the citizens paid taxes, they were raised with great justice and equality. The regulation of Servius Tullius was observed, who had distributed the people into fix classes according to their difference of property, and fixed the several shares of the public taxes in proportion to that which each person had in the government. Hence they bore with the greatness of the tax because of their proportionable greatness of credit, and consoled themselves for the small-ness of their credit, because of the smallness of the tax.

There was also another thing worthy of admiration, which is, that as Servius Tullius's division into classes was in

^{*} They made their edicts upon coming into the provinces.

in some measure the fundamental principle of the constitution, it thence followed that an equal levying of the caxes was so connected with this fundamental principle, that the one could not be abolished without the other.

But while the city paid the taxes without trouble, or paid none at all *, the provinces were plundered by the knights, who were the farmers of the public revenues. We have already made mention of their oppressive extertions, with which all history abounds.

"All Afia, (fays Mithridates +,) expects me as its de"liverer; fo great is the hatred which the rapaciousness
"of the proconfuls ‡, the confiscations made by the officers of the revenue, and the quirks and cavils of judicial proceedings ||, have excited against the Romans."

Hence it was that the strength of the provinces made no addition to, but rather weakened the strength of the republic. Hence it was that the provinces looked upon the loss of the liberty of Rome as the epocha of their own freedom.

CHAP. XX.

End of this Book.

I SHOULD be glad to inquire into the distribution of the three powers, in all the moderate governments we are acquainted with, and to calculate thereby the degrees of liberty which each may enjoy. But we must not always exhaust a subject so far, as to leave no work at all for the reader. My business is not to make people read, but to make them think.

BOOK

A STATE OF THE STA

NO TORNAD MARKONIAN

^{*} After the conquest of Macedonia the Romans paid no taxes.

[†] Speech taken from Trogus Pompeius, and related by Justin, book 38,

^{\$} See the orations against Verres.

| It is well known what fort of a tribunal was that of Varus which provoked the Germans to revolt.

BOOK XII.

OF THE LAWS THAT FORM POLITICAL LIBERTY AS RE-LATIVE TO THE SUBJECT.

CHAP. I.

Idea of this Book.

IT is not fufficient to have treated of political liberty as relative to the conflitution; we must examine it likewise in the relation it bears to the subject.

We have observed, that in the first case it is formed by a certain distribution of the three powers: But in the fecond we must consider it under another idea. It consists in fecurity, or in the opinion people have of their fecurity.

The constitution may happen to be free, and the subject The subject may be free, and not the constitution. In those cases, the constitution will be free by right and not in fact, the subject will be free in fact, and not by right.

It is the disposition only of the laws, and even of the fundamental laws, that constitutes liberty in its relation to the constitution. But as it relates to the subject; morals, customs, or received examples may give rife to it, and particular civil laws may favour it, as we shall prefently fee in this book.

Farther, as in most states, liberty is more checked or depressed than their constitution demands, it is proper to treat of the particular laws that in each constitution are apt to affift or check the principle of liberty, which each

N 4

state is capable of receiving.

CHAP

CHAP. II.

Of the Liberty of the Subject.

PHILOSOPHICAL liberty confifts in the free exercise of the will; or at least, if we must speak agreeable to all systems, in an opinion that we have the free exercise of our will. Political liberty confists in security, or at least in the opinion that we enjoy security.

This fecurity is never more dangerously attacked than in public or private accusations. It is therefore on the goodness of criminal laws that the liberty of the subject principally depends.

Criminal laws did not receive their full perfection all at once. Even in places where liberty has been most fought after, it has not been always found. Aristotle *. informs us, that at Cumæ the parents of the accuser might be witnesses. So imperfect was the law under the kings of Rome, that Servius Tullius pronounced fentence against the children of Ancus Marcius, who were charged with having affaffinated the king his father-in-law +. Under the first kings of France, Clotarius made a law t, that nobody should be condemned without being heard; which shews that a contrary custom had prevailed in some particular case, or among some barbarous people. It was Charondas that first established penalties against false wit-When the subject has no fence to secure his inneffes ||. necesses, he has none for his liberty.

The knowledge already acquired in some countries, or that may be hereafter attained in others, in regard to the furest rules that can be observed in criminal judgments, is more interesting to mankind than any other thing in the universe.

Liberty can only be founded on the practice of this knowledge: And supposing a state to have the best laws imaginable

^{*} Politics, book 2.

[†] Tarquinius Priscus. See Dionysius Halicarn. book 4.

As early as the year 560.

Aristot. Polit. book 2. chap. 12. He gave his laws at Thurium, in the 84th Olympiad.

imaginable in this respect, a person tried under that state, and condemned to be hanged the next day, would have much more liberty, than a bashaw enjoys in Turky.

CHAP. III.

The fame Subject continued.

THOSE laws which condemn a man to death on the depofition of a fingle witness, are fatal to liberty. In right reason there should be two, because a witness who affirms, and the accused who denies, make an equal balance, and a third must incline the scale.

The Greeks * and Romans + required one voice more to condemn: But our French law infilts upon two. The Greeks pretended that their custom was established by the gods 1; but this more justly may be said of ours.

CHAP. IV.

That Liberty is favoured by the Nature and Proportion of Punishments.

LIBERTY is in its highest perfection, when criminal laws derive each punishment from the particular nature of the crime. There are then no arbitrary decisions; the punishment does not flow from the capriciousness of the legislator, but from the very nature of the thing; and man uses no violence to man.

There are four forts of crimes. Those of the first species are prejudicial to religion, the second to morals, the third to the public tranquillity, and the fourth to the security of the subject. The punishments inslicted for these crimes ought to proceed from the nature of each of these species.

In the class of crimes that concern religion, I rank only those which attack it directly, such as all simple sacrileges.

^{*} See Aristid. orat. in Minervam.

⁺ Dionys. Halicarn. on the judgment of Coriolanus, book 7.

Minervæ calculus.

For as to crimes that disturb the exercise of it, they are of the nature of those which prejudise the tranquillity or security of the subject, and ought to be referred to those classes.

• In order to derive the punishment of simple facrileges from the nature of the thing *, it should consist in depriving people of the advantages conferred by religion, in expelling them out of the temples, in a temporary or perpetual exclusion from the society of the faithful, in shunning their presence, in execrations, detestations, and conjurations.

In things that prejudife the tranquillity or fecurity of the state, secret actions are subject to human jurisdiction. But in those which offend the Deity, where there is no public action, there can be no criminal matter; the whole passes betwixt man and God, who knows the measure and time of his vengeance. Now, if magistrates, confounding things, should inquire also into hidden sacrileges, this inquisition would be directed to a kind of action that does not at all require it; the liberty of the subject would be subverted by arming the zeal of timorous, as well as of presumptuous consciences against him.

The mischief arises from a notion which some people have entertained of revenging the cause of the Deity. But we must honour the Deity, and leve him to avenge his own cause. In essect, were we to be directed by such a notion, where would be the end of punishments? If human laws are to avenge the cause of an infinite Being, they will be directed by his infinity, and not by the ignorance

and caprice of man.

An historian + of Provence relates a fact, which furnishes us with an excellent description of the consequences that may arise in weak capacities from this notion of avenging the Deity's cause. A Jew was accused of having blasphemed against the blessed Virgin; and, upon conviction, was condemned to be flayed alive. A strange spectacle was then seen: Gentlemen masked, with knives in their hands, ascended the scassold, and drove away the executioner, in order to be the avengers themselves of the honour

^{*} St. Lewis made fuch fevere laws against those who swore, that the Pope thought himself obliged to admonish him for it. This prince moderated his zeal, and softened his laws. See his ordinances.

† Father Bougerel.

honour of the bleffed Virgin-I do not here chase to an-

ticipate the reflections of the reader.

The fecond class consists of those crimes which are prejudicial to morals. Such is the violation of public or private continency, that is, of the policy directing the manner in which the pleasure annexed to the union of bodies is to be enjoyed. The punishment of those crimes ought to be also derived from the nature of the thing; the privation of such advantages as society has attached to the purity of morals,—fines, shame, necessity of concealment, public infamy, expulsion from home and society, and, in sine, all such punishments as belong to a corrective jurisdiction, are sufficient to repress the temerity of the two sexes. In effect, these things are less founded on wickedness, than on the forgetting and despising ourselves.

We speak here of none but crimes that relate merely to morals; for as to those that are also prejudicial to the public security, such as rapes and ravishments, they be-

long to the fourth species.

The crimes of the third class are those that disturb the public tranquillity. The punishments ought therefore to be derived from the nature of the thing, and to be relative to this tranquillity; such as imprisonment, exile, corrections, and other like chastisements, proper for reclaiming turbulent spirits, and reducing them to the established order.

I confine those crimes that injure the public tranquillity to things that imply a fingle transgression against the civil administration: For as to those which, by disturbing the public tranquillity, attack at the same time the security of the subject, they ought to be ranked in the fourth class.

The punishments inflicted upon the latter crimes are fuch as are properly distinguished by that name. They are a kind of retaliation, by which the society resules security to a member, who has actually or intentionally deprived another of his security. These punishments are derived from the nature of the thing, sounded on reason, and drawn from the very source of good and evil. A man deserves death when he has violated the security so far as to deprive, or to attempt to deprive another man of his life. This punishment of death is the remedy; as it were,

of a fick fociety. When there is a breach of fecurity in respect to property, there may be some reasons for inflicting a capital punishment: But it would be perhaps much better, and more natural, that crimes committed against the security of property should be punished with the loss of property, and this ought indeed to be the case, if mens fortunes were common or equal. But as those who have no property are generally the readiest to attack the property of others, it has been sound necessary, instead of a pecuniary, to substitute a corporal punishment.

All that I have here advanced, is founded in nature, and extremely favourable to the liberty of the subject.

CHAP. V.

Of certain Accusations that require particular Moderation and Prudence.

Ir is an important maxim, that we ought to be very circumfpect in the profecution of magic and herefy. The accusation of these two crimes may be vastly injurious to liberty, and productive of an infinite number of oppressions, if the legislator knows not how to set bounds to it. For as it does not aim directly at a person's actions, but at his character, it grows dangerous in proportion to the ignorance of the people; and then a man is always in danger, because the most unexceptionable conduct, the purest morals, and the constant practice of every duty in life, are not a sufficient security against the suspicion of his being guilty of crimes like these.

Under Manuel Comnenus, the protestator * was accused of having conspired against the emperour, and of having employed for that purpose some secrets that render men invisible. It is mentioned in the life of this emperour †, that Aaron was detected as he was poring over a book of Solomon's, the reading of which was sufficient to conjure up whole legions of devils. Now, by supposing a power in magic to arm all hell, people look upon a man whom they call a magician as the fittest person in the world to

trouble

+ Ibid.

^{*} Nicetas, life of Manuel Comnenus, book 4.

trouble and subvert fociety, and of course they are dispos-

ed to punish him with the utmost severity.

But their indignation increases when magic is supposed to have a power of subverting religion. The history of Constantinople * informs us, that in consequence of a revelation made to a bishop of a miracle's having ceased because of the magic practices of a certain person, both that person and his son were put to death. On how many surprising things did not this single crime depend? That revelations should not be uncommon, that the bishop should be favoured with one, that it was real, that there had been a miracle in the case, that this miracle had ceased, that there was an art magic, that magic could subvert religion, that this particular person was a magician, and, in sine, that he had committed that action of magic.

The emperour Theodorus Lascaris attributed his illness to magic. Those who were accused of this crime, had no other resource lest than to handle a hot iron without being hurt. Thus among the Greeks a person ought to have been a magician to be able to clear himself of the imputation of magic. Such was the excess of their stupidity, that, to the most dubious crime in the world, they

the most uncertain proofs.

Under the reign of Philip the Long, the Jews were expelled from France, being accused of having poisoned the springs with their lepers. So absurd an accusation ought very well to make us doubt of all those that are founded on public hatred.

I have not here afferted that herefy ought not to be punished; I faid only that we ought to be extremely circum-

spect in punishing it.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Crime against Nature.

God forbid that I should have the least inclination to diminish the horrour people have for a crime which religion, morality, and civil government, equally condemn. It ought

^{*} History of the emperour Maurice, by Theophylactus, chap. 11.

ought to be profcribed, were it only for its communicating to one fex the weaknesses of the other, and for leading people, by a scandalous profitution of their youth, to an ignominious old age. What I shall say concerning it will nowise diminish its infamy, being levelled only against the tyranny that may abuse the very horrour we ought to have for the vice.

As the nature of this crime is secrecy, there are frequent instances of its having been punished by legislators upon the deposition of a child. This was opening a very wide door to calumny. "Justinian, (says Procopius)*, "published a law against this crime; he ordered an inquiry to be made not only against those who were guilty of it, after the enacting of that law, but even before. The deposition of a single witness, sometimes of a child, sometimes of a slave, was sufficient, especially against fuch as were rich, and against those that were of the green faction."

It is very odd that these three crimes, magic, herefy, and that against nature, of which the first might easily be proved not to exist at all; the second to be succeptible of an infinite number of distinctions, interpretations, and limitations; the third to be often obscure and uncertain; it is very odd, I say, that these three crimes should amongst

us be punished with fire.

I may venture to affirm, that the crime against nature will never make any great progress in society, unless people find themselves induced to it in other respects by some particular custom, as among the Greeks where the young people performed all their exercises naked, as amongst us where domestic education is disused, as among the Asiatics where particular persons have a great number of women whom they despife, while others can have none at all. Let there be no customs preparatory to this crime; let it, like every other violation of morals, be feverely profcribed by the civil magistrate, and nature will soon be seen to defend or resume her rights. Nature, that tender, amiable, and loving parent, has strewed her pleasures with a bounteous hand, and while she fills us with delights, she prepares us for future fatisfactions of a more exquisite kind than those delights themselves.

CHAP.

[&]quot; Sarret hiftory.

CHAP. VII.

Of the Crime of High Treason.

It is determined by the laws of China, that whofoever shews any difrespect to the emperour, is to be punished with death. As they do not mention in what this' difrespect confifts, every thing may furnish a pretext to take. away a man's life, and to exterminate any family whatfoever.

Two persons of that country, who were employed to write the court-gazette, having inferted some circumstances relating to a certain fact, that were not true; it was pretended, that to tell a lie in the court-gazette was a difrespect shewn to the court, in consequence of which they were put to death *. A prince of the blood having inadvertently made fome mark on a memorial figned with the red pencil by the emperour, it was determined that he had behaved difrespectfully to that prince; which was the cause of one of the most terrible persecutions against that family that ever was recorded in history +.

If the crime of high-treason be indeterminate, this alone is sufficient to make the government degenerate into arbitrary power. I shall descant more largely on this subject, when I come to treat ‡ of the composition of laws.

CHAP. VIII.

Of the bad Application of the Name of Sacrilege and High-treason.

IT is likewise a shocking abuse to give the appellation of High-treason to an action that does not deserve it. It was decreed

Father du Halde, tom. 1. p. 43. Father Parennin in the edifying letters.

Book xxix.

decreed by an imperial law *, that those who called in question the prince's judgment, or doubted of the merit of fuch as he had chosen for a public office, should be profecuted as guilty of facrilege +. Surely it was the cabinet, council and the favourites of the court who invented that crime. By another law it was determined, that whofoever made any attempt against the ministers and officers of the prince should be deemed guilty of high-treason, as if he had attempted against the prince himself !. This law is owing to two princes &, celebrated in history for their weakness; princes who were led by their ministers as flocks by shepherds; princes who were slaves in the palace, children in the council, strangers to the army; princes, in fine, who preferved their authority only by giving it away every day. Some of those favourites confpired against their emperours. Nay, they did more, they conspired against the empire; they called in barbarous nations; and when the emperours wanted to stop their progress, the state was so enseebled, as to be under a necessity of infringing their law, and of exposing itself to the crime of high-treason in order to punish those favour-

And yet this is the very law which the judge of Monfieur de Cinq-Mars built upon ||, when endeavouring to prove that the latter was guilty of the crime of high-treafon for attempting to remove Cardinal Richlieu from the ministry, he says, "Crimes that aim at the persons of ministers, are deemed, by the imperial constitutions, of equal consequence with those which are levelled against the emperour's own person. A minister discharges his duty to his prince and to his country; to attempt therefore to remove him, is endeavouring to deprive the former of one of his arms \(\bigcap_1 \), and the latter of part of its power." If even slavery herself was to descend upon the earth, she could not speak in any other language.

^{*} Gratian, Valentinian, and Theodofius. This is the fecond in the Code decrimi. facril.

⁺ Sacrilegii instar est dubitare, on is dignos sit quem elegerit imperator. Ibid. This law served as a model to that of Roger in the constitutions of Naples, tit. 4.

[†] The 5th law ad leg. Jul. maj. Arcadius and Honorius.

^{||} Memoirs of Montefor, tom. 1.

Nam iffi pars corporis nofiri funt. The fame law of the Code ad leg-

By another law of Valentinian, Theodofius, and Arcadius*, false coiners are declared guilty of high-treason. But is not this confounding the ideas of things? Is not the very horrour of high-treason diminished, by giving that name to another crime?

CHAP. IX.

The same Subject continued.

PAULINUS having wrote to the emperour Alexander, that " he was preparing to profecute for high treason, a judge " who had decided contrary to his edict;" the emperour " answered, That under his reign there was no such thing

" as indirect high-treason +."

Faustinian wrote to the same emperour, that as he had fworn by the prince's life never to pardon his flave, he found himself thereby obliged to perpetuate his wrath, lest he should incur the guilt of high-treason. Upon which the emperour made answer, "Your fears are groundless t,

" and you are a stranger to my principles."

It was determined by a fenatusconsultum. ||, that whosoever melted down any of the emperour's statues which should happen to be rejected, should not be deemed guilty of high-treason. The emperours Severus and Antoninus wrote to Pontius I, that those who fold unconsecrated statues of the emperour, should not be charged with hightreason. The same princes wrote to Julius Cassianus, that if any person, when slinging a stone, should by chance strike one of the emperour's statues, he should not be liable to a profecution of high-treason §. The Julian law requires these forts of limitations; for, in virtue of this law, the crime of high-treason was charged not only upon those

* It is the 9th of the Code Theodos. de falfa moneta.

Etiam ex aliis causis majestatis crimina cessant meo seculo. Leg. 1. cod. ad leg. Jol. maj.
‡ Alienam fectæ meæ folicitudinem concepisti. Leg. 2. cod. ad leg. Jul.

[|] See the 4th law in ff. ad leg. Jul. maj. See the 5th law, ibid.

who melted down the emperor's statues, but likewise on those who committed any such like action *, which made it an arbitrary crime. When a number of crimes of hightreason had been established, they were obliged to distinguish the several forts. Hence Ulpian the civilian, after faying that the accusation of high-treason did not die with the criminal, adds, that this does not relate to + all the treasonable acts established by the Julian law, but only to that which implies an attempt against the empire, or against the emperour's life.

CHAP. X.

The same Subject continued.

THERE was a law passed in England under Henry VIII. by which whosoever predicted the king's death, was declared guilty of high-treason. This law was very indeterminate; the terrour of despotic power is so great, that it even turns against those who exercise it. In this king's last illness, the physicians would not venture to say he was in danger; and furely they acted very right 1.

CHAP. XI.

Of Thoughts.

MARSYAS dreamed that he had cut Dionysius's throat ||. Dionyfius put him to death, pretending that he would never have dreamed of fuch a thing by night, if he had not thought of it by day. This was a most tyrannical action; for though it had been the subject of his thoughts, yet

* Alliudve quid fimile admiserint. Leg. 6. ff. ad leg. Jul. moj. † In the last law in ff. ad leg. Jul. de adulteriis. † See Burnet's history of the Reformation,

Plutarch, life of Dienysius.

yet he had made no attempt * towards it. The laws do not take upon them to punish any other than overt acts.

CHAP. XII.

Of indifcreet Speeches.

Nothing renders the crime of high-treason more arbitrary than declaring people guilty of it for indiscreet speeches. Speech is so subject to interpretation; there is so great a difference between indiscretion and malice, and frequently so little is there of the latter in the expressions used, that the law can hardly subject people to a capital punishment for words, unless it expressly declares what words

they are which render a man guilty +.

Agin to some to to todather a used W

Words do not constitute an overt act; they remain only in idea. They generally, when considered by themselves, have no determinate fignification; for this depends on the tone in which they are uttered. It often happens, that, in repeating the same words, they have not the same meaning; this meaning depends on their connection with other things; and sometimes more is expressed by silence than by any discourse whatsoever. As there can be nothing so equivocal and ambiguous as all this; how is it possible to convert it into a crime of high-treason? Wherever this law is established; there is an end not only of liberty, but even of its very shadow.

In the manifesto of the late Czarina against the family of the Dolgorukys ‡, one of these princes is condemned to death for having uttered some indecent words concerning her person; another for having maliciously interpreted her sage regulations for the welfare of the empire, and for having offended her sacred person by disrespectful words.

Not that I pretend to diminish the indignation people ought to have against those who presume to stain the glory of their prince; what I mean is, that if despotic princes

* The thought must be joined with some fort of action.

In 1740.

[†] Si non tale sit delictum in quod vel scriptura legis descendit vel ad exemplum legis vindicandum est, says Modestinus in the seventh law, in ff. ad leg. Jul. maj.

美型市场运输信息

are willing to moderate their power, a simple correction would be more proper on these occasions, than an accusation of high-treason, a thing always terrible even to innocence itself *.

Overt acts are not things that happen every day; they are liable to the observation of a great many people; and a false charge in respect to facts may be easily detected. Words joined to an action assume the nature of this action. Thus a man who goes into a public market-place to incite the subjects to revolt, incurs the guilt of high-treason, because the words are joined to the action, and partake of its nature. It is not the words that are punished, but an action in which words are employed. They do not become criminal, but when they prepare for, accompany, or follow a criminal action: every thing is confounded, if words are construed as a capital crime, instead of considering them only as a mark of a capital crime.

The emperours, Theodosius, Arcadius, and Honorius wrote thus to Rufinus who was præfectus prætorio: "If " a man speaks amiss of our person, or government, we

- "do not for all that intend to punish him; if + he has " spoke through levity, we must despise him; if through
- " folly, we must pity him; and if he wrongs us, we must " forgive him. Wherefore, leaving things as they are, you
- " must inform us accordingly, that we may be able to
- " judge of words by persons, and that we may well con-" fider whether we ought to punish or overlook them."

CHAP. XIII.

Of Writings.

In writings there is fomething more permanent than in words; but when they are no way preparative to hightreason, they are not a subject of that crime.

And yet Augustus and Tiberius subjected satirical writ-

Nec lubricum linguæ ad pænam facile trahendum est. Modestin. in

the 7th law, in ff. ad leg. Jul. maj.
+ Si id ex levitate procefferit, contemnendum est; si ex insania miseratione digniffimum ; fi ab injuria, remittendum. Leg. unica Cod. fi quiz imperat maled.

of majetty; Augustus*, because of some libels that had been wrote against men and women of the first quality; Tiberius, because of those which he suspected to have been written against himself. Nothing was more fatal to Roman liberty. Cræmutius Cordus was accused for having called Cassius in his annals the last of the Romans †.

Satirical writings are hardly known in despotic governments, where dejection of mind on the one hand, and ignorance on the other, afford neither abilities nor will to write. In democracies they are not hindered for the very same reason, which causes them to be prohibited under the government of a single person: being generally levelled against men of power and authority, they flatter the malignity of the people who are the governing party. In monarchies they are forbidden, but rather as a subject of civil animadversion, than as a capital crime. They may amuse the general malignity, please the malcontents, diminish the envy against public employments, give the people patience to suffer, and make them laugh at their sufferings.

But no government is so averse to satirical writings as the aristocratical. There the magistrates are petty sovereigns, but not great enough to despise affronts. If in a monarchy a satirical stroke is designed against the prince, he is placed in such an eminence that it does not reach him; but an aristocratical lord is pierced to the very heart. Hence the decemvirs, who formed an aristocracy, punish-

ed fatirical writings with death ‡.

CHAP. XIV.

Breach of Modesty in punishing Crimes.

THERE are rules of modesty observed by almost every nation in the world; now, it would be very absurd to infringe

^{*} Tacitus's Annals, book 1. This continued under the following reigns. See the first law in the Code de famosis libellis.

[†] Tacit. Annal. book iv.

fringe these rules in the punishment of crimes, the principal view of which ought always to be the establishment of order.

Was it the intent of those oriental nations who exposed women to elephants trained up for an abominable kind of punishment, was it, I say, their intent to establish one law

by the breach of another?

By an ancient custom of the Romans, it was not permitted to put girls to death, till they were ripe for marriage. Tiberius found out an expedient of having them debauched by the executioner, before they were brought to the place of punishment *. Thus this bloody and subtile tyrant destroyed the morals of the people to preserve their customs.

When the magistrates of Japan caused women to be exposed naked in the market-place, and obliged them to go upon all four like beasts, modesty was shocked: but when they wanted to compel a mother —— when they wanted to force a son —— I cannot proceed; even nature herself was struck with horrour.

CHAP. XV.

Of the Infranchisement of Slaves in Order to accuse their Master.

Augustus made a law that the flaves of those who confpired against his person, should be sold to the public, that they might depose against their master ‡. Nothing ought to be neglected that may contribute to the discovery of an heinous crime; it is natural therefore that in a government where there are slaves, they should be allowed to inform; but they ought not to be admitted as witnesses.

Vindix discovered the conspiracy that had been formed in favour of Tarquin; but he was not admitted a witness against the children of Brutus. It was right to give liberty to a person who had rendered so great a service to his

country;

^{*} Suctonius in Tiberio.

[†] Collection of voyages that contributed to the establishment of the East-India company, tom. 5. part 2.

‡ Dio in Xiphilinus.

country; but it was not given him in order to enable him to render this fervice.

Thus the emperour Tacitus ordained that flaves should not be admitted as witnesses against their masters, even in the case of high-treason *: a law which was not inserted in Justinian's compilement.

CHAP. XVI.

Of Calumny in Respect to the Crime of High-treason.

To do justice to the Cæsars, they were not the first devisers of the dismal laws which they enacted. It was Sylla + that taught them that calumniators ought not to be punished; but the thing was soon carried so far as to reward them ‡.

CHAP. XVII.

Of the revealing of Conspiracies.

If thy brother the son of thy mother, or thy son, or thy daughter, or the wife of thy bosom, or thy friend, which is as thine own soul, entice thee secretly, saying, Let us go and serve other gods, thou shalt surely kill him, thou shalt stone him : This law of Deuteronomy cannot be a civil law among most of the nations known to us, because it would pave the way for all manner of wickedness.

No less severe is the law of several countries, which commands the subjects, on pain of death, to disclose conspiracies in which they are not even so much as concerned.

O 4 When

* Flavius Vopiscus in his life.

† Sylla made a law of majesty, which is mentioned in Cicero's orations

pro Cluentio, art. 3. in Pisonem, art. 21. 2d against Verres, art. 5. familiar

epistles, book 3. letter 11. Cæsar and Augustus inserted them in the Julian
laws; others made additions to them.

‡ Et quo quis distinctior accusator, eo magis honores assequebatur, ac yeluti sacrosanctus erat. Tacit.

Duteron. chap. xiii. ver. 6.

When fuch a law is established in a monarchical government, it is very proper it should be under some restrictions.

It ought not to be applied in its full severity, but to the strongest cases of high-treason. In those countries it is of the utmost importance not to confound the different degrees of this crime. In Japan where the laws subvert every idea of human reason, the crime of concealment is applied even to the most ordinary cases.

A certain relation * makes mention of two young ladies, who were shut up for life in a box thick set with pointed nails, one for having had a love-intrigue, and the other for not disclosing it.

CHAP. XVIII.

How dangerous it is in Republics to be too severe in punishing the Crime of High-treason.

As foon as a republic has compassed the destruction of those who wanted to subvert it, there should be an end of examples, punishments, and even of rewards.

Great punishments, and consequently great changes, cannot take place, without investing some citizens with too great a power. It is therefore more advisable in this case to exceed in lenity, than in severity; to banish but sew, rather than many; and to leave them their estates, rather than to make a great number of confiscations. Under pretence of avenging the republic's cause, the avengers would establish tyranny. The business is not to destroy the rebel, but the rebellion. They ought to return as quick as possible into the usual track of government, in which every one is protected by the laws, and no one oppressed.

We find in Appian +, the edict and formula of the profcriptions. One would imagine that they had no other aim than the good of the republic, fo coolly they fpeak, fo many advantages they point out, fo preferable are the means they take to others, fuch fecurity they promife to the rich, fuch tranquillity to the poor, fo afraid they feem

+ Of the civil wars, book iv.

^{*} Collection of voyages that contributed to the establishment of the East-India company, p. 423. book v. part 2.

to be of endangering the lives of the subjects, so desirous of appealing the foldiers: a dreadful example, which shews how near fevere punishments border upon tyranny.

The Greeks fet no bounds to the vengeance they took of tyrants, or of those they suspected of tyranny; they put their children to death *, nay sometimes five of their nearest relations +; and they proscribed an infinite number of families. By this means their republics suffered the most violent shocks; exiles, or the return of the exiled, were always epochas that indicated a change of the conflitution.

The Romans had more fense. When Cassius was put to death for having aimed at tyranny, the question was proposed, whether his children should undergo the same fate? But they were preferved, "They," fays Dionyfius Halicarnassus t, " who wanted to change this law at the " end of the Marsian and civil wars, and to exclude from " public offices the children of those who had been pro-" fcribed by Sylla, are very much to blame."

CHAP. XIX.

In what Manner the Use of Liberty is suspended in a Republic.

In countries where liberty is most esteemed, there are laws by which a fingle person is deprived of it, in order to preserve it for the whole community. Such are in England what they call bills of attainder §. These are relative to those Athenian laws by which a private person was condemned f, provided they were made by unanimous fuffrage of fix thousand citizens. They are relative also

^{*} Dionys. Halicarn. Roman antiquities book viii.

[†] Tyranno occiso, quinque ejus proximus cognatione magistratus necato. Cic. de invent lib. 2.

Book viii. p. 547.
The author of the Continuation of Rapin Thoyras defines a bill of attainder, a fentence, which, upon being approved by the two houses, and figned by the king, paffes into an act, whereby the party accused is declared guilty of high-treason without any other formality, and without appeal.

Legem de singulari aliquo ne rogato, nisi sex millibus ita visum. Ex andocide de mysteriis. This is what they called Oftracism.

to those laws which were made at Rome against private citizens, and were called privileges*. These were never passed but in the great meetings of the people. But in what manner soever they are enacted, Cicero is for having them abolished, because the force of law consists in its being made for the whole community †. I must own, notwithstanding, that the practice of the freest nation that ever existed, induces me to think that there are cases in which a veil should be drawn for a while over liberty, as it was customary to veil the statues of the gods.

CHAP. XX.

Of Laws favourable to the Liberty of the Subject in a Republic.

In popular governments it often happens that accusations are carried on in public, and every man is allowed to accuse whomsoever he pleases. This rendered it necessary to establish proper laws, in order to protect the innocence of the subject. At Athens, if an accuser had not the fifth part of the votes on his side, he was obliged to pay a fine of a thousand drachms. Æschines, who accused Ctesiphon, was condemned to pay this sine. At Rome a salse accuser was branded with insamy ||, by marking the letter K on his forchead. Guards were also appointed to watch the accuser, in order to prevent his corrupting either the judges or the witnesses.

I have already taken notice of that Athenian and Roman law, by which the party accused was allowed to withdraw before judgment was pronounced.

CHAP.

^{*} De privis hominibus latæ. Cicero de leg. lib. 3.

[†] Scitum est justum in omnes. Cicero, icid. See Philostratus, book I. Lives of the Sophists, life of Æschines. See likewise Plutarch and Photius.

By the Remmian law.
Plutarch, in a treatife, intitled, How a person may reap advantage from his cremies.

whoevalener looser cher die enderies Grevene en having CHAP. XXI.

entirent mid-were colled problem in their war born

was in you do not have to man more stagened with the reciprochar Of the Cruelty of Laws in respect to Debtors in a Republic.

GREAT is the superiority which one fellow-subject has already over another, by lending him money, which the latter borrows in order to spend, and of course has no longer in his possession. What must be the consequence, if the laws of a republic make a farther addition to this fervitude and subjection?

At Athens and Rome *, it was at first permitted to fell fuch debtors as were infolvent. Solon redreffed this abuse at Athens +, by ordaining that no man's body fhould anfwer for his civil debts, But the decemvirs ‡ did not reform the same custom at Rome; and though they had Solon's regulation before their eyes, yet they did not chuse to follow it. This is not the only passage of the law of the twelve tables, in which the decemvirs shew their defign of checking the spirit of democracy.

Often did those cruel laws against debtors throw the Roman republic into danger. A man all covered with wounds, made his escape from his creditor's house, and appeared in the forum |. The people were moved with this spectacle. and other citizens whom their creditors durst no longer confine, emerged from their dungeons. They had promifes made them which were all broke. The people upon this having withdrawn to the facred mount, obtained, not an abrogation of those laws, but a magistrate to defend them. Thus they quitted a state of anarchy, but were foon in danger of falling into tyranny. Manlius, to render himself popular, was going to set those citizens at liberty, who had been reduced to flavery by their inhuman creditors §. Manlius's defigns were prevented, but with-

^{*} A great many fold their children to pay their debts. Plutarob, life of

⁺ Plutarch, life of Solon.

It appears from hiltory that this cultom was eliablished among the Romans before the law of the twelve tables. Livy, dec. 1. book 2.

Dionys. Halicarn. Rom. Antiq. book 6.

⁶ Plutarch, life of Furius Camillus.

out remedying the evil. Particular laws facilitated to debtors the means of paying *, and in the year of Rome 428 the confuls proposed a law †, which deprived creditors of the power of confining debtors in their own houses ‡. An usurer, by name Papirius, attempted to corrupt the chastity of a young man named Publius, whom he kept in irons. Sextus's crime gave to Rome its political liberty; that of Papirius gave it also the civil.

Such was the fate of this city, that new crimes confirmed the liberty, which those of a more ancient date had protured it. Appius's attempt upon Virginia, flung the people again into that horrour against tyrants with which the missortune of Lucretia had sirst inspired them. Thirty-seven years after the || crime of the insamous Papirius, an action of the like criminal nature \(\frac{1}{2} \), was the cause of the people's retiring to the \(\frac{1}{2} \) aniculum \(\frac{1}{2} \), and of giving new vigour to the law made for the safety of debtors.

After that time creditors were oftner profecuted by debtors for having violated the laws against usury, than

the latter were fued for refuling to pay them.

CHAP. XXII.

Of Things that firike at Liberty in Monarchies.

LIBERTY has been often weakened in monarchies by a thing of the least use in the world to the prince: This is, the naming of the commissioners to try a private person.

The prince himself derives so very little advantage from those commissioners, that it is not worth while to change for

* See what follows in the 24th chapter of the book of laws as relative to the use of money.

† One hundred and twenty years after the law of the twelve tables, es anno plebi Romana, velut aliud initium libertatis factum est, quod necti desterant. Liv. lib. 8.

Bona debitoris, non corpus obnoxium effet. Ibid.

The year of Rome 465.

§ That of Plautius who made an attempt on the body of Veturius. Valerius Maximus, book 6. art. 9. These two events ought not to be consounded; they are neither the same persons, nor the same times.

I See a fragment of Dionys. Halicarn in the extract of virtues and vices; Livy's epitom. book II. and Freinshemius, book II.

for their fake the common course of things. He is morally fure that he has more of the spirit of probity and justice than his commissioners, who always think themselves sufficiently justified by his orders, by a dubious interest of state, by the choice that has been made of them, and even by their very apprehensions.

Upon the arraigning of a peer under Henry VIII. it was customary to try him by a committee of the house of lords: By this means he put to death as many peers as he

pleafed.

CHAP. XXIII.

Of Spies in Monarchies.

SHOULD I be asked whether there is any necessity for spies in monarchies; my answer would be, that the usual practice of good princes is not to employ them. When a man obeys the laws, he has discharged his duty to his prince. He ought at least to have his own house for an asylum, and the rest of his conduct should be exempt from inqui-The fpying-trade might perhaps be tolerable, were it practifed by honest men; but the necessary infamy of the person is sufficient to make us judge of the infamy of the thing. A prince ought to act towards his subjects with candour, frankness, and confidence. He has so much disquiet, suspicion, and fear, as an actor embarrassed in playing his part. When he finds that the laws are generally observed and respected, he may judge himself safe. The general behaviour of the public answers for that of every individual. Let him not be aftaid: He cannot imagine how natural it is for his people to love him. And how should they do otherwise than love him? fince he is the scource of almost all the favours that are shewn; punishments being generally charged to the account of the laws. He never shews himself to his people but with a serene countenance: They have even a share of his glory, and they are protected by his power. A proof of his being beloved is, that his subjects have a confidence in him; what the minister refuses, they imagine the prince would have

have granted: Even under public calamities they do not accuse his person; they are apt to complain of his being misinformed, or beset by corrupt men: Did the prince but know, say the people; these words are a kind of invocation, and a proof of the confidence they have in his person.

CHAP. XXIV.

Of anonymous Letters.

THE Tartars are obliged to put their names to their arrows, that the arm may be known that shoots them. When Philip of Macedon was wounded at the fiege of a certain town, these words were found on the javelin, Aster has given this mortal wound to Philip *. If they who accuse a person did it merely to serve the public, they would not carry their complaint to the prince, who may be eafily prejudifed, but to the magistrates who have rules that are formidable only to calumniators. But if they are unwilling to leave the laws open between them and the accused, it is a presumption they have reason to be afraid of them; and the least punishment they ought to suffer, is not to be credited. No notice therefore should ever be taken of those letters but in cases that cannot admit of the delays of the ordinary course of justice, and where the prince's welfare is concerned. Then it may be imagined that the accuser has made an effort which has untied his tongue and made him speak. But in other cases one ought to fay with the emperour Constantius, " We can-" not suspect a person who has wanted an accuser, whilst " he did not want an enemy f."

CHAP.

^{*} Plutarch's morals, comparison of some Roman and Greek histories, tom. 2. p. 487.

† Leg. 6. code. Theod. de famos, libellis.

CHAP. XXV.

Of the Manner of governing in Monarchies.

THE royal authority is a great spring that ought to move easily and without noise. The Chinese boast of one of their emperours, who governed, they say, like heaven, that is, by his example.

There are some cases in which a sovereign ought to exert the full extent of his power; and others in which he ought to reduce it within its proper limits. The sublimity of administration consists, in knowing persectly the proper degree of power that should be exerted on different occasions.

The whole felicity of our monarchies consists in the opinion people have of the lenity of the government. A wrong-headed minister always wants to remind us of our slavery. But granting even that we are slaves, he ought to endeavour to conceal our miserable condition from us. All he can say or write, is, that the prince is uneasy, that he is surprised, and that he will set things to rights. There is a certain ease in commanding; the prince ought only to encourage, and leave the menacing part to the laws *.

CHAP. XXVI.

That in a Monarchy the Prince ought to be of easy Access.

THE utility of this maxim will appear better from the inconveniency attending the contrary practice. "Czar" Peter I. fays the Sieur Perry +, has published a new edict, by which he forbids any of his subjects to offer him a petition, till after having presented two to his officers. In case of resusal of justice, they may present him a third, but upon pain of death, if they are in the wrong.

^{*} Nerva, fays Tacitus, increased the ease of the empire.

[†] State of Russia, p. 173. Paris edition, 1717.

wrong. After this, no one ever prefumed to offer a petition to the Czar."

CHAP. XXVII.

Of the Manners of a Monarch.

THE manners of a prince contribute as much as the laws themselves to liberty; like these he may transform men into beafts, and beafts into men. If he likes free and noble fouls, he will have subjects; if he likes base dastardly fpirits, he will have flaves. Does he want to know the great art of ruling? let him call honour and virtue around his person, let him invite personal merit. He may even fometimes cast an eye on talents and abilities. Let him not be afraid of those rivals who are called men of merit; he is their equal as foon as he loves them. Let him gain the hearts of his people without bringing their spirits into subjection. Let him render himself popular; he ought to be pleased with the affection of the lowest of his subjects, for they too are men. The common people require fo very little deference, that it is fit they should be humoured; the infinite distance between the sovereign and them will furely prevent them from giving him any uneafinefs. Let him be exorable to supplication, and resolute against demands; let him be fensible, in fine, that his people have his refufals, while his courtiers enjoy his favours.

CHAP. XXVIII.

Of the Regard which Monarchs owe to their Subjects.

Princes ought to be extremely circumspect in point of raillery. It pleases when moderate, because it opens the way to familiarity; but a biting raillery is less excusable in them than in the meanest of their subjects, for it is they alone that give a mortal wound.

Much less ought they to offer a notorious infult to any

of their subjects; kings were instituted to pardon, and to

punish, but never to infult.

When they infult their subjects, their treatment is more cruel than that of the Turk or the Muscovite. The infults of the latter are a humiliation, not a disgrace; but both must follow from the infults of the former.

Such, is the prejudice of the eastern nations, that they look upon an affront coming from the prince, as the effect of paternal goodness; and such, on the contrary, is our way of thinking, that to the cruel vexation of being affronted, we join the despair of ever being able to wipe off the disgrace.

Princes ought to be overjoyed to have subjects to whom honour is dearer than life, an incidement to fidelity as

well as to courage.

They should remember the misfortunes that have happened to princes for insulting their subjects, the revenge of Chærea, of the eunsich Narses, of count Julian, and in sine of the duches of Montpensier, who being enraged against Henry III. for having published some of her private failings, plagued him all his life.

CHAP. XXIX.

Of the civil Laws proper for mixing a little Liberty in a despotic Govern-

Though despotic governments are of their own nature every where the same; yet from circumstances, from an opinion of religion, from prejudice, from received examples, from a particular turn of mind, from manners or morals, it is possible they may admit of a considerable difference.

It is useful that some particular notions should be established in those governments. Thus in China the prince is considered as the father of his people; and at the commencement of the empire of the Arabs, the prince was their preacher *.

It is proper there should be some sacred book to serve for a rule, as the Koran among the Arabs, the books of Zoroaster among the Persians, the Vedam among the Indiaus, and the classic books among the Chinese. The re-

Vol I. P ligious
* The Califs.

ligious code supplies the civil one, and directs the arbitra-

ry power.

It is not at all amiss that in dubious cases the judges should consult the ministers of religion *. Thus in Turkey the Cadis confult the Mollachs. But if it is a capital crime it may be proper for the particular judge, if such there be, to take the governour's advice, to the end that the civil and ecclefiaftic power may be tempered also by the political authority.

CHAP. XXX.

The same Subject continued.

Nothing but the very excess and fury of despotic power ordained that the father's difgrace should drag after it that of his wife and children. They are wretched enough already without being criminals: Besides, the prince ought to leave suppliants or mediators between himself and the accufed, to affwage his wrath, or to inform his justice.

It is an excellent custom of the Maldivians +, that when a lord is difgraced, he goes every day to pay his court to the king till he is taken again into favour: His presence

difarms the prince's wrath.

In fome despotic governments ‡ they have a notion that it is trespassing against the respect due to their prince, to fpeak to him in favour of a person in disgrace. These princes feem to use all their endeavours to deprive themfelves of the virtue of clemency.

Arcadius and Honorius, in a law & which we have already descanted upon ||, positively declare that they will show no favour to those who shall presume to petition them in behalf of the guilty \(\text{T.} \) This was a very bad law indeed, fince it is bad even under a despotic government.

The custom of Persia, which permits every man that pleases,

+ See Francis Pirard.

^{*} History of the Tartars, part 3. p 277. in the remarks.

As at present in Persia, according to Sir John Chardin. This custom is very an ient. They put Cavades, fays Procopius, in the castle of oblivion. There is a law which forbids any one to speak of those who are thut up, or even to mention their name.

[§] The 5th law in the cod. ad leg. Jul. maj.

| In chapter 8. of this book.

Trederick copied this law, in the conflictation of Naples, book 1.

pleases, to leave the kingdom, is excellent; and though the contrary practice derives its origin from despotic power, which has always looked upon subjects as slaves, and those who quit the country as fugitives, yet the Persian practice is useful even to a despotic government, because the apprehension of the slight, or of the withdrawing of debtors, puts a stop to, or moderates the oppressions of bashaws and extortioners.

BOOK XIII.

OF THE RELATION WHICH THE LEVYING OF TAXES AND THE GREATNESS OF THE PUBLIC REVENUES HAVE TO LIBERTY.

CHAP. I.

Of the Revenues of the State.

THE revenues of the state are a portion that each subject gives of his property, in order to secure, or to have the agreeable enjoyment of the remainder.

To fix these revenues in a proper manner, regard should be had both to the necessities of the state and to those of the subject. The real wants of the people ought never to give way to the imaginary wants of the state.

Imaginary wants are those which flow from the passions, and from the weakness of the governours, from the charms of an extraordinary project, from the distempered defire of vain glory, and from a certain impotency of mind incapable of withstanding the attacks of fancy. Often has it happened, that ministers of a restless disposition have imagined that the wants of the state were those of their own little and ignoble fouls.

There is nothing requires more wisdom and prudence P 2 than

In monarchies there is generally a law which forbids those who are invested with public employments to go out of the kingdom without the prince's leave. This law ought to be established also in republics. But in those that have particular institutions, the prohibition ought to be general, in order to prevent the introduction of foreign manners.

than the regulation of that portion which is taken from,

and of that which is left to the fubject.

The public revenues are not to be measured by what the people are able, but what they ought to give; and if they are measured by what they are able to give, it ought to be at least by what they are able to give constantly.

CHAP. II.

That it is bad Reasoning to say that the Greatness of Taxes is good in its own Nature.

THERE have been instances in particular monarchies, of fmall states exempt from taxes, that have been as miserable as the circumjacent places which groned under the weight of exactions. The chief reason of this is; that the fmall forrounded flate can hardly have any fuch thing as industry, arts, or manufactures, because in this respect it lies under a thousand restraints from the great state in which it is inclosed. The great flate that furrounds it, is bleffed with industry, manufactures, and arts; and establiffies laws by which those several advantages are procured. The petty state becomes therefore necessarily poor, let it pay ever fo few taxes.

And yet some have concluded from the poverty of those petty states, that in order to render the people industrious, they should be loaded with taxes. But it would be a much better conclusion to fay that they ought to have no taxes at all. None live here but wretches who retire from the neighbouring parts to avoid working; wretches, who, disheartened by pain and toil, make their whole felicity

confift in idleness.

The effect of wealth in a country is to inspire every heart with ambition, the effect of poverty is to give birth to despair. The former is excited by labour, the latter is

foothed by indolence.

Nature is just to all mankind; she rewards them for their industry; whilst she renders them industrious by annexing rewards in proportion to the greatness of their labour. But if an arbitrary power deprives people of the recompenses of nature, they fall into a difrelish of industry, and then indolence and inaction feem to be their only happiness.

CHAP. III.

Of Taxes in Countries where Part of the People are Villains or Bondmen *.

THE state of villainage is sometimes established after a conquest. In that case the bondman, or villian that tills the land, ought to have a kind of partnership with his master. Nothing but a communication of loss or prosit can reconcile those who are doomed to labour, with those who are blessed with a state of assume that the state of assume the state of assume that the state of assume the state of assume that the state of assume the state of assume the state of assume that the state of assume t

CHAP. IV.

Of a Republic in the like Cafe.

When a republic has reduced a nation to the drudgery of cultivating her lands, she ought never to suffer the free subject to have a power of increasing the tribute of the bondman. This was not permitted at Sparta. Those brave people thought the Helotes + would be more industrious in cultivating their lands, upon knowing that their servitude was not to increase; they imagined likewise that the masters would be better citizens when they desired no more than what they were accustomed to enjoy.

CHAP. V.

Of a Monarchy in the like Cafe.

When the nobles of a monarchical state cause the lands to be cultivated for their own use by a conquered people,

P 3
they

* Glebæ ascriptitios. † Plutarch.

they ought never to have a power of increasing the service or tribute*. Besides it is right the prince should be satisfied with his own demesse and the military service. But if he wants to raise taxes on the bondmen of his nobility, the lords of the several districts ought to be answerable for the tax +, and be obliged to pay it for the bondmen, by whom they may afterwards be reimbursed. But if this rule is not followed, the lord and the collectors of the prince's taxes will harrass the poor bondman by turns, till he perishes with misery, or slies into the woods.

CHAP. VI.

Of a despotic Government in the like Case.

What has been above said, is still more indispensably necessary in a despotic government. The lord who is every moment liable to be stripped of his lands and bond-

men, is not fo eager to preferve them.

When Peter I. thought proper to follow the custom of Germany, and to demand his taxes in money, he made a very prudent regulation which is still followed in Russia. The gentleman levies the tax on the peasants, and pays it to the Czar. If the number of peasants diminishes, he pays all the same; if it increases he pays no more: So that it is his interest not to worry or oppress his vassals.

CHAP. VII.

Taxes in Countries where Villainage is not established.

When the inhabitants of a ftate are all free subjects, and each man enjoys his property with as much right as the prince his sovereignty, taxes may then be laid either on persons,

^{*} This is what induced Charlemagne to make his excellent institutions upon this head. See the 5th book of the capitularies, art. 303.

† This is the practice in Germany.

persons, on lands, on merchandises, on two of these, or on

all three together.

In the taxing of persons, it would be an unjust proportion to conform exactly to that of property. At Athens the * people were divided into four classes. Those who drew five hundred measures of liquid or dry fruit from their estates, paid a + talent to the public; those who drew three hundred measures, paid half a talent; those who had two hundred measures, paid ten minæ; those of the fourth class paid nothing at all. The tax was fair, though it was not proportionable: If it did not follow the proportion of people's property, it followed that of their wants. It was judged that every man had an equal share of what was necessary for nature; that whatsoever was neceffary for nature ought not to be taxed; that to this fucceeded the ufeful, which ought to be taxed, but lefs than the superfluous; and that largeness of the taxes on what was fuperfluous, prevented superfluity.

In the taxing of lands it is customary to make lists or registers in which the different classes of estates are ranged. But it is very difficult to know these differences, and still more so to find people that are not interested in mistaking them. Here therefore are two sorts of injustice, that of the man, and that of the thing. But if in general the tax be not exorbitant, and the people continue to have plenty of necessaries, these particular acts of injustice will do no harm. On the contrary, if the people are permitted to enjoy only just what is necessary for subsistence, the least

disproportion will be of the greatest consequence.

If some subjects do not pay enough, the mischief is not so great; their convenience and ease turn always to the public advantage: If some private people pay too much, their ruin redounds to the public detriment. If the government proportions its fortune to that of individuals, the ease and conveniency of the latter will soon make its fortune rise. The whole depends upon a critical moment. Shall the state begin with impoverishing the subjects to enrich itself? Or had it better wait to be enriched by its wealthy subjects? Is it more adviseable for it to have the first or second advantage? Which shall it chuse, to begin, or to end, with being rich?

P 4 The

^{*} Pollux, book 8. chap. 10. art. 130. † Or 60 minæ.

The duties felt least by the people are those on merchandise, because they are not demanded of them in form. They may be so prudently managed, that the people themselves shall hardly know they pay them. For this purpose it is of the utmost consequence, that the person who sells the merchandise should pay the duty. He is very sensible that he does not pay it for himself; and the consumer who pays it in the main, consounds it with the price. Some authors have observed that Nero had abolished the duty of the five-and-twentieth part arising from the sale of slaves *; and yet he had only ordained that it should be paid by the seller instead of the purchaser; this regulation, which left the impost entire, seemed nevertheless to suppress it.

There are two states in Europe where there are very heavy imposts upon liquors; in one the brewer alone pays the duty, in the other it is levied indiscriminately upon all the consumers: In the first nobody feels the rigour of the impost, in the second it is looked upon as a grievance. In the former the subject is sensible only of the liberty he has of not paying, in the latter he seels only the necessity that

compels him to pay.

Farther, the obliging the confumers to pay, requires a perpetual rumaging and fearthing into their houses. Now, nothing is more contrary than this to liberty; and those who establish these fort of duties, have not surely been so happy in this respect, as to hit upon the best method of administration.

CHAP. VIII.

In what Manner the Illusion is preserved.

In order to make the purchaser consound the price of the commodity with the impost, there must be some proportion between the impost and the value of the commodity; wherefore there ought not to be an excessive duty upon merchandises

^{*} Vectigal quintæ & vicesimæ venalium mancipiorum remissum specie magis quam vi, quia cum venditor pendere juberetur, in partem pretii emptoribus accrescebat. Tacit. Annal. iib. 13.

merchandises of little value. There are countries in which the duty exceeds seventeen or eighteen times the value of the commodity. In this case the prince removes the illusion; his subjects plainly see they are dealt with in an unreasonable manner; which renders them most exquisitely sensible of their slavish situation.

Besides, the prince, to be able to levy a duty so disproportioned to the value of the commodity, must be himfelf the vender, and the people must not have it in their power to purchase it elsewhere: a practice subject to a

thousand inconveniencies.

Smuggling being in this case extremely lucrative, the natural and most reasonable penalty, namely the consistation of the merchandise, becomes incapable of putting a stop to it, especially as this very merchandise is intrinsically of an inconsiderable value. Recourse must therefore be had to extravagant punishments, such as those inslicted for capital crimes. All proportion then of punishment is at an end. People that cannot really be considered as bad men, are punished like villains; which, of all things in the world, is the most contrary to the spirit of a moderate government.

I add, that the more the people are tempted to cheat the farmer of the revenues, the more the latter is enriched, and the former impoverished. To put a stop to smuggling, the publican must be invested with extraordimary means of oppressing, and then the country is ruined.

CHAP. IX.

Of a bad Kind of Impost.

WE shall here take some cursory notice of an impost laid in particular countries on the different articles of civil contracts. As these are things subject to very nice disquisitions, a vast deal of knowledge is necessary to make any tolerable defence against the farmer of the revenues, who interprets, in that case, the regulations of the prince, and exercises an arbitrary power over people's fortunes. Experience

perience has demonstrated that a duty on the paper on which the deeds are drawn, would be of far greater fervice.

CHAP. X.

That the Greatness of Taxes depends on the Nature of the Government.

Taxes ought to be very light in despotic governments; otherwise who would be at the trouble of tilling the land? Besides, how is it possible to pay heavy taxes in a government that makes no manner of return to the different con-

tributions of the fubject?

The exorbitant power of the prince, and the extreme depression of the people, require that there should not be even a possibility of the least mistake between them. The taxes ought to be so easy to collect, and so clearly settled, as to leave no opportunity to the collectors to increase or diminish them. A portion of the fruits of the earth, a capitation, a duty of so much per cent. on merchandises, are the only taxes suitable to that government.

Merchants in despotic countries ought to have a perfonal safeguard, to which all due respect should be paid. Without this, they would stand no chance in the disputes that might arise between them and the prince's officers.

CHAP. XI.

Of Fiscal Punishments.

With respect to fiscal punishments, there is one thing very particular, that, contrary to the general custom, they are more severe in Europe than in Asia. In Europe, not only the merchandises, but even sometimes the ships and carriages, are confiscated; which is never practised in Asia. This is because in Europe the merchant has judges, who are able to shelter him from oppression; in Asia the despotic judges themselves would be the greatest oppressors.

oppressors. What remedy could a merchant have against a bashaw that was determined to confiscate his merchandizes?

The prince therefore restrains his own power, finding himself under a necessity of acting with some kind of lenity. In Turkey they raise only a fingle duty for the importation of goods, and afterwards the whole country is open to the merchant. False entries are not attended with confiscation, or increase of duty. In China *, they never open the baggage of those who are not merchants. Defrauding the customs in the territory of the Mogul is not punished with confiscation, but with doubling the duty. The princes of + Tartary, who refide in towns, impose fearce any duty at all on the goods that pass through their country. In Japan, it is true, the defrauding of the cuftoms is a capital crime; but this is because they have particular reasons for prohibiting all communication with foreigners; hence the fraud 1 is rather a contravention of the laws made for the fecurity of the government, than of those of commerce.

CHAP. XII.

Relation between the Greatness of Taxes and Liberty.

It is a general rule, That taxes may be heavier in proportion to the liberty of the subject, and that there is a necessity for reducing them in proportion to the increase of flavery. This has always been, and always will be, the cafe. It is a rule derived from nature, that never varies. We find it in all parts, in England, in Holland, and in every state where liberty gradually declines, till we come to Turkey. Switzerland feems to be an exception to this rule, because they pay no taxes; but the particular

^{*} Father du Halde, tom. 2. p. 37.

[†] History of the Tartars, part 3 p. 290. ‡ Being willing to trade with foreigners without having any communication with them, they have pitched upon two nations for that purpose, the Dutch for the commerce of Europe, and the Chinese for that of Asia; they confine the factors and failors in a kind of prison, and lay such a restraint upon them as tires their patience.

lar reason for that exemption is well known, and even confirms what I have advanced. In those barren mountains provisions are so dear, and the country is so populous, that a Swiss pays four times more to nature, than a Turk does to the Sultan.

A conquering people, such as were formerly the Athenians and the Romans, may rid themselves of all taxes, as they reign over vanquished nations. Then indeed they do not pay in proportion to their liberty, because in this respect they are no longer a people, but a monarch.

But the general rule still holds good. In moderate governments there is an indemnity for the weight of the taxes, which is liberty. In despotic countries * there is an equivalent for liberty, which is the lightness of the

taxes.

In fome monarchies in Europe, there are † particular provinces, which, from the very nature of their civil government, are in a more flourishing condition than the rest. It is pretended, that these provinces are not sufficiently taxed, because through the goodness of their government they are able to be taxed higher. Hence the ministers feem constantly to aim at depriving them of this very government, from whence a disfusive blessing is derived; a blessing which spreads its influence to distant parts, and redounds even to the prince's advantage.

CHAP. XIII.

In what Governments Taxes are capable of Increase.

Taxes may be increased in most republics, because the citizen who thinks he is paying himself, willingly submits to them, and moreover is generally able to bear their weight through an effect of the nature of the government.

In a monarchy taxes may be increased, because the mo-

† The Pais d' Etas, where the states of the province assemble to deliberate on public affairs.

In Russia the taxes are but small; they have been increased since the despotic power of the prince is exercised with more moderation. See the history of the Tartars, part 2.

deration of the government is capable of procuring riches: it is a recompence, as it were, of the prince for the respect he shews to the laws. In despotic governments they cannot be increased, because there can be no increase of the extremity of slavery.

CHAP. XIV.

That the Nature of the Taxes is relative to the Government.

A CAPITATION is more natural to flavery; a duty on merchandifes is more natural to liberty, because it has not

fo direct a relation to the person.

It is natural in a despotic government for the prince not to give money to his soldiers, or to those belonging to his court, but to distribute lands amongst them, and of course that there should be very sew taxes. But if the prince gives money, the most natural tax he can raise, is a capitation, which can never be considerable. For as it is impossible to make different classes of the contributors, because of the abuses that might arise from thence, considering the injustice and violence of the government, they are under an absolute necessity of regulating themselves by the rate of what even the poorest and most wretched are able to

pay.

The natural tax of moderate governments is the duty laid on merchandifes. As this is really paid by the confumer, though advanced by the merchant, it is a loan which the merchant has already made to the confumer. Hence the merchant must be considered on the one side, as the general vender for the state, and on the other, as the creditor of every individual. He advances to the state the duty which the consumer will some time or other resund, and he has paid for the consumer the duty which he has paid for the merchandise. It is therefore obvious that in proportion to the moderation of the government, to the prevalence of the spirit of liberty, and to the security of private fortunes, the more a merchant has it in his power to advance money to the state, and to pay considerable duties

any

duties for individuals. In England a merchant lends really to the government fifty or fixty pounds Sterling for every tun of wine he imports. Where is the merchant that would dare do any fuch thing in a country like Turkey? And were he fo courageous, how could he do it with a dubious or shattered fortune?

CHAP. XV.

Abuse of Liberty.

To these great advantages of liberty it is owing, that liberty itself has been abused. Because a moderate government has been productive of admirable effects, this moderation has been laid afide: because great taxes have been raifed, they wanted to raife them to excess: and ungrateful to the hand of liberty of whom they received this prefent, they addressed themselves to slavery, who never grants the least favour.

Liberty produces excessive taxes; but the effect of excessive taxes is to produce flavery in their turn; and flave-

ry produces a dimunition of tribute.

Most of the edicts of the eastern monarchies are to excempt every year fome province of their empire from paying tribute *. The manifestations of their will are favonrs. But in Europe the edicts of princes are difagreeable even before they are feen, because they always make mention of their own wants, but not a word of ours.

From an unpardonable indolence in the ministers of those countries, owing to the nature of the government, and frequently to the climate, the people derive this advantage, that they are not inceffantly plagued with new demands. The public expence does not increase, because the ministers do not form new projects; and if some by chance are formed, they are fuch as are foon executed. The governours of the flate do not perpetually torment the people, because they do not perpetually torment themselves. But it is impossible there should be

[&]quot; This is the practice of the emperours of China.

any fixed rule in our finances, because we always know that we should have something or other to do, with-

out ever knowing what it is.

It is no longer customary with us to give the appellation of a great minister to a wise dispenser of the public revenues; but to a person of dexterity and cunning, who is clever at finding out what we call ways and means.

CHAP. XVI.

Of the Conquests of the Mahometans.

It was this excess of taxes * that occasioned the prodigious facility with which the Mahometans carried on their conquests. Instead of a continual series of extortions devised by the subtile avarice of the emperours, the people were subjected to a simple tribute, which was paid and collected with ease. Thus they were far happier in obeying a barbarous nation, than a corrupt government, in which they suffered every inconveniency of a lost liberty, with all the horrours of a present slavery.

CHAP. XVII.

Of the Augmentation of Troops.

A New distemper has spread itself over Europe; it has infected our princes, and induces them to keep up an exorbitant number of troops. It has its redoublings, and of necessity becomes contagious. For as soon as one prince augments what he calls his troops, the rest of course do the same; so that nothing is gained thereby but the public ruin. Each monarch keeps as many armies on foot as if his people were in danger of being exterminated; and they

^{*} See in history the greatness, the oddity, and even the folly of those taxes. Anastasius invented a tax for breathing, ut quisque pro baustu aeris penderet.

they give the name of Peace * to this general effort of all against all. Thus Europe is ruined to that degree, that were private people to be in the same situation as the three most opulent powers of this part of the world, they would not have necessary subsistence. We are poor with the riches and commerce of the whole universe; and soon, by thus augmenting our troops, we shall have nothing but soldiers, and be reduced to the very same situation as the Tartars †.

Great princes, not fatisfied with hiring or buying troops of petty states, make it their business on all sides to pay subsidies for alliances, that is, almost generally, to

throw away their money.

The confequence of fuch a fituation is the perpetual augmentation of taxes; and the mischief which prevents all future remedy, is, that they reckou no more upon their revenues, but go to war with their whole capital. It is no unusual thing to see governments mortgage their funds even in time of peace, and to employ what they call extraordinary means to ruin themselves; means so extraordinary indeed, that such are hardly thought on by the most extravagant young spendthrist.

CHAP. XVIII.

Of an Exemption from Taxes.

The maxim of the great eastern empires, of exempting such provinces as have very much suffered from taxes, ought to be extended to monarchical states. There are some indeed where this maxim is established; yet the country is more oppressed than if no such rule took place; because as the prince levies still neither more nor less, the state becomes bound for the whole. In order to ease a village that pays badly, they load another that pays better; the former is not relieved, and the latter is ruined. The people

+ True it is that this ftate of effort is the chief support of the ballance, because it checks the great powers.

^{*} All that is wanting for this, is to improve the new invention of the militia established almost over all Europe, and carry it to the same excess as they do the regular troops.

people grow desperate between the accessity of paying, for fear of exactions; and the danger of paying, for fear of new charges.

A well-regulated government ought to fet afide for the first article of its expence a determinate sum for contingent cases. It is with the public as with individuals, who are

ruined when they live up exactly to their income.

With regard to an obligation for the whole amongst the inhabitants of the same village, some pretend *, that it is but reasonable, because there is a possibility of a fraudulent combination on their side: but who ever heard that upon mere suppositions we are to establish a thing in itself unjust and ruinous to the state?

CHAP. XIX.

Which is most suitable to the Prince and to the People, the letting out to Farm, or the Administration of the Revenues?

THE administration of the revenues is like the conduct of a good father of a family, who collects his own rents him-

felf with oeconomy and order.

By the administration of the revenues the prince is at liberty to press or to retard the levy of the taxes, according to his own wants, or to those of his people. By this he saves to the state the immense profits of the farmers, who impoverish it a thousand ways. By this he spares people the mortifying sight of sudden fortunes. By this the money collected passes through sew hands, and goes directly to the treasury, and consequently makes a quicker return to the people. By this the prince avoids an infinite number of bad laws extorted from him continually by the importunate avarice of the sarmers, who pretend to offer a present advantage for regulations pernicious to posterity.

As the moneyed man is always the most powerful, the farmer renders himself arbitrary even over the prince himself; he is not the legislator, but he obliges the legislator

to give laws.

In republics, the revenues of the state are generally under administration. The contrary practice was a great de-Vol. I. Q

^{*} See a treatise on the Roman finances, chap. 2.

fect in the Roman government *. In despotic governments, the people are infinitely happier where this administration is established; witness Persia and China+. The unhappiest of all are those where the prince farms out his seaports and trading cities. The history of monarchies abounds with mischiess done by the farmers of the revenues.

Nero, incensed at the oppressive extortions of the publicans, formed a magnanimous, but impossible project of abolishing all kinds of imposts. He did not think of an administration of the revenues, but made four edicts; that the laws enacted against publicans, which had hitherto been kept secret, should be made public; that they should not pretend to any thing which they had omitted to demand in the term of a year; that there should be a prætor established to judge their pretensions without any formality; and that the merchants should pay no duty for their veisels. These were the bright days of that emperour.

CHAP. XX.

Of the Farmers of the Revenues.

ALL is lost when the lucrative profession of tax-gatherers becomes likewise, by means of the riches with which it is attended, a post of honour. This may do well enough in despotic states, where their employment is oftentimes a part of the functions of the governours themselves. But it is by no means proper in a republic; since a custom of the like nature destroyed the people of Rome. Nor is it better in monarchies; nothing being more opposite to the spirit of this government. All the other orders of the state are distainssed; honour loses its whole value; the slow and natural means of distinction are no longer regarded; and the very principle of the government is subverted.

* Cæfar was obliged to remove the publicans from the province of Asia. and to establish there another kind of administration, as we learn from Do: and Tacitus informs us, that Macedonia and Achaia, provinces left by Augustus to the people of Rome, and consequently governed pursuant to the ancient plan, obtained to be of the number of those which the emperour governed by his officers.

† See Sir John Chardin's travels through Persia, tom. 6.

It is true indeed that scandalous fortunes were raised in former times; but this was one of the calamities of the sifty years war. These riches were then considered as ri-

diculous; now we admire them.

Every profession has its particular lot. The lot of those who levy the taxes is wealth, and the recompence of wealth is wealth itself. Glory and honour fall to the share of that nobility, who neither know, see, nor feel any other happiness than honour and glory. Respect and esteem are for those ministers and magistrates, whose whole life is a continued succession of labour, and who watch day and night over the happiness of the empire.

BOOK XIV.

OF LAWS AS RELATIVE TO THE NATURE OF THE CLI-MATE.

CHAP. I.

General Idea.

If it be true that the character of the mind and the paffions of the heart are extremely different in different climates, the laws ought to be relative both to the difference of those passions, and to the difference of those characters.

CHAP. II.

Of the difference of Men in different Climates,

A cold air * constringes the extremities of the external fibres of the body; this increases their elasticity, and favours

* This appears even in the countenance: In cold weather people look thinner.

vours the return of the blood from the extremities to the heart. It contracts * those very fibres; censequently it increases also their force. On the contrary a warm air relaxes and lengthens the extremes of the fibres; of course it

diminishes their force and elasticity.

People are therefore more v gorous in cold climates. Here the action of the heart and the reaction of the extremit is of the fibres are better performed, the temperature of the humours is greater, the blood moves freer towards the heart, and reciprocally the heart has more power. This superiority of strength must produce a great many effects; for instance, a greater self-considence, that is, more courage; a greater fense of superiority, that is less defire of revenge; a greater opinion of fecurity, that is, more frankness, less suspicion, policy, and cunning. In short, this mult be productive of very different characters. Put a man in a close warm place, and he will, for the reasons above given, feel a great faintness. If under this circumstance you propose a bold enterprise to him, I believe you will find him very little disposed towards it: His present weakness will throw him into a despondency of soul; he will be afraid of every thing, because he will feel himself The inhabitants of warm countries capable of nothing. are, like old men, timorous; the people in cold countries are, like young men, brave. If we reflect on the late + wars, which are more prefent to our memory, and in which we can better diffinguish some slight effects that escape us at a great distance of time; we shall find that the northern people transplanted into fouthern countries t. did not perform such great feats as their countrymen, who fighting in their own climate, possessed their full vigour and courage.

This strength of the fibres in northern nations is the cause that the coarsest juices are extracted from their aliments. From hence two things result: one, that the parts of the chyle or lymph are more proper, by reason of their large surface, to be applied to, and to nourish the fibres: The other, that they are less proper, because of their coarseness, to give a certain subtility to the nervous juice,

* We know it fhortens iron.

For instance to Spain.

[†] Those for the succession to the Spanish monarchy .

Those people have therefore, large bodies and little viva-

citv.

The nerves that terminate from all parts in the cutis, form each a bundle of nerves; generally speaking, the whole nerve is not moved, but a very minute part. In warm climates where the cutis is relaxed, the ends of the nerves are opened, and exposed to the smallest action of the weakest objects. In cold countries the cutis is constringed, and the papillæ compressed; the miliary glands are in some measure paralytic; and the sensation does not reach the brain but when it is very strong, and proceeds from the whole nerve at once. Now, imagination, taste, sensibility, and vivacity, depend on an infinite number of small sensations.

I have observed the outermost part of a sheep's tongue, where to the naked eye it seems covered with papillæ. On these papillæ, I have discerned, through a microscope, small hairs or a kind of down: Between the papillæ were pyramids shaped towards the ends like pincers. Very likely these

pyramids are the principal organ of tafte.

I caused the half of this tongue to be frozen, and observing it with the naked eye, I found the papillæ considerably diminished: Even some rows of the papillæ were sunk into their sheath. I examined the outermost part with the microscope, and I perceived no pyramids. In proportion as the frost went off, the papillæ seemed to the naked eye to rise, and with the microscope the miliary glands began to appear.

This observation confirms what I have been saying, that in cold countries the nervous glands are less spread; they sink deeper into their sheaths, or they are sheltered from the action of external objects. Consequently they have not

fuch lively fensations.

In cold countries, they have very little fensibility for pleafure; in temperate countries they have more; in warm countries their fensibility is exquisite. As climates are distinguished by degrees of latitude, we might distinguish them also, in some measure, by degrees of sensibility. I have seen the operas of England and of Italy; they are the same pieces and the same performers; and yet the same music produces such different effects on the two na-

43

tions, one is fo cold and indifferent, and the other fo trans-

ported, that it feems almost inconceivable.

It is the same with regard to pain; which is excited by the laceration of some sibre of the body. The Author of nature has made it an established rule, that this pain should be more acute in proportion as the laceration is greater; now it is evident that the large bodies and coarse sibres of the people of the north are less capable of laceration than the delicate sibres of the inhabitants of warm countries; consequently the soul is there less sensible of pain. You must slay a Muscovite alive to make him seel.

From this delicacy of organs peculiar to warm climates, it follows, that the foul is most fensibly moved by whatever has a relation to the union of the two sexes: Here

every thing leads to this object.

In northern climates scarce has the animal part of love a power of making itself felt. In temperate climates, love attended by a thousand appendages, renders itself agreable by things that have at first the appearance of love, though not the reality. In warmer climates love is liked for its own sake, it is the only cause of happiness, it is life itself.

In fouthern countries a delicate, weak, but fensible machine, refigns itself either to a love which rifes and is inceffantly laid in a feraglio; or to love which leaves women in a greater independence, and is confequently exposed to a thousand inquietudes. In northern climates a strong but heavy machine, finds a pleafure in whatever is apt to throw the spirits into motion, such as hunting, travelling, war, and wine. In northern countries, we meet with a people who have few vices, many virtues, a great share of frankness and fincerity. If we draw near the fouth, we fancy ourfelves removed from all morality; the strongest passions multiply all manner of crimes, every one endeavouring to take what advantage he can over his neighbour, in order to encourage those passions. In temperate climates we find the inhabitants inconstant in their manners, in their very vices, and in their virtues: The climate has not a quality determinate enough to fix them.

The heat of the climate may be so excessive as to deprive the body of all vigour and strength. Then the faintness is communicated to the mind: There is no curiofity, no noble enterprize, no generous fentiment; the inclinations are all passive; indolence constitutes the utmost happiness; scarcely any punishment is so severe as the action of the soul, and slavery is more supportable than the force and vigour of mind necessary for human action.

CHAP. III.

Contradiction in the Characters of fome fouthern Nations.

THE Indians * are naturally a cowardly people; even the children † of the Europeans born in the Indies lose the courage peculiar to their own climate. But how shall we reconcile this with their cruel actions, with their customs, and penaces so full of barbarity? The men voluntarily undergo the greatest hardships; the women burn themselves: Here we find a very odd compound of fortitude and weakness.

Nature having framed those people of a texture so weak as renders them timid, has formed them at the same time of an imagination so lively, that every object makes the strongest impression upon them. That delicacy of organs which renders them apprehensive of death, contributes likewise to make them dread a thousand things more than death; the very same sensibility makes them sly, and dare all dangers.

As a good education is more necessary to children, than to those who are arrived to a maturity of understanding, so the inhabitants of those climates have much greater need than our people of a wise legislator. The greater their sensibility, the more it behoves them to receive proper impressions,

* One hundred European foldiers, fays Tavernier, would without ing great difficulty beat a thousand Indian solviers.

† Even the Persians, who settle in the Indies, contract in the hird generation the indolence and cowardice of the Indians. See Bennier, on the Mogul, tom. 1. p. 282.

pressions, to imbibe no prejudices, and to let themselves be directed by reason.

At the time of the Romans, the inhabitants of the north of Europe lived without art, education, and almost without laws: And yet by the help of the good sense annexed to the gross sibres of those climates, they made an admirable stand against the power of the Roman empire, till that memorable period in which they quitted their woods to subvert it.

CHAP. IV.

Cause of the Immutability of Religion, Manners, Customs, and Laws, in eastern Countries.

If that delicacy of organs which renders the eastern people so susceptible of every impression, is accompanied likewise with a fort of laziness of mind naturally connected with that of the body, by means of which they grow incapable of any action or effort; it is easy to comprehend, that when once the soul has received an impression, she cannot change it. This is the reason, that the laws, manners, and * customs, even those which seem quite indifferent, such as their manner of dress, are the same to this very day in eastern countries, as they were a thousand years ago.

CHAP. V.

That those are bad Legislators who favour the Vices of the Climate, and good Legislators who oppose those Vices.

THE Indians believe that repose and non-existence are the foundation of all things, and the end in which they terminate.

[•] We find by a fragment of Nicholaus Damascenus, collected by Conflantine Porphyrog, that it was an ancient custom in the east to fend to strangle a governour who had given any displeasure; it was in the time of the Medes.

e

h

t

nate. They consider therefore the state of entire inaction as the most perfect of all states, and the object of their defires. They give to the Supreme Being * the title of Immoveable. The inhabitants of Siam believe that their utmost happiness + consists in not being obliged to animate a machine, or to give motion to a body.

In those countries where the excess of heat enervates and oppresses the body, rest is so delicious, and motion so painful, that this system of metaphysics seems natural; and Foe ‡ the legislator of the Indies followed what he himself selt when he placed mankind in a state extremely passive: But his doctrine arising from the laziness of the climate, savoured it also in its turn; which has been the source of an infinite deal of mischief.

The legislators of China had more sense, when considering men not in the peaceful state which they are to enjoy hereafter, but in the situation proper for discharging the several duties of life, they made their religion, philosophy, and laws all practical. The more the physical causes incline mankind to inaction, the more the moral causes should estrange them from it.

CHAP. VI.

Of the Cultivation of Lands in warm Climates.

The cultivation of lands is the principal labour of man. The more the climate inclines them to shun this labour, the more their religion and laws ought to excite them to it. Thus the Indian laws, which give the lands to the prince, and destroy the spirit of property among the subjects, increase the bad effects of the climate, that is, their natural laziness.

CHAP.

Panamanad. See Kircher.

[†] La Loubere, relation of Siam, p. 446.

Foe endeavoured to reduce the heart to a mere vacuum: "We have eyes and ears, but perfection confifts in neither feeing nor hearing; a mouth, hands, &c. but perfection requires that these members should be inactive." This is taken from the dialogue of a Chinese philosopher, quoted by Father du Halde, tom. 3.

CHAP. VII.

Of Monachism.

THE very same mischiefs result from monachism; it had its rise in the warm countries of the east, where they are less inclined to action than to speculation.

In Asia the number of dervises or monks seems to increase together with the heat of the climate. The Indies, where the heat is excessive, are full of them; and the same difference is found in Europe.

In order to furmount the laziness of the climate, the laws ought to endeavour to remove all means of subsisting without labour: But in the southern parts of Europe they act quite the reverse; to those who want to live in a state of indolence they afford retreats the most Proper for a speculative life, and endow them with immense revenues. These men, who live in the midst of a plenty which they know not how to enjoy, are in the right to give their superfluities away to the common people. The poor are bereft of property; and these men indemnify them by supporting them in idleness, so as to make them even grow fond of their misery.

CHAP. VIII.

An excellent Custom of China.

THE historical relations * of China mention a ceremony † of opening the grounds, which the emperour performs every year.

^{*} Father du Halde's history of China, tom. 2. p. 72. † Several of the kings of India do the fame. Relation of the kingdo

[†] Several of the kings of India do the fame. Relation of the kingdom of Siam by La Loubere, p. 69.

year. The defign of this public and folemn act is to ex-

cite " the people to tillage.

Farther, the emperour is informed every year of the husbandman who has distinguished himself most in his profession; and he makes him a mandarin of the eighth order.

Among the ancient Persians + the kings quitted their grandeur and pomp on the eighth of the month called Chorrem-ruz to eat with the husbandmen. These institutions were admirably well calculated for the encouragement of agriculture.

CHAP. IX.

Means of encouraging Industry.

WE shall show in the nineteenth book that lazy nations are generally proud. Now, the effect might well be turned against the cause, and laziness be destroyed by pride. In the south of Europe, where people have such a high notion of the point of honour, it would be right to give prizes to husbandmen who had cultivated best the lands, or to artists who had made the greatest improvements in their several professions. This practice has succeeded in our days in Ireland, where it has established one of the most considerable linen-manufactures in Europe.

CHAP. X.

Of the Laws relative to the Sobriety of the People.

IN warm countries the aqueous part of the blood loses itfelf greatly by perspiration ‡; it must therefore be suppli-

*Wenty, the third emperour of the third dynasty, tilled the lands himself, and made the empress and his wives employ their time in the filk-works in his palace. History of China.

† Hyde, religion of the Perfians. † Monsieur Bernier travelling from Lahor to Cachemir, wrote thus: ed by a like liquid. Water is there of admirable use; strong liquors would coagulate the globules * of blood that remain after the transluding of the aqueous humour.

In cold countries the aqueous part of the blood is very little evacuated by perspiration. They may therefore make use of spiritous liquors, without danger of coagulating the blood. They are full of humours; consequently strong liquors which give a motion to the blood, are proper for those countries.

The law of Mahomet which prohibits the drinking of wine, is therefore a law fitted to the climate of Arabia: And indeed, before Mahometis time, water was the common drink of the Arabs. The law + which forbade the Carthaginians to drink wine, was also a law of the climate; in fact, the climate of those two countries is pretty near the same.

Such a law would be improper for cold countries, where the climate feems to force them to a kind of national drunkenness, very different from personal intemperance. Drunkenness predominates over all the world, in proportion to the coldness and humidity of the climate Go from the equator to our pole, and you will find drunkenness increasing together with the degree of latitude. Go from the same equator to the opposite pole, and you will find drunkenness travelling south ‡, as on this side it travels towards the north.

climate, and consequently to health, the excess of it should be more severely punished, than in countries where drunkenness produces very sew bad essects to the person, sewer to the society, and where it does not make people mad, but only stupid and heavy. Hence laws § which punished a drunken man both for the fault he committed, and for his drunk-

[&]quot;My body is a fieve; scarce have I swallowed a pint of water, but I see it translude like dew out of all my limbs, even to my singers ends. I drink ten pints a-day, and it does me no manner of harm." Bernier's Travels, tom. 2. p. 261.

^{*} In the blood there are red globules, fibrous parts, white globules, and water in which the whole fwims.

[†] Plato, book 2. of laws; Aristotle of the care of domestic affairs; Eufebius' evangelical preparation, book 12. c 17.

[†] This is feen in the Hottentots, and the i habitants of the most fouthern part of Chili.

[§] As Pittacus did, according to Aristotle, polit. lib. 1. c. 3. He lived in a climate where drunkenness is not a national vice.

ennefs, were applicable only to a personal, and not to a national ebriety. A German drinks through custom, and a

Spaniard by choice.

In warm countries the relaxing of the fibres produces a great evacuation of the liquids, but the folid parts are less transpired. The fibres which act but weakly, and have very little elasticity, are not much worn; a small quantity of nutricious juice is sufficient to repair them; for which

reason they eat very little.

It is the difference of wants in different climates, that first formed a difference in the manner of living, and this difference of living gave rise to that of laws. Where people are very communicative, there must be particular laws; and others among people where there is but little communication.

CHAP. XI.

Of the Laws relative to the Distempers of the Climate.

HERODOTUS * informs us, that the Jewish laws concerning the leprofy, were borrowed from the practice of the Egyptians. In fact, the same distemper required the same remedies. The Greeks and the primitive Romans were strangers to these laws, as well as to the disease. The climate of Egypt and Palestine rendered them necessary; and the facility with which this disease is spread, is sufficient to make us sensible of the wisdom and sagacity of those laws.

Even we ourselves have selt the essects of them. The crusades had brought the leprosy amongst us; but the wise regulations made at that time hindered it from insecting

the mass of the people.

We find by the law of the † Lombards that this difease was spread in Italy before the crusades, and merited the attention of the legislators. Rotharis ordained that a leper should be expelled from his house, and banished to a particular place, that he should be incapable of disposing of his property; because, from the very moment he had been driven

^{*} Book 2.

[†] Book 2. tit. I. § 3. and tit. 18. § 1.

from home, he was reckoned dead in the eye of the law. In order to prevent all communication with lepers, they were

rendered incapable of civil acts.

I am apt to think that this disease was brought into Italy by the conquests of the Greek emperours, in whose armies there-might be some soldiers from Palestine or Egypt. Be that as it may, the progress of it was stopped till the time of the crusades.

It is related, that Pompey's foldiers, returning from Syria, brought a distemper home with them not unlike the leprofy. We have no account of any regulation made at that time; but it is highly probable that some regulation was made, since the distemper was stopped till the time of the Lombards.

It is now two centuries fince a difease, unknown to our ancestors, was first transplanted from the new world to ours, and came to attack human nature even in the very source of life and pleasure. Most of the principal families in the south of Europe were seen to perish by a distemper, that was grown too common to be ignominious, and was considered in no other light, than in that of its being satal. It was the thirst of gold that propagated this disease; the Europeans went continually to America, and always brought back a new leaven of it.

As it is the business of legislators to watch over the health of the citizens, it would have been a wise part in them to have stopped this communication by laws made on the plan

of those of Moses.

The plague is a difease whose infectious progress is much more rapid. Egypt is its principal seat, from whence it spreads over the whole universe. Most countries in Europe have made exceeding good regulations to prevent this infection, and in our times an admirable method has been contrived to stop it; this is by forming a line of troops round the infected country, which cuts off all manner of communication.

The Turks *, who have no regulations in this respect, fee the Christians escape this infection in the same town, and none but themselves perish; they buy the clothes of the infected, wear them, and go on their old way as if nothing had happened. The doctrine of a rigid sate, which directs

⁰

Ricaut on the Ottoman empire, p. 284.

their whole conduct, renders the magistrate a quiet spectator; he thinks that God has already done every thing, and that he himself has nothing to do.

CHAP. XII.

Of the Laws against Suicide.

WE do not find in history that the Romans ever killed themselves without a cause; but the English destroy themselves most unaccountably; they destroy themselves often in the very bosom of happiness. This action among the Romans was the effect of education; it was connected with their principles and customs: Among the English it is the effect of a * distemper; it is connected with the physical state of the machine, and independent of every other cause.

In all probability it is a defect of the filtration of the nervous juice; the machine whose motive faculties are every moment without action, is weary of itself; the soul feels no pain, but a certain uneasiness in existing. Pain is a local thing, which leads us to the desire of seeing an end of it; the burden of life is an evil confined to no particular place, which prompts us to the desire of ceasing to live.

It is evident, that the civil laws of fome countries may have reasons for brandling suicide with infamy; But in England it cannot be punished without punishing the effects of madness.

CHAP. XIII.

Effects arising from the Climate of England.

In a nation fo distempered by the climate as to have a difrelish of every thing, nay even of life, it is plain that the government

^{*} It may be complicated with the fcurvy, which, in some countries especially, renders a man whimsical and unsupportable to himself. See Pirard's voyages, part 2. chap. 21.

government most suitable to the inhabitants, is that in which they cannot lay their uneasiness to any single perfon's charge, and in which, being under the direction rather of the laws than of the prince, they cannot change the government without subverting the laws themselves.

And if this nation has likewise derived from the climate a certain character of impatience, which renders them incapable of bearing the same train of things for any long continuance; it is obvious that the government above mentioned is the sittest for them. This character of impatience is not very considerable of itself, but it may become so when joined with courage.

It is quite a different thing from levity, which makes people undertake or drop a project without cause; it borders upon obstinacy, because it proceeds from so lively a sense of misery, that it is not weakened even by the ha-

bit of fuffering.

This character in a free nation is extremely proper for disconcerting the projects of tyranny *, which is always slow and feeble in its commencements, as in the end it is active and lively; which at first only stretches out a hand to assist, and exerts afterwards a multitude of arms to oppress.

Slavery is ever preceded by fleep. But a people who find no rest in any situation, who continually explore every part, and feel nothing but pain, can hardly be lulled to

fleep.

Politics are like a smooth file, which cuts slowly, and attains its end by a gradual and tedious progression. Now, the people of whom we have been speaking, are incapable of bearing the delays, the details, and the coolness of negociations: In these they are more unlikely to succeed than any other nation; hence they are apt to lose by treaties what they obtain by their arms.

CHAP

^{*} Here I take this word for the defign of subverting the established power, and especially that of democracy. This is the fignification in which it was understood by the Greeks and Romans.

mitted

CHAP. XIV.

Other Effects of the Climate.

Our ancestors the ancient Germans lived under a climate. where the passions were extremely calm. Their laws decided only in fuch cases where the injury was visible to the eye, and went no further. And as they judged of the outrages done to men from the greatness of the wounds, they acted with no other delicacy in respect to the injuries done to women. The law of * the Germans on this fubject is very extraordinary. If a person uncovers a woman's head, he pays a fine of fifty fous; if he uncovers her leg up to the knee, he pays the same; and double from the knee upwards. One would think that the law measured the infults offered to women as we measure a figure in geometry; it did not punish the crime of the imagination, but that of the eye. But, upon the migration of a German nation into Spain, the climate foon found a necessity for different laws. The law of the Visigoths inhibited the furgeons, to bleed a free woman, except either her father, mother, brother, fon, or uncle was present. As the imagination of the people grew warm, fo did that of the legillators; the law suspected every thing, when the people grew suspicious.

These laws had therefore a particular regard for the two fexes. But in their punishments they feem rather to humour the revengeful temper of private persons, than to exercise public justice. Thus in most cases they reduced both the criminals to be flaves to the offended relations, or to the injured husband; a free born woman + who had yielded to the embraces of a married man, was delivered up to his wife to dispose of her as she pleased. They obliged the flaves ‡ if they found their master's wife in adultery, to bind her, and carry her to her husband; they even per-VOL. I.

^{*} Chap. 58. § 1. and 2.

Law of the Vifigoths, book 3. tit. 4. § 9.

Ibid. book 3. tit. 4. § 6.

mitted her children * to be her accusers, and her slaves to be tortured in order to convict her. Thus their laws were far better adapted to refine even to excess, a certain point of honour, than to form a good civil administration. We must not therefore be surprised if count Julian was of opinion, that an affront of that kind ought to be expiated by the ruin of his king and country; We must not be surprised if the Moors, with such a conformity of manners, found it so easy a matter to settle and to maintain themselves in Spain, and to retard the fall of their empire.

CHAP. XV.

Of the different Confidence which the Laws have in the People, according to the Difference of Climates.

The people of Japan are of fo stubborn and perverse a temper, that neither their legislators nor magistrates can put any confidence in them: They set nothing before their eyes but judges, menaces, and chastisements; every step they take is subject to the inquisition of the civil magistrate. Those laws which out of sive heads of families, establish one as a magistrate over the other four; those laws which punish a family, or a whole ward for a single crime; those laws, in fine, which find no one innocent where there may happen to be one guilty; are made with a design to implant in all the people a distrust of each other, and to make every one the inspector, witness, and judge of his neighbour's conduct.

On the contrary the people of India are mild †, tender, and compassionate. Hence their legislators repose a great considence in them. They have established ‡ very sew punishments; these are not severe, nor are they rigorously executed. They have subjected nebhews to their uncles,

Law of the Vifigoths, book 3. tit. 4. § 13.

[†] See Bernier, tom. 2. p. 140. ‡ See in the 14th collection of the edifying letters, p. 403. the principal laws or customs of the inhabitants of the peninsula on this side the Ganges.

and orphans to their guardians, as in other countries they are subject to their fathers; they have regulated the succession by the acknowledged merit of the successor. They seem to think that every individual ought to place an entire confidence in the good nature of his fellow-subject.

They infranchife their flaves without difficulty, they marry them, they treat them as their children *: Happy climate, which gives birth to purity of manners, and pro-

duces a lenity in the laws!

BOOK XV.

N WHAT MANNER THE LAWS OF CIVIL SLAVERY ARE RELATIVE TO THE NATURE OF THE CLIMATE.

CHAP. I.

Of civil Slavery.

SLAVERY, properly so called, is the establishment of a right which gives to one man such a power over another, as renders him absolute master of his life and fortune. The state of slavery is in its own nature bad. It is neither useful to the masternor the slave; not to the slave, because he can do nothing thro' a motive of virtue; not to the master, because, by having an unlimited authority over his slaves, he intensibly accustoms himself to the want of all moral virtues, and from thence grows sierce, hasty, severe, choleric, voluptuous, and cruel.

In defpotic countries, where they are already in a state of political slavery, civil slavery is more tolerable than in other governments. Every one ought to be satisfied in R 2 those

This is perhaps what made Diodorus fay, that in the Indies there was neither master nor flave.

those countries with necessaries and life. Hence the condition of a slave is hardly more burdensome than that of a

fubject.

But in a monarchical government, where it is of the utmost importance that human nature should not be debased, or dispirited, there ought to be no slavery. In democracies where they are all upon an equality; and in aristocracies, where the laws ought to use their utmost endeavours to procure as great an equality as the nature of the government will permit, slavery is contrary to the spirit of the constitution; it only contributes to give a power and luxury to the citizens which they ought not to have.

CHAP. II.

Origin of the Right of Slavery among the Roman Civilians.

ONE would never have imagined that flavery should owe its birth to pity, and that this should have been excited

three different ways *.

The law of nations, to prevent prisoners from being put to death, has allowed them to be made flaves. The civil law of the Romans impowered debtors, who were subject to be ill used by their creditors to sell themselves. And the law of nature requires, that children, whom a father reduced to flavery is no longer able to maintain, should be reduced to the same state as the father.

These reasons of the civilians are all false. It is false that killing in war is lawful, unless in a case of absolute necessity: but when a man has made another his slave, he cannot be said to have been under a necessity of taking away his life, since he actually did not take it away. War gives no other right over prisoners than to disable them from doing any further harm, by securing their persons. All nations + concur in detesting the murdering of prisoners in cold blood.

2. Ner

^{*} Justinian's institutes, book i.

2. Nor is it true, that a freeman can fell himself. Sale implies a price; now, when a person sells himself his whole fubstance immediately devolves to his mafter; the master therefore in that case gives nothing, and the slave receives nothing. You will fay he has a peculium. But this peculium goes along with his person. If it is not lawful for a man to kill himself, because he robs his country of his person, for the same reason he is not allowed to fell himfelf. The liberty of every citizen constitutes a part of the public liberty; and, in a democratical state, is even a part of the fovereignty. To feil one's citizenship * is fo repugnant to all reason, as to be scarce supposable in any man. If liberty may be rated with respect to the buyer, it is beyond all price to the feller. The civil law, which authorifes a division of goods among men, cannot be thought to rank among fuch goods, a part of the men who were to make this division. The fame law annuls all iniquitous contracts; furely then it affords redrefs in a contract where the grievance is most enormous.

The third way is birth; which falls with the two former. For if a man could not fell himself, much less could he sell an unborn offspring. If a prisoner of war is not to

be reduced to flavery, much less are his children.

The lawfulness of putting a malefactor to death, arises from this; the law, by which he is punished, was made for his security. A murderer, for instance, has enjoyed the benesit of the very law which condemns him; it has been a continual protection to him; he cannot therefore object against it. But it is not so with the slave. The law of slavery can never be beneficial to him; it is in all cases against him, without ever being for his advantage, and therefore this law is contrary to the fundamental principle of all societies.

If it be pretended that it has been beneficial to him, as his master has provided for his subsistence; slavery at this rate should be limited to those who are incapable of earning their livelihood. But who will take up with such slaves? As for infants, nature, who has supplied their mothers, with milk, had provided for their sustenance, and the remainder of their childhood approaches so near the age in which they are most capable of being of service,

R 3 that

^{*} I mean flavery in a strict sense, as formerly among the Romans, and at present in our colonies.

that he who supports them cannot be faid to give them an equivalent, which can entitle him to be their master.

Nor is flavery less opposite to the civil law than to that of nature. What civil law can restrain a slave from running away, since he is not a member of society, and confequently has no interest in any civil laws? He can be retained only by a family-law, that is, by the master's authority.

CHAP. III.

Another Origin of the Right of Slavery.

I would as foon fay, that the right of flavery proceeds from the contempt of one nation for another, founded on a difference in customs.

Lopez de Gamar relates, "that the Spaniards found "near St. Martha, feveral baskets full of crabs, fnails, grashoppers, and locusts, which proved to be the or- dinary provision of the natives. This the conquerors turned to a heavy charge against the conquered." The author owns that this, with their smoking tobacco, and trimming their beards in a different manner, gave rise to the law by which the Americans became slaves to the Spaniards. Knowledge humanises mankind, and reason inclines to mildness; but prejudices eradicate every tender disposition.

CHAP. IV.

Another Origin of the Right of Slavery.

I would as foon fay that religion gives its professors a right to enslave those who dissent from it, in order to render its propagation more easy.

This was the notion that encouraged the ravagers of America in their iniquity*. Under the influence of this

idea.

^{*} See hist of the conquest of Mexico, by Solis, and that of Peru, by Garcilasso de la Vega.

idea, they founded their right of enflaving so many nations; for these robbers, who would absolutely be both robbers and Christians, were superlatively devout.

Lewis XIII. † was extremely uneasy at a law, by which all the negroes of his colonies were to be made slaves; but it being strongly urged to him as the readiest means for their conversion, he acquiesced without further scruple.

CHAP. V.

Of the Slavery of the Negroes.

WERE I to vindicate our right to make flaves of the negroes, these should be my arguments.

The Europeans, having extirpated the Americans, were obliged to make flaves of the Africans, for clearing such vast tracts of land.

Sugar would be too dear, if the plants which produce it were cultivated by any other than flaves.

These creatures are all over black, and with such a flat

nose, that they can scarcely be pitied.

It is hardly to be believed that God, who is a wife being, should place a foul, especially a good soul, in such a black ugly body.

It is so natural to look upon colour as the criterion of human nature, that the Asiatics, among whom eunuchs are employed, always deprive the blacks of their resemblance to us, by a more opprobrious distinction.

The colour of the skin may be determined by that of the hair, which, among the Egyptians, the best philosophers in the world, was of such importance, that they put to death all the rcd-haired men who fell into their hands.

The negroes prefer a glass necklace to that gold, which polite nations so highly value: can there be a greater proof of their wanting common sense?

It is impossible for us to suppose these creatures to be men, because, allowing them to be men, a suspicion would sollow, that we ourselves are not Christians.

R 4 Weak

† Labat's new voyage to the isles of America, vol. 4. p. 114. 1722, in 12mo.

Weak minds exaggerate too much the wrong done to the Africans. For were the case as they state it, would the European powers, who make so many needless conventions among themselves, have failed to make a general one, in behalf of humanity and compassion?

CHAP. VI.

The true Origin of the Right of Slavery.

It is time to inquire into the true origin of the right of flavery. It ought to be founded on the nature of things; let us fee if there be any cases where it can be derived from thence,

In all despotic governments, people make no difficulty in selling themselves; the political slavery, in some meafure, annihilates the civil liberty.

According to Mr. Perry *, the Muscovites sell themfelves very readily: their reason for it is evident; their liberty is not worth keeping.

At Achim every one is for felling himself. Some of the chief lords † have not less than a thousand slaves, all principal merchants, who have a great number of slaves themselves, and these also are not without their slaves. Their masters are their heirs, and put them into trade. In those states, the freemen, being overpowered by the government, have no better resource than making themselves slaves to the tyrants in office.

This is the just and rational origin of that mild law of slavery, which obtains in some countries; and mild it ought to be, as sounded on a free choice a man makes of a master, for his own benefit; which forms a mutual convention betwixt the two parties.

CHAP.

the cafe as they liste it, would

Weak minds exaggerate too much the wrong long h

elia-Atricans, but were

the Parapean powers, who make to many heedlels ton ventions among the IIV . IV A H D deal to make a general one in behalf of numerary and H D calculate.

Another Origin of the Right of Slavery.

THERE is another origin of the right of flavery, and even of the most cruel flavery, which is to be seen amongst men.

There are countries where the excess of heat enervates the body, and renders men so slothful and dispirited, that nothing but the fear of chastisement can oblige them to perform any laborious duty: slavery is there more reconcilable to reason; and the master being as lazy with respect to his sovereign, as his slave is to him, this adds a political to a civil slavery.

Aristotle * endeavours to prove, that there are natural slaves; but what he says is far from proving it. If there be any such, I believe they are those of whom I have been speaking.

But as all men are born equal, flavery must be accounted unnatural, though in some countries it be sounded on natural reason; and a wide difference ought to be made betwixt such countries, and those where even natural reason rejects it, as in Europe, where it has been so happily abolished.

Plutarch, in his life of Numa, fays, that in Saturn's time there was neither flave nor mafter. Christianity has restored that age in our climates.

CHAP. VIII.

Inutility of Slavery among us.

NATURAL flavery, then, is to be limited to some particular parts of the world. In all other countries, even the most

^{*} Polit. lib. I. c. I.

most laborious works of society may be performed by free-

Experience verifies my affertion. Before Christianity had abolished civil slavery in Europe, working in the mines was judged too toilsome for any but slaves or malefactors: but, at present, there are men employed in them, who are known to live * happily. They have, by some small privileges encouraged this profession; to an increase of labour, they have joined an increase of gain; and have gone so far as to make them better pleased with their condition, than with any other which they could have embraced.

No labour is so heavy, but it may be brought to a level with the workman's strength, when regulated by equity, and not by avarice. The violent fatigues which slaves are made to undergo, in other parts, may be supplied by commodious machines, invented by art, and skilfully applied. The Turkish mines in the Bannat of Temeswar, though richer than those of Hungary, did not yield so much; because their invention reached no further than the strength of their slaves.

I know not whether this article be dictated by my understanding, or by my heart. Possibly there is not that climate upon earth, where the most laborious services might not, with proper encouragement, be performed by freemen. Bad laws having made lazy men, they have been reduced to slavery because of their laziness.

CHAP. IX.

Several kinds of Slavery.

SLAVERY is of two kinds, real and personal. The real annexes the slave to the land, which Tacitus + makes the condition of slaves among the Germans. They were not employed

As may be seen in the mines of Hartz in Lower Germany, and in those of Hungary.

[†] De meribus Germanerum.

employed in the family; a stated tribute of corn, cattle, or other moveables paid to their master, was the whole of their servitude. And such a servitude still continues in Hungary, Bohemia, and several parts of Lower Germany.

Personal slavery confists in domestic services, and relates

more to the master's person.

The worst degree of slavery is, when it is at once both real and personal, as that of the Helotes among the Lace-demonians. They underwent the fatigues of the field, and suffered all manner of insults within the house. This Helotism is contrary to the nature of things. The real slavery is to be found only among nations * of a plain manner of living; all samily-business being done by the wives and children. The personal slavery is peculiar to voluptuous nations; luxury requiring the service of slaves in the house. But Helotism joins in the same persons this slavery established by voluptuous nations, and that of the most simple.

CHAP. X.

Regulations necessary in respect to Slavery.

Bur of whatsoever kind the slavery be, the civil laws should endeavour, on the one hand, to abolish the abuses of it, and on the other to guard against its dangers.

CHAP. XI.

Abuses of Slavery.

In Mahometan states †, not only the life and goods of female slaves, but also what is called their virtue or honour, are at their master's disposal. One of the misfortunes of those countries, is, that the greatest part of the nation are

^{*} Tacitus de moribus German. fays, the master is not to be distinguished from the slave by any delicacy of living

† Sir John Chardin's travels to Persia.

born only to be subservient to the pleasures of the other. This servitude is alleviated by the laziness in which slaves live, which is an additional disadvantage to the state.

It is this indolence which renders the ‡ eastern feraglios fo delightful to those very persons whom they were made to confine. People who dread nothing but labour, may imagine themselves happy in these places of indolence and ease. But this shews how contrary they are to the very institutions of slavery.

Reason requires that the master's power shall not extend to what does not appertain to his service. Slavery should be calculated for utility, and not for pleasure. The laws of chastity arise from those of nature, and ought in all nations to be respected.

If a law which preserves the chastity of slaves, be good in those states where an arbitary power bears down all before it, how much more will it be so in monarchies, and how much more still in republics?

The law of the Lombards * has a regulation which ought to be adopted by all governments. "If a master "debauches his slave's wife, the slave and his wife shall be "restored to their freedom." An admirable expedient, which, without severity, lays a powerful restraint on the incontinency of masters.

The Romans feem to me to have erred on this head. They allowed an unlimited fcope to the mafter's luft, and, in some measure, denied their flaves the privilege of marrying. It is true, they were the lowest part of the nation; yet there should have been some care taken of their morals; especially as, in prohibiting their marriage, they corrupted the morals of the citizens.

CHAP. XII.

Danger from the Multitude of Slaves.

THE multitude of flaves has different effects in different governments. It is no grievance in a despotic flate, where

[‡] Sir John Chardin, vol. 2. in his description of the market of Izagour. Lib, 1. fit. 32. sect. 5.

the political flavery of the whole body takes away the fense of civil flavery. Those who are called Freemen, in reality are little more so than they who do not come within that class; and as the latter, in quality of eunuchs, freedmen, or flaves, have generally the management of all affairs, the condition of a freeman and that of a flave are very nearly allied. This makes it therefore almost a matter of indifference, whether in such states the slaves be sew or numerous.

But in moderate states, it is a point of the highest importance, that there should not be a great number of slaves. The political liberty of those states adds to the value of civil liberty; and he who is deprived of the latter, is also deprived of the former. He sees the happiness of a society, of which he is not so much as a member; he sees the security of others fenced by laws, himself without any protection. He sees his master has a soul, that can enlarge itself; while his own is constrained to submit to a continual depression. Nothing more assimilates a man to a beast, than living among freemen, himself a slave. Such people as these are the natural enemies of the society, and their number must be dangerous.

It is not therefore to be wondered at, that moderate governments have been so frequently disturbed, by revolts of slaves; and that this so seldom happens in * despotic

States.

CHAP. XIII.

Of armed Slaves.

THE danger of arming flaves is not fo great in monarchies as in republics. In the former a warlike people, and a body of nobility, are a fufficient check upon these armed flaves; whereas the pacific members of a republic would have a hard task to quell a set of men, who, having offensive weapons in their hands, would find themselves a match for the citizens.

The

^{*} The revolt of the Mammalucs was a different case; this was a body of the militia who usurped the empire.

The Goths, who conquered Spain, spread themselves over the country, and soon became very weak. They made three important regulations; they abolished an ancient custom, which prohibited intermarriages with the *Romans; they enacted that all the freedmen + belonging to the sisc, should serve in war, under penalty of being reduced to slavery; and they ordained that each Goth should arm and bring into the field the tenth part ‡ of his slaves. This was but a small proportion: Besides these slaves thus carried to the field, did not form a separate body; they were in the army, and might be said to continue in the family.

CHAP. XIV.

The same Subject continued.

WHEN a whole nation is of a martial temper, the flaves in arms are less to be feared.

By a law of the Allemans, a flave who had committed a clandestine thest || was liable to the same punishment as a freeman in the like case; but is was found guilty of a forcible robbery ||, he was only bound to restore the thing so taken. Among the Allemans, courage and intrepidity extenuated the guilt of an action. They employed their slaves in their wars. Most republics have been attentive to dispirit their slaves: But the Allemans relying on themselves, and being always armed, were so far from fearing their's, that they were rather for augmenting their courage; they were the instruments either of their depredations or of their glory.

CHAP.

^{*} Law of the Vifigoths, lib. 3. tit. I. § 1.

⁺ Ibid. lib. 5. tit. 7. § 20.

^{‡ 1}bid. lib. 9. tit 2. § 9.

[|] Law of the Allemans, c. 5. § 3.

Law of the Allemans, c. 5. § 5. per virtutem.

then trade constructed

CHAP. XV.

The Gother who conquered how in the metalling

Precautions to be used in moderate Governments.

LENITY and humane treatment may prevent the dangers to be apprehended from the multitude of flaves in a moderate government. Men grow reconciled to every thing, and even to fervitude, if not aggravated by the feverity of the mafter. The Athenians treated their flaves with great lenity; and this fecured that flate from the commotions raifed by the flaves amongst the austere Lacedemonians.

It does not appear that the primitive Romans met with any trouble from their flaves. Those civil wars * which have been compared to the Punic wars, were the confequences of their having divested themselves of all humanity towards their flaves.

A frugal and laborious people generally use their flaves more kindly, than those who are above labour. The primitive Romans lived, worked, and eat with their flaves; they behaved towards them with great justice and humanity. The greatest punishment they made them suffer, was to make them pass before their neighbours with a forked piece of wood on their backs. Their manners were sufficient to secure the sidelity of their slaves; there was no necessity for laws.

But when the Romans aggrandifed themselves; when their slaves were no longer the companions of their labour, but the instruments of their luxury and pride; as they then wanted morals, they had need of laws. It was even necessary for these laws to be of the most terrible kind, in order to establish the safety of those cruel masters, who lived in the midst of their slaves, as in the midst of enemies. They made the Syllanian senatus fonfultum, and other laws the which decreed, that when a master was murdered, all the slaves under the same roof, or in any place so near the house, as to be within the hearing of a man's voice, should without

^{*} Sicily, fays Florus, fuffered more in the fervile than in the Punic war, Lib. 3.

⁺ See the whole title of the fenat. conf. Syll. in ff.

without diffinction be condemned to die. Those who, in this case, sheltered a slave, in order to save him, were punished as * murderers; he whom his master + ordered to kill him, and who obeyed, was reputed guilty; even he who did not hinder him from killing himself, was liable to be punished t. If a master was murdered on a journey, they put to death || those who were with him, and those who fled. All these laws took place even against those whole innocence was proved: The intent of them was to give their flaves a prodigious respect for their master. They were not dependent on the civil government, but on a fault or imperfection of the civil government. They were not derived from the equity of civil laws, fince they were contrary to the principle of laws. They were properly founded on the principles of war, with this difference, that the enemies were in the bosom of the state. The Syllanian fenatusconsultum was derived from the law of nations, which requires that a fociety, however imperfect, should be preferved.

It is a misfortune in government when the magistracy thus find themselves under a necessity of making cruel laws; because they have rendered obedience difficult, they are obliged to increase the penalty of disobedience, or the fuspicion of fidelity. A prudent legislator foresees the ill consequences of rendering the legislator terrible. The flaves amongst the Romans could have no confidence in the laws; and therefore the laws could have no confidence in them.

CHAP.

Leg. fi quis § 12. ff. de fenat. confult. Syllan.

Leg. 1. § 1. 22. ff. de fenat. confult. Syllan. Leg. 1. § 31. ff. ibid.

yd bateau yldan i led ay

amount to House he floring

[†] When Antony commanded Eros to kill him, it was the same as commanding him to kill himself, because if he had obeyed, he would have been punished as the murderer of his master.

50 DES 7108 8

without this artison be connected to the

at home and who obsered was separated grades, even he was table to was hable to was hable to was entertered on a joinney, it a wash it was entertered on a joinney.

the after the here's a flave, in order to files I on were not

Regulations between Masters and Slaves.

THE magistrate ought to take care that the slave has his provisions and clothing; and this ought to be regulated by law.

The laws ought to provide that care be taken of them in fickness and old age. Claudius * decreed, that the slaves who, in fickness, had been abandoned by their masters, should, in case they recovered, be free. This law insured their liberty; but should not there have been some care also taken to preserve their lives?

When the law permitted a master to take away the life of his slave, he was invested with a power which he ought to exercise as judge, and not as master; it was necessary that the law should ordain those formalities which take away the suspicion of an act of violence.

When fathers at Rome were no longer permitted to put their children to death, the magistrates ordained the + punishment which the father would have inflicted. A like custom between the master and his slaves would be highly reasonable in a country where masters have the power of life and death.

The law of Moses was extremely severe. "If any one "struck his slave so that he died under his hand, he was "to be punished; but if he survived a day or two, he was "not, because he was as his money." Strange that a civil law should thus amongst these people relax the law of nature!

By a law of the Greeks ‡ a flave too roughly treated by his master, might insist upon being sold to another. In the latter times there was a law of the same nature || at Rome.

Vol. I. S A mafter

* Xiphilin. in Claudio.

+ See law 3. in the Code de patria potestate, by the emperour Alex-

‡ Plutarch on superstition.

See the constitution of Antonius Pius, institut. lib. 1. tit. 7.

A master displeased with his slave, and a slave with his

master, ought be separated.

When a citizen uses a slave of another ill, the latter ought to have liberty to complain before the judge. The laws * of Plato, and of most nations, took away from slaves the right of natural defence. It was necessary then that

they should give them a civil defence.

At Sparta, flaves could have no justice against either infults or injuries. So excessive was their misery, that they were not only the slaves of a citizen, but also of the public; they belonged to all, as well as to one. At Rome, when they considered the injury done to a slave, they had regard only to the interest † of the master. In the breach of the Aquilian law, they confounded a wound given to a beast, and that given to slave; they regarded only the diminution of their value. At Athens ‡, he who had abused the slave of another, was punished severely, and sometimes even with death. The law of Athens was very reasonable, in not adding the loss of safety to that of liberty.

CHAP. XVII.

Of Infranchisements.

It is eafy to perceive, that many flaves in a republican government create a necessity of making many free. The evil is, if they have too many flaves, they cannot keep them in due bounds; if they have too many freedmen, they cannot live, and must become a burden to the republic: Besides, it may be as much in danger from the too great number of freedmen, as from the too great number of flaves. It is necessary therefore that the laws should have an eye to these two inconveniences.

The feveral laws and decrees of the fenate made at Rome, both for and against slaves, sometimes to limit, and at other times to facilitate their infranchisement, plainly show

^{*} Lib. 9.

[†] This was frequently the spirit of the laws of those nations who came out of Germany, as may be seen by their codes.

[†] Demosthenes orat, contra Mediam, p. 610. Frankfort 1604.

show the embarrassement in which they found themselves in this respect. There were even times in which they durst not make laws. When under Nero * they demanded of the senate a permission for the masters to reduce again to slavery the ungrateful freedmen, the emperour declared that they ought to decide the affairs of individuals, and to make no general decree.

Much less can I determine what ought to be the regulations of a good republic in an affair of this kind; this depends on too many circumstances. Let us however make

fome reflections.

A confiderable number of freedmen ought not fuddenly to be made by a general law. We know that amongst the Volsinienses + the freedmen becoming masters of the suffrages, made an abominable law, which gave them the right of lying first with the girls married to the free-born.

There are several ways of introducing insensibly new citizens into a republic. The laws may favour the acquiring a peculium, and put slaves into a condition of buying their liberty: They may give a term to servitude like those of Moses, which limited that of the ‡ Hebrew slaves to six years. It is easy to give every year freedom to a certain number of those slaves, who, by their age, health, or industry, are capable of getting a subsistence. The evil may be even cured in its root: As a great number of slaves are connected with the several employments, which are given them to divide amongst the free-born, a part of these employments, for example, commerce, or navigation, is diminishing the number of slaves.

When there are many freedmen, it is necessary that the civil laws should determine what they owe to their patron, or else that these duties should be fixed by the con-

tract of infranchisement.

It is certain that their condition should be more favoured in the civil, than in the political state; because, even in a popular government, the power ought not to fall into the hands of the vulgar.

At Rome, where they had so many freedmen, the political laws with regard to them were admirable. They

^{*} Annals of Tacitus, lib. 13.

Frienshemius' supplement, decad. 2. lib. 5.

wifes of despair

gave them little, and excluded them almost from nothing: They had even a share in the legislature; but the resolutions they were capable of taking, were almost of no weight. They might bear a part in the public offices, and even in the dignity of the priesthood *; but this privilege was in some fort rendered useless by the disadvantages they had to encounter with the elections. They had a right to enter into the army: but they were to be registered in a certain class of the census, before they could be soldiers. Nothing † hindered freedmen from being united by marriage with the samilies of the free-born; but they were not permitted to mix with those of the senators. In short, their children were free-born, though they were not so themselves.

acirclesize and CHAP. XVIII.

Of Freedmen and Eunuchs.

Thus, in a republican government, it is frequently of advantage, for the fituation of the freedmen to be but little below that of the freeborn, and that the laws be adapted to remove a diflike of their condition. But in a despotic government, where luxury and arbitrary power prevail, they have nothing to do in this respect; the freedmen almost always find themselves above the freeborn. They rule in the court of the prince, and in the palaces of the great; and as they study the soibles, and not the virtues of their master, they lead him not by his virtues, but by his weakness. Such were the freedmen of Rome in the times of the emperours.

When the principal flaves are eunuchs, let ever so many privileges be granted them, they can hardly be regarded as freedmen. For as they cannot have a family of their own, they are naturally attached to that of another; and it is only by a kind of siction that they are considered as

citizens.

And

^{*} Annals of Tacitus, lib. 3. † Augustus' speech in Dio, 1-36.

And yet there are countries where the magistracy is entirely in their hands; "In * Tonquin," says Dampier +, "all the mandarins, civil and military, are enunchs." They have no families, and though they are naturally avaricious, the master or the prince in the end takes advantage of this very avarice.

Dampier ‡ tells us too, that in this country, the eunuchs cannot live without women, and therefore marry. The law which permits their marriage, may be founded, on the one hand, on their respect for these eunuchs, and, on the

other, on their contempt for women.

Thus they are trusted with the magistracy, because they have no family; and permitted to marry, because they are

magistrates.

Then it is that the sense which remains, would fain supply that which they have lost; and the enterprises of despair become a kind of enjoyment. So in Milton, that spirit who has nothing lest but desires, enraged at his degradation, would make use of his impotency itself.

We see in the history of China a great number of laws to deprive eunuchs of all civil and military employments; but they always returned to them again. It seems as if

the eunuchs of the east were a necessary evil.

BOOK XVI.

HOW THE LAWS OF DOMESTIC SLAVERY HAVE A RELA-TION TO THE NATURE OF THE CLIMATE.

CHAP. I.

Of domestic Servitude.

SLAVES are established for the family; but they are not a part of it. Thus I distinguish their servitude from that

S 3 which

It was formerly the same in Chinz. The two Mahometan Arabs who travelled thither in the ninth century, use the word sunuch, whenever they speak of the governour of a city.

⁺ Vol. 3. p. 91.

Tom. 2. p. 94.

which the women in some countries suffer, and which I shall properly call domestic servitude.

continued that their afcendent over sean thair

is hot countries, their as

CHAP. II.

That in the Countries of the South there is a Natural Inequality between the two Sexes.

Women, in hot climates, are * marriageable at eight, nine, or ten years of age; thus, in those countries, infancy and marriage almost always go together. They are old at twenty; Their reason therefore never accompanies their beauty. When beauty demands the empire, the want of reason forbids the claim; when reason is obtained, beauty is no more. These women ought then to be in a state of dependence; for reason cannot procure in old age, that empire, which even youth and beauty could not give. It is therefore extremely natural, that in these places, a man, when no law opposes it, should leave one wife to take another, and that polygamy should be introduced.

In temperate climates, where the charms of women are best preserved, where they arrive later at maturity, and have children at a more advanced season of life, the old age of their husbands in some degree follows theirs; and as they have more reason and knowledge at the time of marriage, if it be only on account of their having continued longer in life, it must naturally introduce a kind of equality between the two sexes, and, in consequence of this, the

law of having only one wife.

In cold countries the almost necessary custom of drinking strong liquors, establishes intemperance amongst men. Women, who, in this respect, have a natural restraint, because they are always on the desensive, have therefore the advantage of reason over them.

Nature

[•] Mihomet married Cadhisja at five, and took her to his bed at eight years oid. In the hot countries of Arabia and the Indies, girls are marriageable at eight years of age, and are brought to bed the year after. Prideaux, life of Mabomet. We fe women in the kingdom of Algiers pregnant at nine, ten, and eleven years of age. Hift of the kingdom of Algiers by Logier de Tassis, p. 61.

Nature, which has distinguished men by their reason and bodily strength, has set no other bounds to their power than those of this strength and reason. It has given charms to women, and ordained that their ascendant over man shall end with these charms: But, in hot countries, these are sound only at the beginning, and never in the progress of life.

Thus the law which permits only one wife, is physically conformable to the climate of Europe, and not to that of Asia. This is the reason why Mahometanism was established with such facility in Asia, and so difficultly extended in Europe; why Christianity is maintained in Europe, and has been destroyed in Asia; and, in sine, why the Mahometans have made such progress in China, and the Christians so little.

Some particular reasons induced Valentinian to * permit polygamy in the empire. That law, so improper for our climates, was abrogated † by Theodosius, Arcadius and Honorius.

CHAP. III.

That a Plurality of Wives depends greatly on the Means of supporting them.

Though in countries where polygamy is once established, the number of wives is principally determined by the riches of the husband; yet it cannot be said that riches established polygamy in these states; since poverty may produce the same essect, as I shall prove when I come to speak of the savages.

Polygamy, in powerful nations, is less a luxury in itfelf, than the occasion of great luxury. In hot ‡ climates they have few wants, and it costs little to maintain a wife

^{*} See Jornandes de regno et tempor. succes. and the ecclesiastical historians.

[†] See law 7. of the Code de Judais et Calicolis, and nov. 18. chap. 5. ‡ In Ceylan a man may live on ten fols a month; they eat nothing there but rice and fish. Collection of voyages made to establish an India company.

and children; they may therefore have a greater number of wives.

CHAP. IV.

That the Law of Polygamy is an Affair that depends on Calculation.

According to the calculations made in feveral parts of Europe, there are here born more boys than girls *; on the contrary, by the accounts we have of Afia, there are there born more + girls than boys. The law which in Europe allows only one wife, and that in Afia which permits many, have therefore a certain relation to the climate.

In the cold climates of Asia, there are born, as in Europe, more males than females; and from hence, say the Lamas, is derived the reason of that law, which, amongst them, permits a woman to have | many husbands.

But it is difficult for me to believe that there are many countries, where the disproportion can be great enough to require the introducing either the law in favour of many wives, or that of many husbands. This would only imply, that a plurality of women, or even a plurality of men, is more conformable to nature in certain countries than in-others.

I confess, that if what history tells us be true, that at Bantam 4 there are ten women to one man, this must be a case particularly favourable to polygamy.

In all this I only give their reasons, but do not justify their customs,

CHAP.

Dr. Arbuthnot finds that in England the number of boys exceeds that of girls; but people have been to blame to conclude that the case is the same in all climates.

[†] See Kempfer, who relates, that, upon numbering the people of Meaco there were found 182,072 males, and 223,573 females.

[†] Du Halde's hift. of China, vol. 4. p. 461.

|| Albazeit-el-haffen, one of the two Mahometan Arabs, who, in the ninth century, went into India and China, thought this custom a profitution. And indeed nothing could be more contrary to the ideas of a Mahometan.

⁴ Collection of voyages for the establishment of an India company,

and children, they may therefore daye a g

CHAP. V.

The Reafon of a Law of Malabar.

In the tribe of the * Naires, on the coast of Malabar, the men can have only one wife, while a woman, on the contrary may have many husbands. The origin of this custom is not, I believe, difficult to discover. The Naires are the tribe of nobles, who are the soldiers of all those nations. In Europe, soldiers are forbid to marry: In Malabar, where the climate requires greater indulgence, they are satisfied with rendering marriage as little burdensome to them as possible; they give a wife amongst many men, which consequently diminishes the attachment to a family, and the cares of housekeeping, and leaves them in the free possession of a military spirit.

CHAP. VI.

Of Polygamy confidered in itself.

With regard to polygamy in general, independently of the circumstances which may render it tolerable, it is not of the least service to mankind, nor to either of the two sexes, whether it be that which abuses, or that which is abused. Neither is it of service to the children; for one of its greatest inconveniences is, that the father and mother cannot have the same affection for their offspring; a father cannot love twenty children with the same tenderness as a mother can love two. It is much worse when a wise has many husbands; for then paternal love is only held by this opinion,

^{*} See Francis Pirard, c. 27; edifying letters, 3d and toth collection on the Malleani on the coast of Malabar. This is considered as an abuse of the military profession, as a woman, says Pirard, of the tribe of the Brapains, never would marry many husbands.

opinion, that a father may believe, if he will, or that others

may believe, that certain children belong to him.

A plurality of wives, who would think it! leads to that passion which nature disallows; for one depravation always draws on another. I remember, that in the revolution which happened at Conftantinople, when Sultan Achmet was deposed, history says, that the people having plundered the Kiaya's house, they found not a fingle woman; they tell us that at * Algiers, in the greatest part of their feraglios, they have none at all.

Befides, the possession of many wives does not always prevent the entertaining defires + for those of others: It is with luft as with avarice, whose thirst increases by the ac-

quifition of treasures.

In the reign of Justinian, many philosophers, displeased with the constraint of Christianity, retired into Persia. What struck them most, says Agathias I was, that polygamy was permitted amongst men who did not even abr stain from adultery.

CHAP. VII.

Of an Equality of Treatment in Case of many Wives.

From the law which permitted a plurality of wives, followed that of an equal behaviour to each. Mahomet, who allowed of four, would have every thing, as provisions, drefs, and conjugal duty, equally divided between them. This law is also in force in the Maldivian isles ||, where they are at liberty to marry three wives.

The law of Moses 4 even declares, that if any one has married his fon to a flave, and this fon should marry afterwards a free woman, he shall diminish nothing of her food, her raiment, or duty of marriage. They might give more to the new wife; but the first was not to have less then she

had before.

CHAP,

^{*} Hift. of Algiers by Logier de Taffis.

[†] This is the reason why women in the east are so carefully concealed.

t Life and actions of Justinian, p. 403.

[|] See Pirard, c. 12. Exod. xxi. 10, 11.

completely a father may believe it he was the tree order.

CHAP. VIII.

Of the Separation of Women from Men.

THE prodigious number of wives possessed by those who live in rich and voluptuous nations, is a consequence of the law of polygamy. Their separation from men, and their close confinement, naturally follow from the greatness of this number. Domestic order renders this necessary; thus an infolvent debtor feeks to conceal himfelf from the pursuit of his creditors. There are climates where the impulses of nature has such a strength, that morality has almost none. If a man be left with a woman, the temptation and the fall will be the same thing; the attack certain, the refistance none. In these countries, instead of precepts, they have recourse to bolts and bars.

One of the Chinese classic authors considers the man as a prodigy of virtue, who finding a woman alone in a distant apartment, can forbear violating her *.

CHAP. IX.

Of the Connection between Domestic and Political Government.

In a republic the condition of citizens is limited, equal, mild, and agreeable; every thing partakes of the benefit of public liberty. An empire over the women cannot, amongst them, be so well exerted; and where the climate demands this empire, it is most agreeable to the government of a fingle person. This is one of the reasons why it has always been difficult to establish a popular government in the eaft.

[&]quot; It is an admirable touchstone, to find by one's felf a treasure which "we can make ourselves master of, or a beautiful woman in a distant apartment, or to hear the voice of an enemy who must perish without our
affistance." Translation of a Chinese piece of morality, which may be seen in Du Halde, vol. 3. p. 151.

On the contrary, the flavery of women is perfectly conformable to the genius of a despotic government, which delights in treating all with severity. Thus at all times have we seen in Asia, domestic slavery and despotic government

walk hand in hand with an equal pace.

In a government which requires, above all things, that a particular regard be paid to its tranquility, and where the extreme subordination forms that tranquility, it is absolutely necessary to shut up the women; for their intrigues would prove fatal to their husbands. A government which has not time to examine into the conduct of its subjects, views them with a suspicious eye, only because they appear, and suffer themselves to be known.

Let us only suppose that the levity of mind, the indiscretions, the tastes and disgusts of our women, attended by their passions of a higher and lower kind, with all their active sire, and in that full liberty with which they appear amongst us, were conveyed into an eastern government; where would be the father of a family who could enjoy a moment's repose; The men would be every where sufpected, every where enemies; the state would be overturned, and the kingdom overslowed with rivers of blood.

CHAP. X.

The Principle on which the Morals of the East are founded.

In the case of a multiplicity of wives, the more a family ceases to be united, the more ought the laws to reunite its detached parts in a common centre; and the greater the diversity of interests, the more necessary it is for the laws

to bring them back to a common interest.

This is more particularly done by confinement. The women fhould not only be separated from the men by the walls of the house; but they ought also to be separated in the same inclosure, in such a manner that each may have a distinct household in the same family. From hence each derives all that relates to the practice of morality, modesty, chastity, reserve, silence, peace, dependence, respect, love,

and, in fhort, a general direction of their thoughts, to that which in its own nature is a thing of the greatest importance, a single and entire attachment to her family.

Women have naturally fo many duties to fulfil, duties which are peculiarly theirs, that they cannot be enough excluded from every thing capable of inspiring other ideas; from every thing that goes by the name of amusements; and from every thing which we call business.

We find the manners more pure in the several parts of the East, in proportion as the confinement of women is more strictly observed. In great kingdoms, there are necessarily great lords. The greater their wealth, the more enlarged is their ability of keeping their wives in an exact confinement, and of preventing them from entering again into society. From hence it proceeds, that in the empires of Turkey, Persia, of the Mogul, China, and Japan, the manners of their wives are admirable.

But the case is not the same in India, where a multitude of islands, and the situation of the land, have divided the country into an infinite number of little states, which, from causes that we have not here room to mention, are rendered despotic.

There are none but the wretches who pillage, and the wretches who are pillaged. Their grandees have very moderate fortunes; and those whom they call rich, have only a bare subsistence. The confinement of their women cannot therefore be very strict; nor can they make use of any great precautions to keep them within due bounds; from hence it proceeds that the corruption of their manners is scarcely to be conceived.

We may there see to what an extreme the vices of a climate, indulged in full liberty, will carry licentiousness. It is there that nature has a strength, and modesty a weakness, that exceeds all comprehension. At Patan * the wanton desires † of the women are so outragious, that the men

^{*} Collection of voyages for the establishment of an India company, vol

[†] In the Maldivian isles the fathers marry their daughters at ten and eleven years of age, because it is a great sin, say they, to suffer them to endure the want of a husband. See Pirard. c. 12. At Bantam as soon as a girl is twelve or thirteen years old, she must be married if they would not have her lead a debauched life. Collection of voyages for the chall some of an India company, p. 348.

is with the first of the standard and the standard of the stan

be country, and

CHAP

are obliged to make use of a certain apparel to shelter them from their designs. In these countries, the two sexes lose even those laws which properly belong to each.

Then the median as CHAP. XI.

are germate a grant grant of the following and the statement

Of Domestic Slavery independently of Polygamy.

It is not only a plurality of wives, which in certain places of the East requires their confinement, but also the climate itself. Those who consider the horrible crimes, the black villanies, the poisonings, the assassinations, which the liberty of women has occasioned at Goa, and in the Portuguese settlements in the Indies, where religion permits only one wife; and who compare them with the innocence and purity of mannners of the women of Turky, Persia, Mogulstan, China, and Japan, will clearly see that it is frequently as necessary to separate them from the men, when they have but one, as when they have many.

These are things which ought to be decided by the cli-amate. What purpose would it answer to shut up women in our northern countries, where their manners are naturally good; where all their passions are calm; and where love rules over the heart with so regular and gentle an empire, that the least degree of prudence is sufficient to conduct

It is a happiness to live in those climates which permit a communication between each other, where that sex which has most charms seems to embellish society, and where wives reserving themselves for the pleasures of one, contribute to the amusement of all.

end and to whom CHAP. XII.

Of Natural Modesty.

ALL nations are equally agreed in fixing contempt and ignominy on the incontinence of women. Nature has dictated this to all. She has established the attack, and she has established too the defence; and having implanted defires in both, she has given to the one boldness, and to the other shame. She has given to individuals a long extent of years in which they are to seek their own preservation; but to perpetuate themselves, she has given only a moment.

It is then far from being true, that to be incontinent is to follow the laws of nature; fince this is, on the contrary, a violation of them: it is only by modesty and discretion

that we can follow these laws.

Besides, it is natural for intelligent beings to feel their imperfections. Nature has therefore fixed modesty in our

minds, that is, a shame of our imperfections.

When therefore the physical power of certain climates violates the natural law of the two sexes, and that of intelligent beings; it belongs to the legislature to make civil laws, to oppose the nature of the climate, and to re-establish the primative laws.

CHAP. XIII.

Of Jealoufy.

With respect to nations we ought to distinguish between the passion of jealousy, and a jealousy arising from customs, manners, and laws. The one is a hot raging fever; the other, cold, but sometimes terrible, may be joined with indifference and contempt.

The one, which is an abuse of love, derives its birth from love itself. The other depends only on manners, on the customs of a nation, on the laws of the country, and

fometimes even on religion *.

It is almost always the effect of the physical power of the climate; and, at the same time, the remedy of this phyfical power.

CHAP.

^{*} Mahomet defired his followers to watch their wives; a certain Iman when he was dying, faid the fame thing; and Confucius preached the fame doctrine.

anglet wife to give it to women

CHAP. XIV.

suges entending the charms of search in the female his teater to the search feet to the an an advertised one builded as feet to complement and some by the momentument of past plantares.

Of the Eastern manner of Domestic Government.

Wives are changed so often in the East, that they cannot have the power of domestic government. This care is therefore committed to the eunuchs, whom they intrust with all their keys, and the management of all their household assairs. "In Persia," says Sir John Chardin, "they give wives their cloaths, as we do to children." Thus that care which seems so well to become them, that care which every where else is the first of their cares, does not at all concern them.

CHAP. XV.

waste to wat A town house

digit to pur

to well add town of

Of Divorce and Repudiation.

THERE is this difference between a divorce and a repudiation, that a divorce is made by a mutual confent occasioned by a mutual antipathy: while a repudiation is made by the will, and for the advantage of one of the two parties, independently of the will and advantage of the other.

The necessity there is sometimes for women to repudiate, and the difficulty there always is in doing it, render that law very tyrannical, which gives this right to men, without giving it to women. A husband is the master of the house; he has a thousand ways of keeping his wife to her duty, or of bringing her back to it; so that in his hands it seems as if repudiation could be only a new abuse of power. But a wife who repudiates, only makes use of a dreadful kind of remedy. It is always a great missortune for her to go in search of a second husband, when she has lost the most part of her attractions with another. One of the advan-

tages

tages attending the charms of youth in the female fex is, that in an advanced age the husband is led to complacency and love by the remembrance of past pleasures.

It is then a general rule, that in all countries where the laws have given to men the power of repudiating, they

ought also to give it to women.

Nay, in climates where women live in domestic slavery, one would think that the law ought to permit women the right of repudiation, and to husbands only that of divorce.

When wives are confined in a feraglio, the husband ought not to repudiate on account of an opposition of manners; it is the husband's fault if their manners are incompatible.

Repudiation, because of the barrenness of the woman, ought never to take place but where there is only one wife; when there are many, this is of no importance to the husband.

A law of the Maldivians * permitted them to take again a wife whom they had repudiated. A law of Mexico + forbade their being reunited under pain of death. The law of Mexico was more rational than that of the Maldivians: at the time even of the diffolution, it attended to the perpetuity of marriage; instead of this, the law of the Maldivians seemed equally to sport with marriage and repudiation.

The law of Mexico admitted only of divorce. This was a particular reason for their not permitting those who were voluntarily separated, to be ever reunited Repudiation seems chiefly to proceed from a hastiness of temper, and from the dictates of some of the passions; while divorce

appears to be an affair of deliberation.

Divorces are frequently of great political use; but as to the civil utility, they are established only for the advantage of the husband and wise; and are not always favourable to their children.

Vol. I. T CHAP.

They took them again preferably to any other, because, in this case there was less expence. Pirard's travels.

† Hist. of the conquest of Mexico by Solis, p. 499.

CHAP. XVI.

Of Repudiation and Divorce amongst the Romans.

Romulus permitted a husband to repudiate his wife, if she had committed adultery, prepared poison, or procured false keys. He did not give to women the right of repudiating their husbands. Plutarch * calls this a law extremely severe.

As the Athenian law gave + the power of repudiation to the wife as well as the hufband, and as this right was obtained by the women amongst the primitive Romans notwithstanding the law of Romulus; it is evident that this institution was one of those which the deputies of Rome brought from Athens, and which were inserted into the laws of the twelve tables.

Cicero † fays that the reasons of repudiation sprung from the law of the twelve tables. We cannot then doubt but that this law increased the number of the reasons for repudiation established by Romulus.

The power of divorce was also an appointment, or at least a consequence of the law of the twelve tables. For from the moment that the wife or the husband had separately the right of repudiation, there was a much stronger reason for their having the power of quitting each other by mutual consent.

The law did not require that they should lay open the sauses of divorce. In the nature of the thing, the reasons for repudiation should be given, while those for a divorce are unnecessary; because whatever causes the law may admit as sufficient to break a marriage, a mutual antipathy must be stronger than them all.

The following fact mentioned by Dionysius Halicarnaffus

^{*} Life of Romulus.

[†] This was a law of Solon.

[†] Minam res suas sibi habere jussit; ex duodecim tabulas causam addidit.

^{||} Justinian altered this, nov. 117. c. 10.

fus *, Valerius Maximus +, and Aulus Gellius ‡, does not appear to me to have the least degree of probability: Though they had at Rome, fay they, the power of repudiating a wife; yet they had so much respect for auspices, that nobody, for the space of five hundred and twenty years ||, ever made use of this right till Carvilius Ruga repudiated his, because of her sterility. We need only be fenfible of the nature of the human mind, to perceive how very extraordinary it must be, for a law to give such right to a whole nation, and yet for nobody to make use of it. Coriolanus fetting out on his exile, advised his & wife to marry a man more happy than himself. We have just been feeing that the law of the twelve tables, and the manners of the Romans, greatly extended the law of Romulus. But to what purpose were these extensions, if they never made use of a power to repudiate: Besides, if the citizens had fuch a respect for the auspices, that they would never repudiate, how came the legislators of Rome to have less than they; and how came the laws inceffantly to corrupt their manners?

All that is surprising in the fact in question, will soon disappear, only by comparing two passages in Plutarch, The regal law permitted a husband to repudiate in the three cases already mentioned, and "it enjoined, (says Plutarch +,)" that he who repudiated in any other case, should be obliged to give the half of his substance to his wise, and that the other half should be consecrated to Ceres." They might then repudiate in all cases, if they were but willing to submit to the penalty. Nobody had done this before Carvilius Ruga ++; who, as Plutarch says in another place ||||, "put away his wife for her sterility two hundred and thirty years after Romulus:" that is, she was repudiated seventy one years before the law of the

^{*} Justinian, Lib. 2. † Lib. 2. c. 4. † Lib. 4 c. 3. 8. || According to Dionys. Halicarn. and Valerius Maximus, and five hundred and twenty-three according to Aulus Gellius. So also they did not agree in placing this under the same confuls.

[§] See the Speech of Veturia in Dionys Halicarn. lib. 8.

Plutarch, life of Romulus. 4 Ibid. †† Indeed sterility is not a cause mentioned by the law of Romulus; but, to all appearance he was not subject to a confiscation of his effects, since he followed the orders of the censors.

II In his comparison between Theseus and Romulus.

twelve tables, which extended both the power and the

causes of repudiation.

The authors I have cited fay, that Carvilius Ruga loved his wife; but that the cenfors made him take an oath to put her away, because of her barrenness, to the end that he might give children to the republic; and that this rendered him odious to the people. We must know the genius and temper of the Romans, before we can discover the true cause of the hatred they had conceived for Carvilius. He did not fall into difgrace with the people for repudiating his wife; this was an affair that did not at all concern them. But Carvilius had taken an oath to the cenfors, that, because of the sterility of his wife, he would repudiate her to give children to the republic. This was a yoke which the people faw the cenfors were going to put upon them. I shall discover, in the prosecution of this work *, the repugnance which they always felt for regulations of the like kind. We should explain the laws by the laws, and history by history.

BOOK XVII.

HOW THE LAWS OF POLITICAL SERVITUDE HAVE A RE-LATION TO THE NATURE OF THE CLIMATE.

CHAP. I.

Day and man Of political Servitude.

POLITICAL fervitude does not less depend on the nature of the climite, than that which is civil and domestic, and this we are going to make appear.

CHAP.

* Book xxiii. c. 21.

County the firm was theres and a moin brigger to serves The authors I have ence the that Care fur Ruga haved

the water, buttighed the compact made bigs also accepted to ad tad bas de as ber CHAP. II. beard vevs and soo

month gover children on the republic, and that this get der The Difference between Nations in point of Courage.

and to the state of the state o WE have already observed that great heat enervates the frength and courage of men, and that in cold climates they have a certain vigour of body and mind which renders them capable of long, painful, great, and intrepid actions. This remark holds true not only between one nation and another; but even in the different parts of the same country. In the north of China *, people are more conrageous than those in the fouth, and those in the fouth of Corea + have less bravery than those in the north.

We ought not then to be assonished that the esseminacy of the people in hot climates, has almost always rendered them flaves; and that the bravery of those in cold climates has enabled them to maintain their liberties. This is an effect which springs from a natural cause.

This has also been found true in America; the despotic empires of Mexico and Peru were near the line, and almost all the little free nations were and are still, near the

CHAP. III.

Of the Climate of Asia.

THE relations of travellers I inform us, "that the vast " continent of the north of Asia, which extends from for-" ty degrees or thereabouts to the pole, and from the " frontiers of Muscovy even to the eastern ocean, is in an T 3. s. lim or going one aw

[.] Du Halde, vol. I. p. 112.

⁺ The Chinese books make mention of this, ibid. vol. iv. p. 448.

See travels to the North, vol. 8. the hift, of the Tartars, and Du Halde,

" extremely cold climate; that this immense tract of land " is divided by a chain of mountains, which run from west " to east, leaving Siberia on the north, and Great Tartary " on the fouth; that the climate of Siberia is fo cold, " that excepting some places, it cannot be cultivated; and " that though the Russians have settlements all along the " Irtis, they cultivate nothing; that in this country there " grows only fome little firs and shrubs; that the natives " of the country are divided into wretched colonies, like " those of Canada; that the reason of this cold proceeds " on the one hand from the height of the land, and, on the " other, from the mountains, which, in proportion as they " run from fouth to north, are levelled in fuch a manner, " that the north wind every where blows without opposi-" tion; that this wind which renders Nova Zembla unin-" habitable, blowing in Siberia, makes it a barren waste; " that in Europe, on the contrary, the mountains of Nor-" way and Lapland are admirable bulwarks which cover " the northern country from this wind; fo that at Stock-" holm, which is about fifty-nine degrees latitude, the earth " produces plants, fruits, and corn; and that about Abo, " which is fixty-one degrees, and even to fixty-three and " fixty-four, there are mines of filver, and the land is fruit-" ful enough."

We fee also in these relations, " that Great Tartary, " which is to the fouth of Siberia, is also exceeding cold; " that the country cannot be cultivated; that nothing can " be found but pasturage for their flocks and herds; that " trees cannot grow there, but only brambles, as in Ice-" land; that there are near China and India, fome countries " where there grows a kind of millet, but that neither " corn nor rice will ripen; that there is scarcely a place " in Chinese Tartary at forty-three, forty-four, and for-" ty-five degrees, where it does not freeze feven or eight " months in the year, fo that it is as cold as Iceland, though " it might be imagined from its fituation to be as hot as " the fouth of France; that there are no cities except four " or five towards the eastern ocean, and some which the " Chinese, for political reasons, have built near China; " that in the rest of Great Tartary, there are only a few fituated in Buchar, Turquestan, and Cathay; that the

"reason of this extreme cold proceeds from the nature of the nitrous earth, full of saltpetre and sand, and more particularly from the height of the land. Father Verbiest found, that a certain place eighty leagues north of the great wall towards the source of Kavamhuram, exceeded the height of the sea near Pekin three thousand geometrical paces; that this height * is the cause that though almost all the great rivers of Asia have their source in this country, there is however so great a want of water, that it can be inhabited only near the rivers and lakes."

The facts being laid down, I reason thus. Asia has properly no temperate zone, as the places situated in a very cold climate immediately touch upon those which are exceeding hot, that is, Turkey, Persia, India, China, Corea,

and Japan.

In Europe, on the contrary, the temperate zone is very extensive, though situated in climates widely different from each other; there being no affinity between the climates of Spain and Italy, and those of Norway and Sweden. But as the climate grows insensibly cold upon our advancing from south to north, nearly in proportion to the latitude of each country; it thence follows that each resembles the country joining to it, that there is no very extraordinary difference between them, and that, as I have just said, the

temperate zone is very extensive.

From hence it comes, that in Asia the strong nations are opposed to the weak; the warlike, brave, and active people touch immediately on those who are indolent, esseminate, and timorous: The one must therefore conquer, and the other be conquered. In Europe, on the contrary, strong nations are opposed to the strong; and those who join to each other have nearly the same courage. This is the grand reason of the weakness of Asia, and of the strength of Europe; of the liberty of Europe, and of the slavery of Asia: A cause that I do not recollect ever to have seen remarked. From hence it proceeds, that liberty in Asia never increases; whilst in Europe it is enlarged or diminished according to particular circumstances.

The Russian nobility have indeed been reduced to slavery by the ambition of one of their princes; but they have T 4 always

^{*} Tartary is then a kind of a flat mountain.

Land Land have a land

Live a to more to

always discovered those marks of impatience and discontent which are never to be seen in the southern climates. Have they not been able for a short time to establish an aristocratical government? Another of the northern kingdoms has lost its laws; but we may trust to the climate that they are not lost in such a manner as never to be recovered.

CHAP. IV.

The Confequences refulting from this.

What we have just said, is perfectly conformable to history. Asia has been subdued thirteen times; eleven by the northern nations, and twice by those of the south. In the early ages it was conquered three times by the Scythians: afterwards it was conquered once by the Medes, and once by the Persians; again by the Greeks, the Arabs, the Moguls, the Turks, the Tartars, the Persians, and the Afghans. I mention only the Upper Asia, and say nothing of the invasions made in the rest of the south of that part of the world, which has continually suffered prodigious revolutions.

In Europe, on the contrary, fince the establishment of the Greek and Phænecian colonies, we know but of four great changes; the first caused by the conquests of the Romans; the fecond by the inundations of the Barbarians who destroyed these very Romans; the third by the victories of Charlemagne; and the last by the invasions of the Normans. And if this be rightly examined, we shall find, even in these changes, a general strength diffused through all the parts of Europe. We know the difficulty which the Romans met with in conquering Europ, and the ease and facility with which they invaded Asia. We are fenfible of the difficulties the northern nations had to encounter in overturning the Roman empire; of the wars, and labours of Charlemagne; and of the feveral enterprises of the Normans. The destroyers were incessantly destroyed.

CHAP

finer hern climates

Have they not been able for a thore time to ellablish an a ridocratical government. A A'H'D' the northern kings come has lost its lawer but we may unif to the climate come has lost its lawer but we may unif to the climate

always discovered those marks of impairence and discon-

That when the People in the North of Asia, and those of the North of Europe have conquered, the Effects of the Conquest were not the same.

THE nations in the north of Europe conquered as freemen; the people in the north of Afia conquered as flaves, and subdued others only to gratify the ambition of a master.

The reason is, that the people of Tartary, the natural conquerors of Asia, are themselves enslaved. They are incessantly making conquests in the south of Asia, where they form empires; but that part of the nation which continues in the country, find that they are subject to a great master, who, being despotic in the south, will also be so in the north, and exercising an arbitrary power over the conquered subjects, pretends to the same over those who are the conquerors. This is at this day plainly seen in that vast country called Chinese Tartary, which is governed by the emperour with a power almost as despotic as that of China itself, and which he every day extends by his conquests

We may likewise see in the history of China, that the emperours * sent Chinese colonies into Tartary. These Chinese are become Tartars, and the mortal enemies of China; but this does not prevent their carrying into Tartary the spirit of the Chinese government.

A part of the Tartars who have conquered, have very often been themselves driven out; when they have carried into their deserts that servile spirit which they had acquired in the climate of slavery. The history of China surnishes us with great examples of this, as does also our ancient + history.

From hence it proceeds that the genius of the Getic or Tartarian nation has always refembled that of the empires of Asia. The people in these are governed by the cudgel;

^{*} As Vouty V. emperour of the fifth dynasty.

† The Scythians three times conquered Asia, and were three times driven from thence. Justin. 1. 2.

Power

the people in Tartary by long whips. The spirit of Europe has always been contrary to these manners; and in all ages what the people of Asia have called punishment, the people of Europe have called the most outrageous abuse *.

The Tartars who destroyed the Grecian empire, established in the conquered countries slavery and despotic power; the Goths conquering the Roman empire every where founded monarchy and liberty.

I do not know whether the famous Rudbeck, who in his Atlantica has bestowed such praises on Scandinavia, has made mention of that great prerogative which ought to set this people above all the nations upon earth; namely, this country's having been the source from whence sprung the liberties of Europe, that is, of almost all the freedom which at present subsists amongst mankind.

Jornandez the Goth has called the north of Europe the forge † of the human race. I should rather call it the forge of the instruments which broke the chains framed in the fouth. In the north were formed those valiant nations, which fallied forth and left their countries to destroy tyrants and slaves, and to teach men, that nature having made them equal, reason could not render them dependent, except where it was necessary to their happiness.

CHAP. VI.

A new physical Cause of the Slavery of Asia, and of the Liberty of Eu-

In Afia they have always had great empires: In Europe these could never subsist. Asia has larger plains; it is cut out into much more extensive divisions by mountains and seas; and as it lies more to the south, its springs are more easily dried up; the mountains are less covered with snow; and the rivers being not ‡ so large, form smaller barriers.

^{*} This is no way contrary to what I shall say in book 28 chap. 20. concerning the manner of thinking among the German nations, in respect to the cudgel; let the instrument he what it will, the power or action of beating was always considered by them as an affront.

[†] Humani generis officinam.

[†] The waters lose themselves, or evaporate before or after their streams are united.

Power in Asia ought then to be always despotic: For if their slavery was not severe, they would soon make a division inconsistent with the nature of the country.

In Europe the natural division forms many nations of a moderate extent, in which the government of the laws is not incompatable with the maintenance of the state; on the contrary, it is so favourable to it, that without this the state would fall into decay, and become inferiour to all others.

It is this which has formed a genius for liberty, that renders every part extremely difficult to be fubdued and fubjected to a foreign power, otherwise than by the laws and the advantage of commerce.

On the contrary, there reigns in Afia a fervile spirit, which they have never been able to shake off; and it is impossible to find, in all the histories of this country, a single passage which discovers a free soul: We shall never see any thing there but the heroism of slavery.

CHAP. VII.

Of Africa and America.

This is what I had to fay of Afia and Europe. Africa is in a climate like that of the fouth of Afia, and is in the fame fervitude. America * being destroyed and lately repeopled by the nations of Europe and Africa, can now scarcely shew its true genius; but what we know of its ancient history is very conformable to our principles.

BOOK.

^{*} The petty barbarous nations of America are called by the Spaniards Indios Bravos, and are much more difficult to fubdue than the great empires of Mexico and Peru.

nences, would be all mens have a popular government; there of the site a semanded a government compoled of the cure for and they who exercises the lead were for a gr

BOOK XVIII.

OF LAWS IN THE RELATION THEY BEAR TO THE NA-TURE OF THE SOIL.

CHAP. I.

How the Nature of the Soil has an Influence on the Laws.

I HE goodness of the land, in any country, naturally eftablishes subjection and dependence. The husbandmen who compose the principal part of the people, are not very jealous of their liberty: They are too bufy and too intent on their own private affairs. A country which overflows with wealth, is afraid of pillage, afraid of an army. "Who is there that forms this goodly party? (faid Cice-" ro to Attieus *:) Are they the men of commerce and " of husbandry? Let us not imagine that these are averse " to monarchy, these to whom all governments are equal, " as foon as they bestow tranquility."

Thus monarchy is more frequently found in fruitful countries, and a republican government in those which are not fo; and this is fometimes a fufficient compensation for the inconveniencies they fuffer by the sterility of the land.

The barrenness of the Attic foil established there a popular government; and the fertility of that of Lacedæmon an ariftocratical form of government. For, in those times, Greece was averse to the government offa fingle person; and aristocracy had the nearest resemblance to that government.

Plutarch fays +, that the Cilonian fedition having been appealed at Athens, the city fell into its ancient diffensions, and was divided into as many parties as there were kinds. of territory in Attica. The men who inhabited the emimali be altentified to fee in most nences,

nences, would by all means have a popular government; those of the plain demanded a government composed of the chiefs; and they who were near the sea, were for a government made up of both.

CHAP. II.

The same Subject continued.

THESE fertile countries are always plains, where the inhabitants are unable to dispute against a stronger body: They are then obliged to submit, and when they have once submitted, the spirit of liberty cannot return; the wealth of the country is a pledge of their sidelity. But in mountainous countries, as they have but little, they may preserve what they have. The liberty they enjoy, or, in other words, the government they are under, is the only blessing worthy of their defence. It reigns therefore more in mountainous and difficult countries, than in those which nature seems to have most favoured.

The mountaineers preserve a more moderate government; because they are not so liable to be conquered. They defend themselves easily, and are attacked with dissibility; ammunition and provisions are collected and carried against them with great expence, for the country surnishes none. It is then more difficult to make war against them, a more hazardous enterprise; and all the laws that can be made for the safety of the people are there of least mse.

CHAP. III.

and ariflocracy ha

What Countries are best cultivated.

Countries are not cultivated in proportion to their fertility, but to their liberty; and if we make an imaginary division of the earth, we shall be assonished to see in most ages, deferts in the most fruitful parts, and great nations

in those where nature seems to refuse every thing.

It is natural for a people to leave a bad country to feek a better; and not to leave a good country to feek a worfe. Most of the invalions have therefore been made in countries, which nature feems to have formed for happiness; and as nothing is more nearly allied than desolation and invalion, the best countries are most frequently depopulated; while the frightful countries of the north continue always inhabited, from their being almost uninhabitable.

We find by what historians tell us of the passage of the people of Scandinavia, along the banks of the Danube, that this was not a conquest, but only a migration into

desert countries.

These happy climates must therefore have been depopulated by other migrations, though we know not the tragi-

cal scenes that happened.

"It appears by many monuments of antiquity,' fays Aristotle*, "that the Sardinians were a Grecian colony. They were formerly very rich; and Aristeus, so famed ed for his love of agriculture, was their lawgiver. But they are since fallen to decay: For the Carthaginians becoming their masters, destroyed every thing proper for the nourishment of man, and forbade the cultivation of the lands on pain of death." Sardinia was not recovered in the time of Aristotle, nor is it to this day.

The most temperate parts of Persia, Turkey, Muscovy, and Poland, have not been able to recover perfectly from

the devastations of the Tartars.

CHAP. IV.

New Effects of the Fertility and Barrenness of Countries.

The barrenness of the earth renders men industrious, sober, inured to hardship, courageous, and sit for war; they are obliged to procure by labour what the earth refuses to bestow spontaneously. The fertility of a country gives ease, esseminacy, and a certain fondness for the preservation

Or he who wrote the book Demirabilibus.

preservation of life. It has been remarked, that the German troops raised in those places where the peasants are rich, as for instance, in Saxony, are not so good as the others. Military laws may provide against this inconvenience by a more severe discipline.

CHAP. V.

Of the Inhabitants of Islands.

The people of the isles have a higher relish for liberty than those of the continent. Islands are commonly of a small * extent; one part of the people cannot be so easily employed to oppress the other; the sea separates them from great empires; so that they cannot be countenanced by tyranny; conquerors are stopped by the sea; the islanders themselves are not involved in conquests, and more easily preserve their laws.

CHAP. VI.

Of Countries raifed by the Industry of Men.

Those countries which the industry of men has made habitable, and which stand in need of the same industry to provide for their subsistence, require a mild and moderate government. There are principally three of this species, the two sine provinces of Kianguan and Tchekien in China, Egypt and Holland.

The ancient emperours of China were not conquerors. The first thing they did to aggrandize themselves, was what gave the highest proof of their wisdom. They raised from beneath the waters two of the finest provinces of the empire; these owe their existence to the labour of man. And it is the inexpressible fertility of these two provinces which has given Europe such ideas of the selicity of this vast country. But a continual and necessary care to preserve

^{*} Japan is an exception to this, by its great extent as well as by its flavery.

ferve from destruction so considerable a part of the empire, demanded rather the manners of a wise, than of a voluptuous nation; rather the lawful authority of a monarch, than the tyrannic power of a despotic prince. Power was therefore necessarily moderated in that country, as it was formerly in Egypt, and as it is still in that part of the Turkish empire. Power was necessarily moderated as it is in Holland, which nature has made to attend to herself, and not to be abandoned to negligence or caprice.

Thus in spite of the climate of China, where they are naturally led to a servile obedience; in spite of the apprehensions which follow a too great extent of empire, the first legislators of this country were obliged to make most excellent laws, and the government was frequently obliged

to follow them.

CHAP. VII.

Of the Works of Men.

Men by their care, and by the influence of good laws, have rendered the earth more proper for their abode. We fee rivers flow where there have been lakes and marshes: This is a benefit which nature has not bestowed; but it is a benefit maintained and supplied by nature. When the Persians * were masters of Asia, they permitted those who conveyed a spring to any place which had not been watered before, to enjoy the benefit for five generations; and as a number of rivulets flowed from mount Taurus, they spared no expence, in directing the course of their streams. At this day, without knowing how they came thither, they are found in their fields and gardens.

Thus as destructive nations produce evils more durable than themselves, the actions of industrious nations are the source of blessings, which last when they are no more.

CHAP.

SENDULY.

Polybius, 1. 10.

-quilor e to see

thous action, rather the lawful jurborns of a monarch, than the to see to poult of AAHO proce. Prays was

the net se eller in coderated in their countries it was The general Relation of Laws.

THE laws have a very great relation to the manner in which the feveral nations procure their subfiftence. There should be a code of laws of a much larger extent, for a nation attached to trade and navigation, than for a people who are contented with cultivating the earth. There th uld be a much greater for these, than for a people who live by their flocks and herds. There must be a greater for this last, than for those who live by hunting.

CHAP. IX.

Of the Soil of America.

I HE cause of there being so many savage nations in America, is the fertility of the earth, which spontaneously produces many fruits capable of affording them nourishment. If the women cultivate a spot of land round their capins, the maiz grows up presently; and hunting and filling put the men in a state of complete abundance. Besides, animals that feed on grass, as cows, buffaloes, &c. succeed there better than carnivorous beafts.

We should not, I believe, have all these advantages in Europe, if the land was left uncultivated; it would produce fcarce any thing belides forests of oaks, and other barren es came thather, they

than themielves, the S.X. AAHO wit astrone are the fource of bleffings, which all the rest rey are no deores.

This as defending name a proper were durable

Of the Number of Men with Regard to the Manner in which they procure Subfiftence.

LET us see in what proprotion the number of men is found, in nations who do not cultivate the earth. As the produce VOL. I.

produce of uncultivated land, is to the produce of land improved by culture; fo the number of favages in one country, is to the number of favages in another: And when the people who cultivate the land, cultivate also the arts, the number of favages is to the number of this people, in the compound proportion of the number of favages to that of the husbandmen, and of the number of husbandmen to that of men who cultivate the arts.

They can scarcely form a great nation. If they are herdmen and shepherds, they have need of an extensive country to furnish subsistence for a small number; if they live by hunting, their number must be still less, and in order to find the means of life, they must form a very small nation.

Their country is commonly full of forests; which, as the men have not the art of draining off the waters, are filled with bogs; here each troop canton themselves, and form a little nation.

CHAP. XI.

Of Savage Nations and Nations of Barbarians

THERE is this difference between favage and barbarous nations; the first are little dispersed nations, which, for some particular reason, cannot be united; and the barbarians are commonly small nations capable of being united. The first are generally nations of hunters; the second of herdmen and shepherds. This appears plain in the north of Asia. The people of Siberia cannot live in bodies, because they cannot find subsistence; the Tartars may live in bodies for some time, because their herds and slocks may for a time be reassembled. All the clans may then be reunited, and this is done when one chief has subdued many others; after which they may do two things, either separate, or set out with a design to make a great conquest in some empire in the south.

.CHAP. of her druce and the presents cannot leave their

catalo, which are their transleme, aether can they feparate

to the number of lavages in another. And when the people who cultivate the land cultivate also the aris, ifte number of lavage is to the number people, is the com-

produce of uncularence land, is to the produce of land unproved by culture, forthe unanbernf lavages in one country, is

Of the Law of Nations amongst People who do not cultivate the Earth.

As these people do not live in limited and circumscribed boundaries, many causes of strife arise between them; they dispute the uncultivated land, as we dispute about inheritances. Thus they find frequent occasions for war, in defence of their hunting, their sishing, the pasture for their cattle, and the taking of their slaves; and having no territory, they have many things to regulate by the law of nations, and but sew to decide by the civil law.

CHAP. XIII.

Of the Civil Laws of those Nations who do not cultivate the Earth.

THE division of lands is what principally increases the civil code. Amongst nations where they have not made this division, there are very few civil laws.

The institutions of these people may be called manners

rather than laws.

Amongst such nations as these, the old men, who remember things past, have great authority; they cannot there be distinguished by wealth, but by wisdom and valour.

These people wander and disperse themselves in pasture grounds or in forests. Marriage cannot there have the security which it has amongst us, where it is fixed by the habitation, and where the wife continues in one house; they may then more easily change their wives, possess many, and sometimes mix indifferently like brutes.

Nations of herdmen and shepherds cannot leave their cattle, which are their subsistence, neither can they separate

U 2

themselves

themselves from their wives, who look after them. All this ought then to go together, especially as living generally in great plains, where there are few places of considerable strength, their wives, their children, their slocks, may become the prey of their enemies.

Their laws regulate the division of plunder; and have,

like our Salic laws, a particular attention to thefts.

CHAP. XIV.

Of the Political State of the People who do not cultivate the Lands.

THESE people enjoy great liberty. For as they do not cultivate the earth, they are not fixed, they are wanderers and vagabonds; and if a chief would deprive them of their liberty, they would immediately go and feek it under another, or retire into the woods, and live there with their families. The liberty of the man is fo great among these people, that it necessarily draws after it the liberty of the citizens.

CHAP. XV.

Of People who know the Use of Money.

Aristrerus being shipwrecked, swam and got safe to the next shore; where seeing geometrical sigures traced in the sand, he was seized with a transport of joy, judging that he was amongst Greeks, and not in a nation of barbarians.

Being alone, and cast by some accident amongst an unknown people; if you see a piece of money, be assured that

you are arrived in a civilized nation.

The culture of lands requires the use of money. This culture supposes many arts and degrees of knowledge; and we always see ingenuity, the arts, and a sense of want, making

making their progress with an equal pace. All this con-

duces to the establishment of a fign of value.

Torrents and eruptions * have made the discovery that metals were concealed in the earth. When they have once been separated, they have easily been applied to their proper uses.

CHAP. XVI.

Of Civil Laws amongst People who know not the Use of Money.

When a people have not the use of money, they are seldom acquainted with any other injustice than that which springs from violence; and the weak, by uniting, defend themselves from its essects. They have nothing there but political regulations. But where money is established, they are subject to that injustice which proceeds from crast; an injustice that may be exercised a thousand ways. From hence they are forced to have good civil laws, which spring up with the new means, and the several methods of becoming wicked.

In countries where they have no money, the robber takes only bare moveables, which have no refemblance to each other. In countries where they make use of money, the robber takes the figns, and these always resemble each other. In the first nothing can be concealed, because the robber always takes along with him the proofs of his con-

viction; but in the others it is quite the contrary.

CHAP, XVII,

Of Political Laws amongst Nations who have not the Use of Money,

THE greatest security of the liberties of a people who do not cultivate the earth, is their not knowing the use of U 3 money.

^{*} It is thus that Diodorus tells us the shepherds found gold in the Py-renean mountains.

money. What is gained by hunting, fishing, or keeping herds of cattle, cannot be affembled in such great quantities, nor be sufficiently preserved, for one man to find himfelf in a condition to corrupt many others: But when, instead of this, a man has the sign of riches, he may obtain a large quantity of these signs, and distribute them amongst whom he pleases.

The people who have no money, have but few wants, and these are supplied both with ease, and in an equal manner. Equality is then unavoidable; and from hence it pro-

ceeds, that their chiefs are not despotic.

Little now give o-

troxen; and they

well and has alle a CHAP. XVIII.

Of the Power of Superstition.

If what travellers tell us be true, the conflitution of a nation of Louisiana, called the Natches, is an exception to this. Their * chief disposes of the goods of all his subjects, and makes them labour according to his pleasure. He has a power like that of the Grand Signor and they cannot even results him their heads. When the presumptive heir enters into the world, they give him all the suckling children to serve him during his life. One would imagine that this is the great Sesostris. He is treated in his cabin with as much ceremony as an emperour of Japan or China.

The prejudices of fupersition are superiour to all other prejudices, and its reasons to all other reasons. Thus, though the savage nations have naturally no knowledge of despotic tyranny, yet this people feel it. They adore the sun; and if their chief had not imagined that he was the brother of this glorious luminary, they would have thought

t We ought one therefore and the product of Manuscrete for for of Mur-

him a miserable wretch like themselves.

CHAP.

Edifying letters, 20th collect.

must be any obtain

money. What is gained by hunting, filming, or keeping herds of carle, cannot be affembled in fuch great quanti-

ries, nor be fufficiently preferved, for one man to find himfelt in a condition to XIX P. CHAP. then and the in-Mead of this, a man

Of the Liberty of the Arabs, and the Servitude of the Tartars.

THE Arabs and Tartars are nations of herdmen and shepherds. The Arabs find themselves in that general fituation, of which we have been speaking, and are therefore free: Whilst the Tartars (the most singular people on earth) are involved in a * political flavery. I have already given some reasons + for this, and shall now give o-

They have no towns, they have no forests, and but few marshes; their rivers are almost always frozen, and they dwell in an immense plain. They have pasture for their herds and flocks, and confequently property; but they have no kind of retreat, or place of fafety. A khan is no fooner overcome than they cut off his ! head; his children are treated in the same manner, and all his subjects belong to the conqueror. These are not condemned to a civil slavery; they would in that case be a burden to a simple nation who have no lands to cultivate, and no need of any domeftic fervice. They therefore augment the nation; but instead of civil slavery, a political one must naturally be introduced amongst them.

It is apparent, that in a country where the feveral clans make continual war, and are perpetually conquering each other; in a country, where, by the death of the chief, the body-politic of the vanquished clan is always destroyed, the nation in general can enjoy but little freedom: For there is not a fingle party that must not have been a very great number of times fubdued.

A conquered people may preserve some degree of liberty, when, by the strength of their situation, they are in a

^{*} When a khan is proclaimed, all the people cry, that his word shall be as a fword.

⁺ Book 17. chap. 5. We ought not therefore to be astonished at Mahomet the son of Miriveis, who, upon taking Ispahan, put all the princes of the blood to the fword.

flate that will admit of their capitulating after their defeat. But the Tartars, always defenceless, being once overcome,

can never be able to fland upon conditions.

I have faid, in Chap. II. that the inhabitants of cultivated plains are feldom free. Circumstances have concurred to put the Tartars who dwell in uncultivated plains, in the same situation.

CHAP. XX.

Of the Law of Nations as practifed by the Tartars.

THE Tartars appear to be mild and humane amongst themfelves; and yet they are most cruel conquerors: When
they take cities, they put the inhabitants to the sword, and
imagine that they do them a favour when they sell them
or distribute them amongst the soldiers. They have deftroyed Asia from India, even to the Mediterranean; and
all the country which forms the east of Persia, they have
made a desert.

This law of nations is owing, I think, to the following cause. This people have no towns, all their wars are carried on with eagerness and impetuosity. They fight whenever they hope to conquer; and when they have no such hopes, they join the stronger army. With such customs, it is contrary to their law of nations, that a city which cannot resist, should stop their progress. They regard not cities as an assembly of inhabitants, but as places made to set limits to their power. They besiege them without art, and expose themselves greatly in their sieges; and therefore revenge themselves by the blood of all those who have spilt theirs.

CHAP. XXI.

The Civil Law of the Tartars.

FATHER Du Halde fays, that amongst the Tartars, the youngest of the males is always the heir, by reason, that as soon as the elder are capable of leading a pastoral life, they

they leave the house with a certain number of cattle given them by the father, and go to build a new habitation. The last of the males who continues in the house with the father, is then his natural heir.

I have heard that a like custom was also observed in some small districts of England. This was doubtless a pastoral law conveyed thither by some of the people of Brittany, or established by some German nation. We are informed by Cæsar and Tacitus, that these last cultivated but little land.

CHAP. XXII.

Of a Civil Law of the German Nations.

I SHALL here explain how that particular passage of the Salic law, which is commonly distinguished by the term of the Salic law, relates to the institutions of a people who do not cultivate the earth, or at least who cultivate it but very little.

The Salic * law ordains, that when a man has left children behind him, the males shall succeed to the Salic land, to the prejudice of the females.

To understand the nature of those Salic lands, there needs no more than to search into the usages or customs with respect to land amongst the Franks before they came out of Germany.

Mr. Echard has very plainly proved that the word Salic is derived from Sala, which fignifies a house; and that, therefore, the Salic land was the land of the house. I shall go farther, and examine what was the house, and what the land belonging to the house, among the Germans.

"They dwell not in towns," fays + Tacitus, "nor can they bear to have their houses joined to those of others; every one leaves a space or small piece of ground about

^{*} Tit. 62.

[†] Nulles Germanorum populis urbes habitari satis notum est, ne pati quidem inter se junctas sedes; colunt discreti, ut nemus placuit. Vicos locant, non in nostrum morem connexis et cohærentibus ædisciis; suam quisque domum spatio circumdat. De moribus Germanorum.

"his house, which is inclosed and shut up." Tacitus is very exact in this account; for many laws of the * barbarian codes have different decrees against those who threw down this inclosure, as well as against those who broke into the house.

We learn from Tacitus and Cæsar, that the lands cultivated by the Germans, were given them only for the space of a year; after which they again became public. They had no other patrimony but the house and a piece of land, within the + inclosure that surrounded it. It was this particular patrimony which belonged to the males. And indeed how could it belong to the daughters? They were to pass into another house.

The Salic land was then within that inclosure which belonged to a German house; this was the only property they had. The Franks, after their conquests, acquired new possessions, and continued to call them Salic lands.

When the Franks lived in Germany, their wealth confisted of slaves, flocks, horses, arms, &c. the house and the small portion of land adjoining to it, were naturally given to the male-children, who were to dwell there. But afterwards when the Franks had by conquest acquired large divisions of land, they thought it hard, that the daughters and their children should be incapable of enjoying any part of them. They introduced a custom of permitting the father to recal his daughter, and her children. They silenced the law; and it appears that these recals were frequent, since they were entered in the formularies ‡.

Amongst all these formularies I find one || of a singular nature. A grandsather recalled his grand-children to succeed with his sons and daughters. What then became of the Salie law? In those times either it could not be observed, or the continual use of recalling the daughters had made them regard their ability to inherit, as a case autho-

rifed by custom.

The Salie law had not in view a preference of one fex to the other, much less had it regard to the perpetuity of a family, a name, or the transmission of land. These things

which Childebort her biordier outle up sens to revenge

The law of the Allemans, chap. 10. and law of the Bavarians, tit. 10.

⁺ This inclosure is called cortis, in the charters.

^{\$} See Marculfus lib. ii. form. 10, and 12 append to Marculfus, form. 49.

Form. 55, in Lindembroek's collection.

did not enter into the heads of the Germans; it was purely a law of oeconomy which gave the house, and the land dependent on the house, to the males who should dwell in it, and to whom it consequently was of most service.

We need here only transcribe the title of the allodial lands of the Salic law, that famous text of which so many have spoken, and which so few have read.

"If a man dies without issue, his father or mother fhall succeed him. 2. If he has neither father nor mo-

"ther, his brother or fister shall succeed him. 3. If he has neither brother nor fister, the fister of his mother

" shall succeed him. 4. If his mother has no fifter, the fifter of

" his father shall succeed him. 5. If his father has no sister, the nearest relation by the male shall succeed. 6. Not

" any part of the Salic land shall pass to the females; but

" it shall belong to the males, that is, the male children

" shall succeed their father."

It is plain that the first five articles relate to the inheritance of a man who dies without iffue; and the fixth to the succession of him who has children.

When a man dies without children, the law ordains that neither of the two fexes shall have the preference to the other, except in certain cases. In the two sirst degrees of succession, the advantages of the males and females were the same; in the third and sourth, the semales had the preference, and the males in the fifth.

Tacitus gives us the feeds of these extravagancies:

"The sister's + children," says he, " are as dear to their

uncle as to their own father. There are men who re
gard this degree of kindered as more strict, and even

more holy. They preser it when they receive hostages."

From hence it proceeds that our earliest † historians speak
in such strong terms of the love of the kings of the Franks
for their sisters, and their sister's children. And indeed if
the children of the sister were considered in her brother's
house, as his own children, it was natural for these to regard their aunt as their mother.

De terra vero Salica in mulierum nulla portio hereditaris transit, sed hoc virilis sexus acquirit, hoc est, silii in ipsa hæreditate succedunt. Tit.

<sup>62. § 6.

†</sup> Sororum filiis idem apud avunculum quam apud patrem honor. Quidam fanctiorem arctioremque hunc nexum fanguinis arbitrantur, et in accipiendis obsidibus magis exigunt, tanquam ii et animum firmius et domum latius teneant. De moribus Germanorum.

[†] See in Gregory of Tours, lib. viii. c. 18. & 20. & lib. ix. c. 16. & 20 the rage of Gontram at Leovigild's ill-treatment of Ingunda his niece, which Childebert her brother took up arms to revenge.

The fifter of the mother was preferred to the father's fifter; this is explained by other texts of the Salic law. When a * woman was a widow, she fell under the guardianship of her husband's relations; the law preferred to this guardianship the relations by the females before those by the males. Indeed a woman who entered into a family joining herfelf with those of her own fex, became more united to her relations by the female, than by the male. Moreover, when +a man had killed another, and had not wherewithal to pay the pecuniary penalty he had incurred, the law permitted him to deliver up his fubstance, and his relations were to supply what was wanting. After the father, mother, and brother, the fifter of the mother was to pay, as if this tie had fomething in it most tender: Now, the degree of kindred, which gives the burthens, ought to give also the advantages.

The Salic law enjoins, that, after the father's fifter, the fuccession should be held by the nearest relation male; but if this relation was beyond the fifth degree, he should not inherit. Thus a woman of the fifth degree might inherit to the prejudice of a male of the fixth: and this may be seen in the ‡ law of the Ripuarian Franks, (a faithful interpretation of the Salic law), under the title of allodial lands, where it follows step by step the Salic law on the

fame subject.

If the father left issue, the Salic law would have the daughters excluded from the inheritance of the Salic land,

and that it should belong to the male children.

It would be easy for me to prove that the Salic law did not absolutely exclude the daughters from the possession of the Salic land, but only in the case where they were excluded by their brothers. This appears from the Salic law itself; which, after having said that the woman shall possess none of the Salic land, but only the males, interprets and restrains itself, by adding, "that is, the son shall succeed to "the inheritance of the father."

2. The text of the Salic law is cleared up by the law of the Ripuarian Franks, which has also a title || on allodial lands, very comformable to that of the Salic law.

3. The

^{*} Salic laws, tit. 47. + 1bid. tit. 61. § 1.

[†] Et deinceps usque at quintum genuculum qui proximus suerit in hereditatem succedat. Tit. 56. § 3.

¹ Tit. 56.

3. The laws of these barbarous nations, who all sprung from Germany, interpret each other, more particularly as they all have nearly the same spirit. The Saxon law enjoined the father and mother to leave their inheritance to their son, and not to their daughter; but if there were none but daughters, they were to have the whole inheritance.

4. We have two ancient formularies + that flate the case, in which, according to the Salic law, the daughters were excluded by the males, that is, when they were in competition with their brother.

5. Another formulary ‡ proves, that the daughter fucceeded to the prejudice of the grandson; she was therefore excluded only by the son.

6. If daughters had been generally excluded by the Salic law from the inheritance of land, it would be impossible to explain the histories, formularies, and charters, which are continually mentioning the lands and possessions of the women, under the first race.

People + have been to blame to affert, that the Salic lands were fiefs. 1. This head is diftinguished by the title of allodial lands. 2. Fiefs at first were not hereditary. 3. If the Salic lands had been fiefs, how could Marculfus treat that custom as impious which excluded the women from inheriting, when the males themselves did not succeed to fiefs? 4. The charters which have been cited to prove that the Salic lands were fiefs, only prove that they were freeholds. 5. Fiefs were not established till after the conquest, and the Salic customs subsisted long before the Franks left Germany. 6. It was not the Salic law, which, by setting bounds to the succession of women, formed the establishment of fiefs; but it was the establishment of fiefs that set limits to the succession of women, and to the regulations of the Salic law.

After what has been faid, one would not imagine that the perpetual succession of the males to the crown of France should have taken its rise from the Salic law.

And

^{*} Tit. 7. § 1. Pater aut mater, defuncti, filio non filiæ hereditatem relinquant; § 4. qui defunctus, non filios, sed filias reliquerit, ad eas omnis hæreditas pertineat.

[†] In Marculfus, lib. ii. form. 12, and in the appendix to Marculfus, form.

Lindembroek's collection, form. 55.

Ducange, Pithou, &c.

And yet this is a point undubitably certain. I prove it from the feveral codes of the barbarous nations. The Salic law * and the law of the Burgundians † refused the daughters the right of succeeding to the land in conjunction with their brothers; neither did they succeed to the crown. The law of the ‡ Visigoths on the contrary, permitted the daughters to inherit the land with the brothers; and the women were capable of inheriting the crown. Amongst these people the regulations of the civil law had an effect on the political.

This was not the only case in which the political law of the Franks gave way to the civil law. By the Salic law all the brothers succeeded equally to the land, and this was also decreed by a law of the Burgundians. Thus in the kingdom of the Franks, and in that of the Burgundians, all the brothers succeeded to the crown; if we except a few murders and usurpations which took place amongst

the Burgundians.

CHAP. XXIII.

Of the Ornaments of Royalty?

A PEOPLE who do not cultivate the land, have not so much as an idea of luxury. We may see in Tacitus the admirable simplicity of the German nations; the arts were not employed in their ornaments; these were founded in nature. If the family of their chief was to be distinguished by any sign, it was no other than that which nature bestowed. The kings of the Franks, of the Burgundians, and the Visigoths, had their long hair for a diadem.

CHAP.

Tit. 62. Indon do de

[†] Tit. 1. § 3. tit. 14. § 1. & tit. 51. ‡ Lib. 4. tit 2. § 1.

The German nations. fays Tacitus, had common customs, and also those which were peculiar to each.

Burgundtens turinged the

And yet this is a possite andubitably certain. I prove it from the feveral codes of the baronous nations. The ba-

He law * and the law

ent of becoult with AP. XXIV. during the contract of

Of the Marriages of the Kings of the Franks.

I HAVE already faid, that with these people who do not cultivate the earth, marriages are less fixed than with others, and that they generally take many wives. "The Germans were almost the only people of * all the barbarous nations, who were satisfied with one wise, if we except +," says Tacitus, "some persons, who, not from a dissoluteness of manners, but because of their nobility, had many."

This explains the reason why the kings of the first race had so great a number of wives. These marriages were less a proof of incontinence, than an attribute of dignity: And it would have wounded them in a tender point, to have deprived them of such a prerogative ‡. This explains the reason why the example of the kings was not followed by the subjects.

CHAP. XXV.

Childeric.

" MARRIAGES amongst the Germans," says Tacitus, are strictly observed ||. Vice is not there a subject of ridicule. To corrupt, or be corrupted, is not called a point of fashion, or a manner of living; there are sew examples

^{*} Prope soli barbarorum singulis uxoribus contenti sunt. De morib. Ger-

[†] Exceptis admodum paucis, qui non libidine, fed ob nobilitatem, plurimis nuptiis ambiuntur. Ibid.

See Fredregarius' chronicle of the year 628.

Severa matrimonia — nemo illic vitia ridet, nec corrumpere et corrumpi fæculum vocatur. De morib. German.

all

" examples in this populous nation of the violation of

" conjugal faith."

This was the reason of the expulsion of Childeric; he shocked their rigid virtue, which conquest had not had time to corrupt.

CHAP. XXVI.

Of the Time when the Kings of the Franks Lecame of Age.

B'ARBARIANS who do not cultivate the earth, have, strictly speaking, no territory, and are, as we have already said, rather governed by the law of nations, than by civil laws. They are therefore always armed. Thus Tacitus tells us, "that the Germans + undertook no affairs either "of a public or private nature, unarmed." They gave their ‡, opinion by a sign with their arms ||. As soon as they could carry them, they were presented to the affembly; they put a javelin § into their hands: from that moment ¶ they were out of their infancy; they had been a part of the family, now they became a part of the republic.

Childebert II was + fifteen years old, when Gontram his uncle declared that he was of age, and capable of governing by himself. "I have put," says he, "this jawelin into thy hands, as a token that I have given thee

* Paucissima in tam numerofa gente adulteria. Il.

† Nihil neque publicæ neque privatæ rei, nisi armati, agunt. Mil. † Si displicuit sententia, fremitu aspernantur; sin placuit, frameas concutiunt. Ibid.

| Sed arma fumere ante cuiquam moris quam civitas fuffecturum proba-

§ Tum in ipio confilio vel principum aliquis, vel pater, vel propinque, fouto frameaque juvenem ornant.

¶ Hæc apud illos toga, hic primus juventæ honos; ante hoc domus pars videntur, mox reipublicæ.

the was fearcely five years old, fays Gregory of Tours, l. v. c. 1. when he succeeded to his father in the year 575. Gontram declares him of age in the year 585; he was therefore at that time no more than fifteen.

5 Gontramous, data in Childeberti manu hasta, dixit, Hoc est indicium, quod tibi omne regnum meum tradidi. Ibid. 1. 7. c. 33.

" all my kingdom;" and then turning towards the affembly, he added, "You fee that my fon Childebert is

We find in the Ripuarian laws, that the age of fifteen, theability of bearing arms, and majority, went together; it is there faid †, "that if a Ripurian dies, or is killed, and leaves a "fon behind him, that fon can neither profecute nor be profecuted, till he has completely attained the age of fifteen, and then he may either answer for himself, or chuse a "champion." It was necessary that his mind should be sufficiently formed, that he might be able to defend himfelf in court; and that his body should have all the strength that was proper for his defence in combat. Amongst the Burgundians ‡, who all made use of combat in their judiciary proceedings, they were of age at fifteen.

Agathias tells us, that the arms of the Franks were light. They might therefore be of age at fifteen. In succeeding times the arms made use of were heavy, and they were already greatly so in the time of Charlemagne, as appears by our capitularies and romances. Those who || had fiefs, and were consequently obliged to do military service, were not then of age till they were twenty-one years old §.

CHAP. XXVII.

The same Subject continued.

WE have feen that the Germans did not appear in their affemblies, before they were of age; they were a part of the family, but not of the republic. This was the reason that the children of Clodomir king of Orleans, and conquerour of Burgundy, were not declared kings, because they were of too tender an age to be present at the affem-Vol. I.

^{*} Gontram declared that his nephew Childebert, who was already king, was out of his minority, and besides he made him his heir.
† Tit. 81.

† Tit. 87.

There was no change in the time in regard to the common people.

St. Lewis was not of age till twenty-one; this was changed by an edict of Charles V. in the year 1374.

bly. They were not yet kings, but they had a right to be kings as foon as they were able to bear arms; and in the mean time Clotilda, their grandmother, governed the state *. But their uncles Clotarius and Childebert assalinated them, and divided their kingdom. This action was the cause that, in the following ages, princes in their minority were declared kings immediately after the death of their fathers. Thus Duke Gondovald saved Childebert II. from the cruelty of Chilperic, and caused him to be declared king † when he was only sive years old.

But even in this change they followed the original spirit of the nation; for the acts did not pass in the name of the young king. So that the Franks had a double administration; the one which concerned the administration of the infant king, and the other which regarded the kingdom; and in the fiefs there was a difference between

the guardianship and the civil administration.

CHAP. XXVIII.

Of the Sanguinary Temper of the Kings of the Franks.

CLOVIS was not the only prince who had invaded Gaul. Many of his relations had entered this country with particular tribes; but as he had much greater fuccess, and could give considerable establishments to those that followed him, the Franks ran to him from all the tribes, so that the other chiefs found themselves too weak to resist him, He formed a design of exterminating his whole race, and he succeeded ‡. He feared, says Gregory of Tours ||, less the Franks should chuse another chief. His children and successours followed this practice to the utmost of their power. Thus the brother, the uncle, the nephew, and, what

† Gregory of Tours, l. v. c. I. Vin lustro atatis uno jam perasto, qui die Dominica natalis regnare capit.

t Gregory of Tours, l. ii.

| Ibid.

^{*} It appears from Gregory of Tours, l. iii. that she chose two natives of Burgundy, which had been conquered by Clodomir, to raise them to the see of Tours, which also belonged to Clodomir.

what is still worse, the father or the son, were perpetually conspiring against their whole family. The law continually divided the monarchy; while sear, ambition, and cruelty, wanted to reunite it.

CHAP. XXIX.

Of the National Assemblies of the Franks.

It has been remarked above, that nations who do not cultivate the land enjoy great liberty. This was the case of the Germans. Tacitus says, that they gave their kings or chiefs a very moderate degree of power *; and Cæsar adds farther †, that in times of peace, they had no common magistrates; but their princes distributed justice in each village. Thus, as Gregory of Tours ‡ sufficiently proves, the Franks in Germany had no king.

"The princes," fays Tacitus ||, "deliberate on small matters; while affairs of great importance are laid before the whole nation; but in such a manner, that these very affairs, which are under the cognizance of the people, are at the same time laid before the princes." This custom was observed by them after their conquests, as may be seen § in all their records.

Tacitus fays ¶, that capital crimes might be carried before the assembly. It was the same after the conquest, when the great vassals were tried before that body.

X 2 CHAP.

* Nec regibus libera aut infinita potestas. Ceterum neque animadvertere, neque vincire, neque verberare, &c. De Morib. Germ.

† In pace nullus est communis magistratus, sed principes regionum atque pagorum inter suos jus dicunt. De bello Gel. lib vi.

Lib. ii.

De minoribus principes consultant, de majoribus omnes; ita tamen ut ea quorum penes plebem arbitrium est, apud principes pertractentur. De morib. Germ.

§ Lex consensu populi sit & constitutione regis. Capitularies of Charles the Bald, anno 864, art. 6.

¶ Licet apud concilium accusare & discrimen capitis intendere. De merib Germ.

CHAP. XXX.

Of the Authority of the Clergy under the First Race.

THE priests of barbarous nations are commonly invested with power, because they have both that authority which is due to them from their religious character, and that influence which amongst such a people arises from superstition. Thus we see in Tacitus, that priests were held in great veneration by the Germans, and that they presided * in the assembly of the people. They were permitted + to chastise, to bind, to smite; which they did, not by order of the prince, or as his ministers of justice; but as by an inspiration of the Deity, who was always supposed to be present with those who made war.

We ought not to be aftonished, if, from the very beginning of the first race, we see bishops the dispensers of ‡ justice, if we see them appear in the assemblies of the nation, if they have such a prodigious influence on the minds of kings, and if they acquire so large a share of property.

BOOK

^{*} Silentium per sacerdotes, quibus et coercendi us est, imperatur. De morib. German.

[†] Nec regibus libera aut infinita potestas. Ceter neque animadvertere, neque vincire, neque verberare, nisi sacerdotibus, est permissum, non quafi in pœnam, nec ducis justu, sed velut deo imperante, quem adesse bellatoribus credunt. De morib. German.

^{\$} See the constitutions of Clotarius in the year 560, art 6.

BOOK XIX.

OF LAWS IN RELATIONS TO THE PRINCIPLES WHICH FORM THE GENERAL SPIRIT, THE MORALS, AND CUSTOMS OF A NATION.

CHAP. I.

Of the Subject of this Book.

This subject is of a great extent. In that crowd of ideas which present themselves to my mind, I shall be more attentive to the order of things, than to the things themselves. I shall be obliged to wander to the right and to the left, that I may search into and discover the truth.

CHAP. II.

'That it is necessary People's Minds should be prepared for the Reception of the best Laws.

Nothing could appear more insupportable to the Germans * than the tribunal of Varus. That which Justinian + erected amongst the Lazi, to proceed against the murderers of their king, appeared to them as an affair the most horrid and barbarous. Mithridates ‡ haranguing against the Romans, reproached them more particularly for their | X 3 formalities

^{*} They cut out the tongues of the advocates, and cried, Viper, don't hifs. Tacitus. † Agathias, lib. 4. † Justin, lib. 38. || Calumnias litium. Ibid.

formalities of justice. The Parthians could not bear with one of their kings, who having been educated at Rome, rendered himself affable and * easy of access to all. Liberty itself has appeared insupportable to those nations who have not been accustomed to enjoy it. Thus a pure air is sometimes disagreeable to those who have lived in a fenny country.

Balbi, a Venetian, being at + Pegu, was introduced to the king. When the monarch was informed that they had no king at Venice, he burst into such a fit of laughter, that he was seized with a cough, and had much ado to speak to his courtiers. What legislator could propose a popular government to people like this?

CHAP. III.

Of Tyranny,

THERE are two forts of tyranny; the one real, which arifes from the oppressions of government; the other is seated in opinion, and is sure to be selt whenever those who govern, establish things shocking to the turn of thought, and inconsistent with the ideas of a nation.

Dio tell us, that Augustus was desirous of being called Romulus; but having been informed, that the people feared, that he would cause himself to be crowned king, he changed his design. The old Romans were averse to a king; because they could not suffer any man to enjoy such power: these would not have a king, because they could not bear his manners. For though Cæsar, the Triumvirs, and Augustus, were really kings, they had preserved all the outward appearance of equality, while their private lives were a kind of contrast to the pomp and luxury of foreign monarchs; so that when they were resolved to have no king,

^{*} Prompti aditus, nova comitas, ignotæ Parthis virtutes, nova vitia.

[†] He has described this interview which happened in the year 1596, in the collection of voyages for the establishment of an India company, vol. 3. part I. page \$3.

this only fignified that they would preserve their customs, and not take up those of the African and eastern nations.

The same writer informs us, that the Romans were exasperated against Augustus for making certain laws which were too severe: but as soon as he had recalled Plyades the comedian, whom the jarring of different factions had driven out of the city, the discontent ceased. A people of this stamp have a more lively sense of tyranny when a player is banished, than when they are deprived of all their laws.

CHAP. IV.

Of the general Spirit of Mankind.

MEN are influenced by various causes, by the climate, the religion, the laws, the maxims of government, by precedents, morals, and customs; from whence is formed a ge-

neral spirit, which takes its rife from these.

In proportion, as in every nation any one of these causes acts with more force, the others in the same degree become weak. Nature and the climate rule almost alone over the savages; customs govern the Chinese; the laws tyrannize in Japan; morals had formerly all their influence at Sparta; maxims of government, and the ancient simplicity of manners once prevailed at Rome.

CHAP. V.

How far we should be attentive, lest the general Spirit of a Nation should be changed.

If in any part of the world there had been a nation whose inhabitants were of a sociable temper, open-hearted, pleased with life, possessed of judgment, and a facility in communicating

municating their thoughts; who were fprightly, agreeable, gay, fometimes imprudent, often indifcreet; and besides had courage, generosity, frankness, and a certain point of honour; no one ought to endeavour to restrain their manners by laws, unless he would lay a constraint on their virtues. If in general the character is good, the little faults that may be found in it will be of small importance.

They might lay a restraint upon women, make laws to correct their manners, and to limit their luxury: but who knows but that, by this means, they might lose that peculiar taste which would be the source of the riches of the nation, and that politeness which would render the country frequented by strangers?

It is the business of the legislature to follow the spirit of the nation, when it is not contrary to the principles of government; for we do nothing so well as when we act with freedom, and follow the bent of our natural genius.

If an air of pedantry be given to a nation that is naturally gay, the state will gain no advantage from it, either at home or abroad. Leave it to do frivolous things in the most serious manner, and with gaiety things the most ferious.

CHAP. VI.

That every Thing ought not to be corrected.

LET them but leave us as we are, faid a gentleman of a nation which had a very great resemblance to that we have been describing, and nature will repair whatever is amiss. She has given us a vivacity capable of offending, and hurrying us beyond the bounds of respect: this same vivacity is corrected by the politeness it procures us, inspiring a taste for the world, and, above all, for the conversation of women.

Let them leave us as we are: our indifcretions joined to our good nature, would make the laws which should constrain our sociable temper, not at all proper for us.

CHAP.

CHAP. VII.

Of the Athenians and Lacedemonians.

The Athenians, this gentleman adds, were a nation that had some relation to ours. They mingled gaiety and business; a stroke of raillery was as agreeable in the senate, as in the theatre. This vivacity, which discovered itself in their counsels, went along with them in the execution of their resolves. The characteristic of the Spartans was gravity, seriousness, severity, and silence. It would have been as difficult to bring over an Athenian by teazing, as it would a Spartan by diverting him.

CHAP. VIII.

Effects of a fociable Temper.

THE more communicative a people are, the more easily they change their habits, because each is in a greater degree a spectacle to the other, and the singularities of individuals are better seen. The climate which makes one nation delight in being communicative, makes it also delight in change; and that which makes it delight in change; forms its taste.

The fociety of women spoils the manners, and forms the taste; the desire of giving greater pleasure than others, establishes the ornaments of dress; and the desire of pleasing others more than ourselves, establishes fashions. The mode is a subject of importance: by giving a trisling turn of mind, it continually increases the branches of its commerce.

CHAP.

^{*} Fable of the bees.

CHAP. IX.

Of the Vanity and Pride of Nations.

Vanity is as advantageous to a government as pride is dangerous. To be convinced of this, we need only represent, on the one hand, the numberless benefits which result from vanity; from thence arises luxury, industry, arts, fashions, politeness, taste: and, on the other, the infinite evils which spring from the pride of certain nations, laziness, poverty, and universal neglect, the destruction of the nations which have accidentally fallen into their hands, as well as their own. Laziness * is the effect of pride; labour a consequence of vanity: The pride of a Spaniard leads him to refuse labour; the vanity of a Frenchman to know how to work better than others.

All lazy nations are grave; for those who do not labour,

regard themselves as the sovereigns of those who do.

If we fearch amongst all nations, we shall find, that, for the most part, gravity, pride, and indolence go hand in hand.

The people of Achim + are proud and lazy; those who have no slaves hire one, if it be only to carry a quart of rice a hundred paces; they would be dishonoured if they carried it themselves.

In many places people let their nails grow, that all may

fee they do not work.

Women in the Indies ‡ believe it shameful for them to learn to read: this is, they say, the business of the slaves, who sing their spiritual songs in the temples of their pagods. In one tribe they do not spin; in another they make nothing but baskets and mats; they are not even to pound rice; and in others they must not go to setch water.

Thefe

+ See Dampier, vol. iii. + Edifying letters, 12th collect. p. 80.

^{*}The people who follow the khan Malacamber, of those of Carnataca and Coromandel, are proud and indolent; they consume little, because they are miserably poor; while the subjects of the Mogul, and the people of Indostan, employ themselves, and enjoy the conveniences of life like the Europeans. Collection of voyages for the stablishment of an India company, vol. 1. page 54.

These rules are established by pride, and the same passion makes them followed.

CHAP. X.

Of the Charafters of the Spaniards and Chinefe.

THE characteristics of the several nations are formed of virtues and vices, of good and bad qualities. From the happy mixture of these, great advantages result, and frequently where it would be least expected; there are others from whence great evils arise, evils which one would not suspect.

The Spaniards have been in all ages famous for their honesty. Justin * mentions their sidelity in keeping whatever was entrusted to their care; they have frequently suffered death rather than reveal a secret. They have still the same sidelity for which they were formerly distinguished. All the nations who trade to Cadiz, trust their fortunes to the Spaniards, and have never yet repented it. But this admirable quality, joined to their indolence, forms a mixture, from which such effects result as to them are the most pernicious. The people of Europe carry on in their very sight all the commerce of their monarchy.

The character of the Chinese is formed of another mixture, directly opposite to that of the Spaniards. The precariousness of their subsistence †, inspires them with a prodigious activity, and such an excessive desire of gain, that no trading nation can conside in them ‡. This acknowledged insidelity has secured them the possession of the trade to Japan. No European merchant has ever dared to undertake it in their name, how easy soever it might be for them to do it from their maritime provinces in the north.

* Lib. 43. † By the nature of the foil and climate. † Du Halde, vol. 2.

and on aven bear bendaday and a stor

other took butter buildi

CHAP. XI.

A Reflection.

I HAVE faid nothing here with a view to lessen that infinite distance, which there must ever be between virtue and vice. God forbid, that I should be guilty of such an attempt! I would only make my readers comprehend that all political vices are not moral vices, and that all moral are not political vices; and that those who make laws which shock the general spirit of a nation, ought not to be ignorant of this.

CHAP. XII.

Of Customs and Manners in a Despotic State.

It is a capital maxim, that the manners and customs of a despotic empire ought never to be changed; for nothing would more speedily produce a revolution. The reason is, that in these states there are no laws, that is, none that can be properly called so, there are only manners and customs; and if you overturn these, you overturn all.

Laws are established, manners are inspired; these proceed from a general spirit, those from a particular institution: now, it is as dangerous, nay more so, to overturn the general spirit, as to change a particular institution.

There is less communication in a country where each, either as superiour or inferiour, exercises or suffers an arbitrary power, than there is in those where liberty reigns in every station. They do not therefore so often change their manners and behaviour. Fixed and established customs have a near resemblance to laws. Thus it is here necessary that a prince or a legislator should less oppose the manners and customs of the people, than in any other country upon earth.

Their

Their women are commonly confined, and have no influence in fociety. In other countries where they live with men, their defire of pleafing, and the defire men also have of giving them pleafure, produce a continual change of customs. The two-fexes spoil each other, they both lose their distinctive and essential quality; what was naturally fixed becomes quite unsettled, and their customs and behaviour change every day.

CHAP. XIII.

Of the Customs of the Chinese.

But China is the place where the customs of the country can never be changed. Besides their women being absolutely separated from the men, their customs, like their morals, are taught in the schools. A man of * letters may be known by his easy address. These things being once taught by precept, and inculcated by grave doctors, become fixed, like the principles of morality, and are never changed.

CHAP. XIV.

What are the natural Means of changing the Manners and the Customs of a Nation.

WE have faid that the laws were the particular and precise institutions of a legislator, and manners and customs the institutions of a nation in general. From hence it follows, that when these manners and customs are to be changed, it ought not to be done by laws; this would have too much the air of tyranny: it would be better to change them by introducing other manners and other customs.

Thus when a prince would make great alterations in his kingdom, he should reform by laws what is established by laws, and change by customs what is established by customs; for it is very bad policy to change by laws, what ought to be

changed by customs.

The law which obliged the Muscovites to cut off their beards, and to shorten their clothes, and the rigour with which Peter I. made them crop, even to their knees, the long cloaks of those who entered into the cities, were instances of tyranny. There are means that may be made use of to prevent crimes, these are punishments: there are those for changing our customs, these are examples.

The facility and ease with which this nation has been polished, plainly shows that this prince had a worse opinion of his people than they deserved, and that they were not brutes, though he was pleased to call them so. The violent measures which he employed were needless, he would

have attained his end as well by milder methods.

He himself experienced the uneasiness of bringing about these alterations. The women were shut up, and in some fort slaves; he called them to court; he sent them silks and stuffs, and made them dress like the German ladies. This sex immediately relished a manner of life which so greatly slattered their taste, their vanity, and their passions,

and by their means it was relished by the men.

What rendered the change the more easy, was, their manners being at that time foreign to the climate; and their having been introduced among them by conquest, and by a mixture of nations. Peter I. in giving the manners and customs of Europe to an European nation, found a facility which he did not himself expect. The empire of the climate is the first, the most powerful of all empires.

He had then no occasion for laws to change the manners and customs of his country; it would have been sufficient to have introduced other manners and other customs.

Nations are in general very tenacious of their customs; to take them away by violence, is to render them unhappy: we should not therefore change them, but engage the people to make the change themselves.

people to make the change themselves.

All punishment which is not derived from necessity, is tyrannical. The law is not a mere act of power; things in their own nature indifferent are not within its province.

CHAP.

CHAP. XV.

The Influence of Domestic Government on the Political.

THE changing the manners of women had, without doubt, a great influence on the government of Muscovy. One thing is very closely united to another: the despotic power of the prince is naturally connected with the servitude of women, the liberty of women with the spirit of monarchy.

CHAP. XVI.

How some Legislators have confounded the Principles which govern

Manners and customs are those habits which are not established by the laws, either because they were not able, or were not willing to establish them.

There is this difference between laws and manners, that the laws are most adapted to regulate the actions of the subject, and manners to regulate the actions of the man. There is this difference between manners and customs, that the first principally relate to the interior conduct, the others to the exterior.

These things * have been sometimes consounded. Lycurgus made the same code for the laws, manners, and customs; and the legislators of China have done the same.

We ought not to be surprised, that the legislators of China and Sparta should confound the laws, manners, and customs: the reason is, their manners represent their laws, and their customs their manners.

The principal object which the legislators of China had in view, was to make the people live in peace and tranquillity. They would have people filled with a veneration for one another, that each should be every moment fensible

^{*} Mofes made the fame code for laws and religion. The old Romans confounded the ancient cuftons with the laws.

fensible how greatly he was indebted to others, and that there was not a subject who did not in some degree depend on another subject. They therefore gave rules of the most extensive civility.

Thus the inhabitants of the * villages of China, observe amongst themselves the same ceremonies, as those observed by persons of an exalted station: a very proper method of inspiring mild and gentle dispositions, of maintaining peace and good order amongst the people, and of banishing all the vices which spring from an asperity of temper. In effect, would not the freeing them from the rules of civility, be to search out a method for them to indulge their faults more at ease.

Civility is in this respect of more value than politeness. Politeness flatters the vices of others, and civility prevents ours from being brought to light. It is a barrier which men have placed in themselves to prevent the corruption of each other.

Lycurgus, whose institutions were severe, had no regard to civility, in forming the external behaviour; he had a view to that warlike spirit which he would fain give to his people. A people who were ever correcting, or ever corrected, always instructing, or always instructed, endued with equal simplicity and rigour, atoned, by their virtues, for their want of complaisance.

CHAP. XVII.

Of the Peculiar Quality of the Chinese Government.

THE legislators of China went farther †. They confounded together their religion, laws, manners, and customs; all those were morals, all these were virtue. The precepts relating to these four points were what they called rites; and it was in the exact observance of these that the Chinese divernment triumphed. They spent their whole youth in learning them, their whole life in their practice. They

^{*} See du Halde.

[†] See the classic books from which father Du Halde gives us for e excel-

were taught by their men of learning, they were inculcated by the magistrates; and, as they included all the ordinary actions of life, when they found the means of making them strictly observed, China was well governed.

Two things have contributed to the ease with which these rites are engraved on the hearts and minds of the Chinese; the one, the difficulty of writing, which during the greatest part of their lives wholly employs their mind the beause it is necessary to prepare them to read and understand the books in which they are comprised; the other, that the ritual precepts having nothing in them that is spiritual, but being merely rules of common practice, they are more adapted to convince and strike the mind

than things merely intellectual.

Those princes, who, instead of governing by these rites, governed by the force of punishments, wanted to accomplish that by punishments, which is not in their power to produce, that is, to give habits of morality. By punishments a subject is very justly cut off from society, who having lost the purity of his manners, violates the laws; but if all the world were to lose their moral habits, would these re-establish them? Punishments may be justly insticted to put a stop to many of the consequences of the general evil, but it will not remove the evil itself. Thus when the principles of the Chinese government were discarded, and morality lost, the state fell into anarchy, and revolutions were seen to take place.

CHAP. XVIII.

A Confequence drawn from the preceding Chapter.

From hence it follows that the laws of China are not destroyed by conquest. Their customs, manners, laws, and religion, being the same thing, they cannot change all these at once; and as it will happen, that either the conquerour or the conquered must change, in China it has always been the conquerour. For the manners of the conquering nation not being its customs, nor its customs Vol. I.

⁺ It is this which has established emulation, which hath banished laz ness, and cultivated a love of learning.

its laws, nor its laws its religion, it has been more easy for them to conform by degrees to the vanquished people,

than the vanquished people to them.

There still follows from hence a very unhappy confequence, which is, that it is almost impossible for ‡ Christianity ever to be established in China. The vows of virginity, the assembling of women in churches, their necessary communication with the ministers of religion, their participation in the sacraments, auricular confession, extreme unction, the marriage of only one wife, all these overturn the manners and customs of the country, and with the same blow strike at their religion and laws.

The Christian religion, by the establishment of charity, by a public worship, by a participation of the same sacraments, seems to demand, that all should be united; while the rites of China seem to ordain that all should be sepa-

rated.

CHAP. XIX.

How this, Union of Religion, Laws, Manners, and Customs amongst the Chinese was produced.

The principal object of government which the Chinese legislators had in view, was the peace and trauquility of the empire: and subordination appeared to them as the most proper means to maintain it. Filled with this idea, they believed it their duty to inspire a respect for fathers, and therefore assembled all their power to essect it. They essablished an infinite number of rites and ceremonies to do them honour when living, and after their death. It was impossible for them to pay such honours to deceased parents, without being led to honour the living. The ceremonies at the death of a father were more nearly related to religion, those for a living father had a greater relation to the laws, manners, and customs; however these were

† See the reasons given by the Chinese magistrates in their decrees for proscribing the Christian Religion. Edifying letters, 17th collect.

the Machanian of the Country And Pier

only parts of the same code, but this code was very extenfive.

A veneration for fathers was necessarily connected with a suitable respect for all who represented fathers, such as old men, masters, magistrates, and the emperour. This respect for fathers, supposed a return of love toward children, and consequently the same return from old men to the young, from magistrates to those who were under their jurisdiction, and from the emperour to his subjects. This formed the rites, and these rites the general spirit of the nation.

We shall now show the relation which things, in appearance the most indifferent, may have to the fundamental constitution of China. This empire is formed on the plan of the government of a family. If you diminish the paternal authority, or even if you retrench the ceremonies which express your respect for it, you weaken the reverence due to magistrates, who are considered as fathers; nor would the magistrates have the same care of the people whom they ought to confider as their children; and that tender relation which fublists between the prince and his subjects, would insensibly be lost. Retrench but one of these habits, and you overturn the state. It is a thing in itself very indifferent whether the daughterin-law rifes every morning to pay fuch and fuch duties to her mother-in-law: but if we confider that these exterior habits inceffantly revive an idea necessary to be imprinted on all minds, an idea that forms the governing spirit of the empire, we shall see that it is necessary that fuch or fuch a particular action be performed.

CHAP. XX.

An Explication of a Paradox relating to the Chinese

It is very remarkable that the Chinese, whose lives are guided by rites, are nevertheless the greatest cheats upon earth. This appears chiesly in their trade, which, in spite of its natural tendency, has never been able to make them honest. He who buys of them, ought to carry with him

his own * weights, every merchant having three forts, the one heavy for buying, another light for felling, and another of the true standard for those who are upon their guard. It is possible, I believe, to explain this contradiction.

The legislators of China had two objects in view; they were defirous that the people should be submissive and peaceful, and that they should also be laborious and industrious. By the nature of the soil and climate, their subfistence is very precarious; nor can it be any other way secured, than by the assistance of industry and labour.

When every one obeys, and every one is employed, the flate is in a happy fituation. It is necessity, and perhaps the nature of the climate, that has given to the Chinese an inconceivable greediness for gain, and laws have never been made to put a stop to it. Every thing has been forbidden, when acquired by acts of violence; every thing permitted, when obtained by artistice or labour. Let us not then compare the morals of China with those of Europe. Every one in China is obliged to be attentive to what will be for his advantage; if the cheat has been watchful over his own interest, he who is the dupe ought to have thought of his. At Sparte they were permitted to steal: in China, they are suffered to deceive.

CHAP. XXI.

How the Laws ought to have a relation to Manners and Cuftoms.

It is only fingular institutions which thus confound laws, manners, and customs, things naturally distinct and separate; but though they are things in themselves different, there is nevertheless a great relation between them.

Solon being asked if the laws he had given to the Athenians were the best, he replied, "I have given them the "best they were able to bear." A fine expression, that ought to be perfectly understood by all legislators! When Divine Wisdom said to the Jews, "I have given you pre-

Lange's journal in 1721, and 1722, in voyages to the North, vol. 8. p. 363.

bas sydimount so

"cepts which are not good," this fignified that they had only a relative goodness; which is the sponge that wipes out all the difficulties that are to be found in the law of Moses.

CHAP. XXII.

The legislators of Chang bad two objects in view c they

The fame Subject continued.

When a people have pure and regular manners, their laws become fimple and natural. Plato * fays that Rhadamanthus, who governed a people extremely religious, finished every process with extraordinary dispatch, administering only the oath on every accusation. But, says the same Plato †, when a people are not religious, we should never have recourse to an oath, except he who swears is entirely without interest, as in the case of a judge and a witness.

CHAP. XXIII.

How the Laws are founded on the Manners of a People.

At the time when the manners of the Romans were pure, they had no particular law against the embezzlement of the public money. When this crime began to appear, it was thought so infamous, that to be condemned to restore ‡ what they had taken, was considered as a sufficient difgrace: For a proof of this see the sentence of L. Scipio ||.

CHAP. XXIV.

The same Subject continued.

THE laws which gave the right of tutelage to the mother, were most attentive to the preservation of the infant's Y 3 person;

Of laws, lib. 12. † Ibid. ‡ In fimplum. | Livy, lib. 38.

person; those which gave it to the next heir, were most attentive to the preservation of the estate. When the manners of a people are corrupted, it is much better to give the tutelage to the mother. Amongst those whose laws conside in the manners of the subjects, the guardianship is given either to the next heir, or to the mother, and sometimes to both.

If we reflect on the Roman laws, we shall find that the fpirit of these was conformable to what I have advanced. At the time when the laws of the twelve tables were made. the manners of the Romans were most admirable. The guardianship was given to the nearest relation of the infant, from a confideration that he ought to have the trouble of the tutelage, who might enjoy the advantage of possessing the inheritance. They did not imagine the life of the heir in danger, though it was put into a person's hands who would reap advantage by his death. But when the manners of Rome were changed, its legislators changed their conduct. If in the pupillary fubilitation, fays Caius * and Justinian +, the testator is afraid, that the substitute will lay any fnares to the pupil, he may leave the vulgar I Substitution open, and put the pupillary into a part of the testament, which cannot be opened till after a certain time. These fears and precautions were unknown to the primitive Romans.

CHAP. XXV.

The same Subject continued.

THE Roman law gave the liberty of making presents before marriage; after the marriage they were not allowed. This was founded on the manners of the Romans, who were led

Institut. lib. ii. tit. 6. § 2. Ozel's compilement at Leyden, in

[†] Institut. lib. ii. de pupil. substit. § 3. ‡ The form of the vulgar substitution ran thus: If such a one is unwilling to take the inheritance, I substitute in his stead, &c. the pupillary substitution, If such a one dies before, he arrives at the age of puberty, I substitute, &c.

led to marriage, only by frugality, fimplicity, and modelty; but who might fuffer themselves to be seduced by domeltic cares, by complaifance, and the happiness of a whole life.

A law of the * Vifigoths forbade the man giving more to the woman he was to marry than the tenth part of his fubstance, and his giving her any thing during the first year of their marriage. This also took its rife from the manners of the country. The legislators were willing to put a stop to that Spanish offentation, which only led them to display an excessive liberality in acts of magnificence.

The Romans, by their laws, put a stop to some of the inconveniencies which arose from the most durable empire in the world, that of virtue; the Spaniards, by theirs, would prevent the bad effects of a tyranny, the most frail and fleeting, that of beauty.

CHAP. XXVI.

The same Subject continued.

THE law + of Theodofius and Valentinian drew the causes of repudiation from the ancient manners ‡ and customs of the Romans. It placed in the number of these causes the behaviour of a husband | who beat his wife, in a manner that difgraced the character of a freeborn woman. This cause was omitted in the following laws &: For their manners were in this respect changed: The eastern customs had banished those of Europe. The first eunuch of the empress, wife to Justinian II. threatened her, fays the historian, to chastise her in the same manner as children are punished at school. Nothing but established manners, or those which they were feeking to establish, could raise even an idea of this kind.

We have feen how the laws follow the manners of a people: Let us now fee how the manners follow the laws.

CHAP.

^{*} Lib. iii. tit. I. § 5.

⁺ Leg. 8. cod. de repudiis.

And the law of the twelve tables. See Cicero's 2d Philippic.

Si verberibus quæ ingenuis aliena funt afficientem probaverie.

[§] In Nov. 117. c 14.

pinopimos grad CHAP. XXVII.

How the Laws contribute to form the Manners, Customs, and Character of a Nation.

THE customs of an enflaved people are a part of their fervitude; those of a free people are a part of their liberty.

I have fpoken in the eleventh book * of a free people. and have given the principles of their constitution: Let us now fee the effects which follow from this liberty, the character it is capable of forming, and the customs which naturally refult from it.

I do not deny that the climate may have produced great part of the laws, manners, and customs of this nation; but I maintain that its manners and customs have a close con-

nection with its laws.

chingges parties

eligoog ods asili an

As there are in this state two visible powers, the legislative and executive, and as every citizen has a will of his own, and may at pleasure affert his independence; most men have a greater fondness for one of these powers than for the other, and the multitude have commonly neither equity nor fense enough, to show an equal affection to both.

As the executive power, by disposing of all employments, may give great hopes, and no fears, every man who obtains any favour from it, is ready to espouse its cause; while it is liable to be attacked by those who have

nothing to hope from it.

All the passions being unrestrained, hatred, envy, jealoufy, and an ambitious defire of riches and honours, appear in their full extent: Were it otherwise, the state would be in the condition of a man weakened by fickness, who is without passions, because he is without strength.

The hatred which arises between the two parties will

always fubfift, because it will always be impotent.

These parties being composed of freemen, if the one becomes too powerful, as a confequence of liberty, it will be foon brought down; while the citizens endeavour to Chap. 6 2 lalbarib dent any sacabora bra

raise up the other, with the same readiness as the hands lend their affistance to the body.

Every individual is independent, and being commonly led by caprice and humour, frequently changes parties; he abandons one where he left all his friends, to unite. himself to another in which he finds all his enemies : So that in this nation it frequently happens that the people forget the laws of friendship, as well as those of hatred.

The fovereign is here in the fame case with a private. person, and against the ordinary maxims of prudence, is frequently obliged to give his confidence to those who have most offended him; and to difgrace the men who have best ferved him: He does that by necessity which other prinees do by choice.

As we are afraid of being deprived of the bleffing we already enjoy, and which may be difguifed and mifreprefented to us; and as fear always enlarges objects; the people are uneafy under fuch a fituation, and believe themfelves in danger, even in those moments when they are most secure.

As those who with the greatest warmth oppose the executive power, dare not avow the felf-interested motives of their opposition, so much the more do they encrease the terrours of the people, who can never be certain whether they are in danger or not. But even this contributes to. make them avoid the real dangers to which they may in the end be exposed.

But the legislative body having the confidence of the people, and being more enlightened than they, may calm their uneafiness, and make them recover from the bad im-

pressions they have entertained.

This is the great advantage which this government has over the ancient democracies, in which the people had an immediate power; for when they were moved and agitated by the orators, these agitations always produced their

But when an impression of terrour has no certain object, it produces only clamours and abuse; it has, however, this good effect, that it puts all the springs of government in motion, and fixes the attention of every citizen. But if it arises from a violation of the fundamental laws, it is fullen, cruel, and produces the most dreadful catastrophes.

Soon we should see a frightful calm, during which every one would unite against that power which had violated the laws.

If when the uneafiness proceeds from no certain object, some foreign power should threaten the state, or put its prosperity or its glory in danger, their little interests of party would then yield to the more strong and binding, and there would be a perfect coalition in savour of the exe-

cutive power.

But if the disputes were occasioned by a violation of the fundamental laws, and a foreign power should appear; there would be a revolution that would neither alter the constitution nor the form of government. For a revolution formed by liberty, becomes a confirmation of liberty.

A free nation may have a deliverer; a nation enflaved

can have only another oppressor.

For whoever has a power sufficient to dethrone an absolute prince, has a power sufficient to enable him to become

absolute himself.

As the enjoyment of liberty, and even its support and preservation, consists in every man's being allowed to speak his thoughts, and to lay open his sentiments; a citizen in this state will say or write whatever the laws do not expressly forbid to be said or wrote.

A people like this being always in a ferment, are more easily conducted by their passions than by reason, which never produces any great effects in the mind of man; it is therefore easy for those who govern, to make them under-

take enterprises contrary to their true interest.

This nation is passionately fond of liberty, because this liberty is true and real; and it is possible for it, in its defence, to sacrifice its wealth, its ease, its interest, and to support the burthen of the most heavy taxes, even such as

a despotic prince durst not lay upon his subjects.

But as the people have a certain knowledge of the necessity of submitting to them, they pay from the wellfounded hope of their soon paying them no longer; their burthens are heavy, but they do not feel their weight: While in other states the uneasiness is infinitely greater than the evil.

This

This nation must therefore have a fixed and certain credit, because it borrows of itself, and pays itself. It is possible for it to undertake things above its natural strength, and employ against its enemies immense sums of fictitious riches, which the credit and nature of its government may render real.

To preserve its liberty, it borrows of its subjects and its subjects seeing that its credit would be lost, if ever it was conquered, have a new motive to make fresh efforts in de-

fence of its liberty.

This nation inhabiting an island, is not fond of conquering, because it would be weakened by distant conquests: Especially as the soil of the island is good; because it has then no need of enriching itself by war; and as no citizen is subject to another, each sets a greater value on his own liberty, than on the glory of one, or any number of citizens.

Military men are there regarded as belonging to a profession which may be useful, but is often dangerous; and as men whose very services are burthensome to the nation: Civil qualifications are therefore more esteemed than the military.

This nation, which liberty and the laws render easy, on being freed from pernicious prejudices, is become a trading people; and, as it has some of those primitive materials of trade, out of which are made such things as from the artist's hand receive a considerable value, it has made settlements proper to procure the enjoyment of this

gift of heaven in its fullest extent.

As this nation is fituated towards the north, and has many supersuous commodities; it must want also a great number of merchandises which its climate will not produce: It has therefore entered into a great and necessary commerce with the southern nations; and making choice of those states whom it is willing to savour with an advantageous commerce, it enters into such treaties with the nation it has chosen, as are reciprocally useful to both.

In a state, where on the one hand the opulence is extreme, and on the other, the taxes are excessive, they are hardly able to live on a small fortune without industry: Many therefore, under a pretence of travelling, or of

health.

health, retire from amongst them, and go in search of

plenty, even to the countries of flavery.

A trading nation has a prodigious number of little particular interests: It may then injure or be injured, an insinite number of different ways. Thus it becomes immoderately jealous, and is more afflicted at the prosperity of others than it rejoices at its own.

And its laws, otherwise mild and easy, may be so rigid with respect to the trade and navigation carried on with it,

that it may feem to trade only with enemies.

If this nation fends colonics abroad, it must rather be to extend its commerce than its dominion.

As men are fond of introducing into other places what they have established amongst themselves, they have given the people of their colonies the form of their own government; and this government carrying prosperity along with it, they have formed great nations in the forests they were sent to inhabit.

Having formerly subdued a neighbouring nation, which by its situation, the goodness of its ports, and the nature of its products, inspires it with jealously: though it has given this nation its own laws, yet it holds it in great dependence: The subjects there are free, and the state itself in slavery.

The conquered state has an excellent civil government, but it is oppressed by the laws of nations; laws are imposed by one nation on the other, and these are such as render its prosperity precarious, and dependent on the

will of a master.

The ruling nation inhabiting a large island, and being in possession of a great trade, hath with extraordinary ease grown powerful at sea; and as the preservation of its liberties require that it should have neither strong holds, nor fortresses, nor land-forces, it has occasion for a formidable navy to preserve it from invasions; a navy which must be superiour to that of all other powers, who employing their treasures in wars at land, have not sufficient for those at sea.

The empire of the fea has always given those who have enjoyed it a natural pride; because thinking themselves capable of extending their insults wherever they please, they imagine that their power is as boundless as the ocean.

This

This nation has a great influence in the affairs of its neighbours; for as its power is not employed in conquests, its friendship is more courted, and its resentment more dreaded, than could naturally be expected from the inconfluency of its government, and its domestic commotions.

Thus it is the fate of the executive power to be almost

always disturbed at home, and respected abroad.

Should this nation on some occasions become the centre of the negotiations of Europe, probity and good faith would be carried to a greater height than in other places; because the ministers being frequently obliged to justify their conduct before a popular council, their negotiations could not be secret; and they would be forced to be, in this respect, a little more honest.

Besides, as they would in some fort be answerable for the events which an irregular conduct might produce, the furest, the safest way for them, would be to take the

straightest path.

If the nobles were formerly possessed of an immoderate power, and the monarch had found the means of abosing them by raising the people; the point of extreme servitude must have been that between humbling the nobility, and that in which the people began to feel their power.

Thus this nation having been formerly subject to an arbitrary power, on many occasions, preserves the style of it, in such a manner, as to let us frequently see upon the foundation of a free government, the form of an absolute

monarchy.

With regard to religion, as in this state every subject has a free will, and must consequently be either conducted by the light of his own mind or by the caprices of sancy; in necessarily follows, that every one must either look upon all religion with indifference, by which means they must be led to embrace the established religion; or that they must be zealous for religion in general, by which means the number of sects must be encreased.

It is not impossible, but that in this nation there may be men of no religion; who would not however bear to be obliged to change that which they would choose, if they cared to choose any; for they would immediately perceive that their lives and fortunes are not more peculiarly theirs than their manner of thinking, and that whoever would

deprive them of the one, might, even with better reason take away the other.

If amongst the different religions, there is one that has been attempted to be established by the methods of slavery, it must there be odious; because, as we judge of things by the appendages we join with them, it could never present itself to the mind in conjunction with the idea of liberty.

The laws against these who profess this religion could not however be of the sanguinary kind; for liberty can never instict these sorts of punishments: But they may be so rigorous as to do all the mischief that could be done in cold blood. It is possible that a thousand circumstances might concur to give the clergy so little credit, that other citizens may have more. Therefore, instead of separating themselves, they have chose rather to support the same burthens as the laity, and, in this respect, to make only one body with them: But as they always seek to conciliate the respect of the people, they distinguish themselves by a more retired life, a conduct more reserved, and a greater purity of manners.

The clergy not being able to protect religion, nor to be protected by it, not having power to constrain, feek only to persuade: Their pens, therefore, furnish us with excellent works in proof of a revelation, and of the providence

of a Supreme Being.

Yet the flate prevents the fitting of their affemblies, and does not fuffer them to correct their own abuses; it chooses thus, through a caprice of liberty, rather to leave their reformation imperfect, than suffer the clergy to be the reformers.

Those dignities, which make a fundamental part of the constitution, are more fixed than elsewhere; but, on the other hand, the great, in this country of liberty, are nearer upon a level with the people; their ranks are more sepa-

rated, and their persons more confounded.

As those who govern have a power, which, in some measure, has need of fresh vigour every day, they have a greater regard for those who are useful to them, than for those who only contribute to their amusement: We see therefore sewer courtiers, slatterers, and parasites, in short, sewer of all those who make their own advantage of the folly of the great.

Men are less esteemed for frivolous talents and attainments, ments, than for effential qualities; and of this kind there are but two, riches, and personal merit.

They enjoy a folid luxury, founded not on the refinements of vanity, but on that of real wants; they ask no-

thing of nature but what nature can bestow.

The rich enjoy a great superfluity of fortune, and yet have no relish for frivolous amusements: Thus many having more wealth than opportunities of expence, employ it in a fantastical manner: In this nation they have more judgment than taste.

As they are always employed about their own interest, they have not that politeness which is founded on indolence; and they really have not leisure to attain it.

The æra of Roman politeness is the same as that of the establishment of arbitrary power. An absolute government produces indolence, and indolence gives birth to politeness.

The more people there are in a nation who require a circumfpective behaviour, and a care not to displease, the more there is of politeness. But it is rather the politeness of morals than that of manners, which ought to distinguish us from barbarous nations.

In a country were every man has in some fort a share in the administration of the government, the women ought scarcely to live with the men. They are therefore modest, that is, timid; and this timidity constitutes their virtue; whilst the men without a taste for gallantry plunge themselves into a debauchery which leaves them at leisure, and in the enjoyment of their full liberty.

Their laws not being made for one individual more than another, each confiders himself as a monarch; and indeed the men of this nation are rather confederates than fellow

Subjects.

As the climate has given many perfons a restless spirit, and extended views, in a country where the constitution gives every man a share in its government and political interests, conversation generally turns upon politics: And we see men spend their lives in the calculation of events, which, considering the nature of things, and the caprices of fortune, or rather of men can scarcely be thought subject to the rules of calculation.

In a free nation it is very often a matter of indifference,

whether individuals reason well or ill; it is sufficient that they do reason: from hence springs that liberty which is a security from the effects of these reasonings.

But in a despotic government it is equally pernicious whether they reason well or ill; their reasoning is alone sufficient to shock the principle of their government.

Many people have no defire of pleafing, abandon themfelves to their own particular humour; most of those who have wit and ingequity, are ingenious in tormenting themfelves; filled with a contempt or disgust for all things, they are unhappy amidst all the blessings that can possibly contribute to their happiness.

As no subject fears another, the whole nation is proud; for the pride of kings is founded only in their indepen-

dence.

Free nations are haughty; others may more properly be called vain.

But as these men, who are naturally so proud, live much by themselves, they are commonly bashful when they come among strangers; and we frequently see them behave for a considerable time with an odd mixture of pride and ill-placed shame.

The character of the nation is more particularly discovered in their literary performances, in which we find the

men of thought and deep meditation.

As fociety gives us a fense of the ridicules of mankind, retirement renders us more fit to reslect on the folly of vice. Their satirical writings are sharp and severe, and we find amongst them many Juvenals, without discovering one Horace.

In monarchies extremely absolute, historians betray the truth, because they are not at liberty to speak it; in states remarkably free, they berray the truth, because of their liberty itself, which always produces divisions, every one becoming as great a slave to the prejudices of his faction, as he could be in a despotic state.

Their poets have more frequently an original rudeness of invention, than that particular kind of delicacy which springs from taste; we there find something which approaches nearer to the bold strength of a Michael Angelo,

than to the fefter graces of a Raphael.

END OF VOL. I.

V -